



Different Sources of Nitrogen for Rice Production: A Review

Nisha Thapa ^{a*}

^a *Institute of Agriculture and Animal Science, Paklihawa Campus, Rupandehi, Nepal.*

Author's contribution

The sole author designed, analyzed, interpreted and prepared the manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.9734/ijpss/2024/v36i125188>

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: <https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/124428>

Review Article

Received: 26/07/2024

Accepted: 28/09/2024

Published: 10/12/2024

ABSTRACT

Rice is the most important agricultural product in the country's economy. Nitrogen fertilization is a fundamental agronomic technique influencing rice crop yield and quality. Under the R-W system, crop yield declines and soil fertility gradually decreases when artificial N fertilizers are used without organic sources. Not only is the right fertilizer dosage critical for achieving the best results, but the timely application of nitrogen is also essential. Inorganic Nitrogen sources (NCU, PU, BU, Nano Urea) known to show quick results and organic Nitrogen sources (FYM, Poultry manure, Vermicompost, Green manure, Jeevamrutha, Biofertilizers) being slow-releasing and eco-friendly combined use of these sources has shown good results. Nitrogen must be applied as much as possible during the early and mid-tillering stages to maximize the number of panicles and during the reproductive stage to produce the ideal number of spikelets per panicle and % of filled spikelets.

Keywords: *Brown manure; nitrogen use efficiency; N fixation; microbes.*

*Corresponding author: E-mail: nisha.thapa246800@gmail.com;

1. INTRODUCTION

A significant and widely grown food crop, rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) provides sustenance for over half of the world's population. Over 2 billion people in Asia alone rely on rice and its derivatives for 60–70% of their daily energy intake (Kumar et al., 2022). In Nepal, 33 hybrid rice varieties and 78 inbred rice genotypes have been approved for use in commercial rice farming (Kakshapati et al., 2022). 5.13 million MT of rice was produced in 2022 with an average yield of 3.47 MT/ha on a total area of 1.48 million ha under rice cultivation. Of the total amount of cereal grains consumed (181 kg), the percentage of rice consumed annually per capita was 76% (137 kg) (MoALD, 2022). This implies that rice plays a major role in Nepal's food security. The most valuable agricultural product to the country's economy is rice. In terms of the agricultural gross domestic product (AGDP), rice accounts for 13%, while its part of the national GDP is 4% (MoALD, 2023).

In Nepal, rice leads the way in terms of area covered, production, productivity, and preferences. In the fiscal year 2075–2076 B.S., rice contributed 15.35% to the country's gross domestic product (GDP) with an average productivity of 3.76 mt/h. According to data from the Ministry of Agriculture and Livestock Development for the fiscal year 2077–2078 in Nepal, 76 lakh 21 thousand MT of rice was produced on 14 lakh 73 thousand hectares of land. The average productivity of rice is 3.81 MT per hectare (Agriculture diary, 2022).

Between 2000 and 2021, the amount of inorganic fertilizers used globally per hectare of crops increased from 82 to 112 kg. Fertilizer use per person stayed relatively stable at about 25 kilograms per capita over this period, despite a decline in consumption per value of production from 51 to 47 kilograms per thousand dollars (FAO, 2023). Unanimous application of chemical pesticides and fertilizers in the absence of organic sources caused the soil's health to gradually decline, endangering agricultural output (Devkota et al., 2019).

A significant amount of nitrogen (N) is needed for rice production, as it plays a crucial role. In addition, it is the most scarce nutrient used in the cultivation of rice and experiences significant losses in the system when used as inorganic sources in puddled fields. Only 30–40% of the nitrogen applied is successfully used in

irrigated paddy cultivation (Manikyam et al., 2020). Under the R–W system, crop yield decreases and soil fertility gradually declines when artificial N fertilizers are the only ones used without organic sources (Amanullah et al., 2020).

Rice loses 50-70 % of its applied nitrogen fertilizer due to a mismatch between demand and supply. This problem can be solved using two basic approaches. One is to control the timing of N use and other goal is to improve the ability of the rice root structure to fix its own nitrogen. Despite increased use of nitrogen, many farmers still use insufficient amounts due to lack of availability, financial constraints, poor crop response, or elevated risk. Over fifty percent of applied nitrogen is lost through de-nitrification, ammonia volatilization, leaching, and runoff. This context highlights the significance of biologically fixed Nitrogen (Papademetriou et al., 2000).

2. METHODOLOGY

This review is based on secondary sources of information. I gathered reports on the impact of nitrogen sources on rice growth and yield from various sources, including journal articles, research papers, books, and review articles and summarized key findings. A comprehensive review was conducted on a wide range of government publications, manuals, and reports.

3. DISCUSSION

The three most crucial nutrients for increasing crop yield are potassium, phosphorus, and nitrogen. Growth and metabolic processes require nitrogen. Typically, farm manure and the chemical fertilizer urea are used to supply nitrogen (Sarangi and Sadangi, 2015).

Nitrogen(N): Nitrogen (N) is the most significant and vital plant nutrient, and it will significantly impact crop yield. For all non-legume crops, N is necessary in all types of soil. Natural sources of nitrogen include soil minerals, organic matter in the soil, manure, rice straw, and water from irrigation or rainfall. Many crop wastes are currently not returning to the land because they are used so extensively as fuel and animal feed. Only the application of organic matter, such as manures, can replace soil organic matter in the near term. However, when applied alone, organic manures are unlikely to meet the needs of high-yielding rice cultivars due to their comparatively low nutrient content (Sharada and Sujathamma, 2018).

Due to the numerous chemical and biological processes that regulate Nitrogen accessibility, which are in turn greatly impacted by soil and climatic conditions, Nitrogen is the most complex element in terms of handling and application (Santos et al., 2020).

Numerous methods exist for nitrogen to influence production (Deep et al., 2020).

- Firstly, nitrogen increases the number and volume of cells at the cellular level.
- Secondly, nitrogen enhances the pace and efficiency of photosynthetic processes at the leaf level.
- Increased N % in plant tissues at higher N supply is evidence that fertilizer N also raises proteins, a component of the plants' metabolism.

The crop growth stage, cropping site, and year all have a substantial impact on the Nitrogen uptake rate under suboptimal Nitrogen nutrition. On the other hand, crop N uptake, which is governed by a number of internal plant systems, directly influences crop growth rate, dry matter (DM) accumulation, and leaf area (LA) expansion under conditions of abundant N availability (Ata-UI-Karim et al., 2017).

Thus the primary agronomic technique that influences rice crop yield and quality is nitrogen fertilization, which must be applied as much as possible during the early and mid-tillering stages to maximize the number of panicles and during

the reproductive stage to produce the ideal number of spikelets per panicle and % of filled spikelets (Abd El-Megeed and El-Habet, 2020). Unbalanced fertilizer application and nitrogen mining are the result of falling agricultural yields and declining soil fertility (Rahman and Ranamukhaarachchi, 2002).

Fertilizer efficiency can be improved through two methods:

- matching nutrient supply to crop need (best time for application)
- adopting enhanced techniques like controlled-release and deep placement.

Organic fertilizers promote long-term fertility of soil, improve chemical fertilizer efficiency, and increase farm profitability (Pingali et al., 1997).

Applying nitrogen in split doses as opposed to one can minimize groundwater contamination and possible nitrogen losses by as much as 30%. Not only is the right fertilizer dosage crucial for achieving the best results, but timely application of the fertilizer is also essential (El-Habashy et al., 2013). For modern rice types to yield more grain at medium to high land elevations, N should be applied in three splits: during planting, tillering, and panicle initiation (Kamruzzaman et al., 2014). The increased spikelets per panicle, 1000 grain weight, ripened grain %, and N uptake can all be attributed to the altered splits, which may lead to a decrease in the amount of nitrogen fertilizer applied (Hameed et al., 2019).

Table 1. Symptoms of excess and deficiency of Nitrogen

S.N	N deficiency symptoms	S.N	Excessive N symptoms
1.	Stunted growth and Discoloration	1.	Soil acidification
2.	Death of leaf from top to bottom	2.	Chalky rice and poor in observation, eating and cooking quality
3.	Young leaves appear thin, short and rigid and in extreme case die	3.	Increases plant tissue susceptibility and rice canopy density, leading to disease incidence
4.	Roots becomes slender and lengthy with decreased numbers	4.	Rice blast
5.	Decrease in plant height and numbers of tillers	5.	Low NUE (Nitrogen Use Efficiency)
6.	Decrease in grain numbers and hence reduced yield	6.	Unfilled Panicles or partially filled panicles

Source: (Shrestha et al., 2022)

Table 2. Nitrogen % of different nutrient sources

Name of Nutrients	Nutrient content (%)		
	Nitrogen (N)	Phosphoric acid (P ₂ O ₅)	Potash (K ₂ O)
Urea	46		
Diammonium Phosphate (DAP)	18	46	
Single Super phosphate (SSP)		16	
Farmyard manure (FYM) (Dry weight basis)	1	0.5	1
Vermicompost	1.5	0.5	1
Green Manure			
1. Dhaincha (<i>Sesbania spp</i>)	1.48	0.29	2.04
2. Asuro (<i>Adhatoda vasica</i>)	4.3	0.88	4.49
3. Banmara (<i>Eupatorium antidysentrica</i>)	2.91	0.35	2.68
Jeevamrutha	1.05	0.122	0.081
Cow dung	0.70	0.285	0.231
Cow urine	1.67	0.112	2.544
Pulse floor	1.47	0.622	0.910

Source:(Mahanta and Dhar,2021, Vista et al., 2022)

Inorganic Sources of N: Plants require either NH₄⁺ or NO₃⁻ to absorb and utilize N from soil so Urea and ammonium nitrate are widely used as Nitrogenous fertilizers in traditional farming systems. Organic Nitrogen sources usually hold one-tenth to one-hundredth of the total N found in urea (Hue and Silva, 2022) Various inorganic sources of Nitrogen are:

a. Urea

i. Neem Coated Urea

It has been shown that neem coated urea (NCU), an indigenous nitrification inhibitor, improves rice growth, yield, uptake, and usage efficiency of administered N fertilizer (Kumar et al., 2019). Since nutrients travel laterally over a considerable distance, root systems are unable to effectively utilize them by means of broadcasting. Huge emissions of the soil-water-plant complex are frequently the cause of rice plants' insufficient Nitrogen recovery. To meet the increasing requirement for food, rice production must increase N use efficiency (NUE) in light of the shrinking amount of available agricultural land. In order to do this, we looked for an efficient way to apply N fertilizer like deep placement (Akter et al., 2022).

ii. Prilled Urea

A common fertilizer with a high nitrogen content (46%) for agricultural output is prilled urea (PU).

About 25–30% of PU is effective in rice fields; the remaining 70–75 % is lost for a variety of reasons after application (Al, 2013).

A significant amount of nitrogen (N) is lost when urea is broadcasted on crop fields due to leaching, runoff, evaporation, volatilization (atmospheric), and denitrification. More nitrogen is transformed into nitrates, which can contaminate groundwater because they are mobile in the soil (Akter et al., 2015).

iii. Briquette Urea

USGs positioned deeply in the decreased zone stop NH from quickly converting to NO and causing losses. As a result, compared to conventional urea fertilizer, N is available to the plant for a longer period of time, which leads to notable increases in N uptake and grain yield. According to IFDC guidelines, urea briquettes or pellets are typically manually distributed at a soil depth of 7 to 10 cm at a rate of one USG at the center of each four rice hill (Nayak et al., 2021).

With the deep placement method, huge fertilizer granules or briquettes are buried 8–10 cm below the surface of the soil, providing a gradual and consistent delivery of nitrogen over the rice's growth period. Using urea deep placement (UDP) instead of the traditional broadcast application of prilled urea can reduce nitrogen losses by as much as 50% (Sharna et al., 2021).

In comparison to Prilled urea using the conventional split application, the single application of 150 kg ha⁻¹ of nitrogen from PCU and UB was similarly productive. This suggests labor savings under the novel nitrogen management strategy (Marahatta, 2022).

The yield of BR22 rice was positively impacted by the application of PU, USG, and NPK briquettes. T3 (104 kg N ha⁻¹ from USG) produced the highest grain yield, 3.93 t ha⁻¹, which was statistically comparable to T5 (120 kg N ha⁻¹ from PU), T7 (78 kg N ha⁻¹ from USG), and T8 (78 kg N from NPK briquette), but noticeably better than all other treatments (Naznin et al., 2013).

Maximum grain and straw yields of 76.20 q ha⁻¹ and 99.06 q ha⁻¹, respectively, were recorded under the treatment receiving urea briquette (150 kg N ha⁻¹) that are deep placed using UB applicator (T10) among the various urea application methods. This is significantly higher than the treatment in which prilled urea was broadcast and under the control (Deep et al., 2020).

iv. Nano Urea

Nanomaterials typically range in size from 1 to 100 nm. Nano fertilizers offer numerous benefits, including increased nutrient use efficiency, reduced reliance on chemical fertilizers, increased crop stress tolerance, eco-friendliness, increased plant nutrient mobilization (30-35%), and 18-54% increase in yields of crops. A half bottle of nano urea used more efficiently can effectively save up to one bag of urea. Spray 2 to 4 ml of nano urea liquid in 1 litre of clean water on crop leaves during tillering and one week before flowering (Kantwa and Yadav, 2022).

Although the farmers does not find it as common as conventional urea and it is only advised for application to the foliage to fulfill crop nitrogen needs during vigorous growth stages (Lamichhane et al., 2022).

The research found that using urea (50%) in half dose with half the recommended rate of Nano Urea(50%) resulted in the highest plant height (104.7 cm), number of tillers (348), Leaf Area Index (5.73), and yield attributes such as filled grains per panicle (165.9), grain yield (7056 kg ha⁻¹), and straw yield (8342 kg ha⁻¹) (Lakshman et al., 2022). The experiment found that using 50% RDN (75 kg N) through urea (basal only) and foliar nano urea sprays at 20th, 40th, and

60th DAT resulted in enhanced plant height, LAI, and yield (Kumar et al., 2023).

Organic sources of N: Farmers are becoming more aware of the benefits of using an organic farming system to produce their crops because of the rising costs of chemical fertilizers, the reduction of soil fertility, organic matter and secondary and micronutrients, the health and environmental risks associated with the use of pesticides, and the anticipated high prices for crops grown organically (Yadav et al., 2022). Various organic sources of Nitrogen are:

i. Farmyard manures

There are several publications on the impact of manure on soil qualities, and it has long been thought of as a beneficial soil amendment. A variety of animal manures have been utilized to provide crops with nutrients (Järvan et al., 2017). Applying FYM has been shown to enhance crop growth by giving plants nutrients, especially micronutrients, and by enhancing the physical, chemical, and biological characteristics of the soil (Tadesse et al., 2013).

Although FYM has long been known as the best organic fertilizer to improve soil quality, utilizing organic manure alone will not increase crop yields because of their limited availability. Thus, it has been proposed that the best way to preserve a healthy and sustainable soil system while boosting crop output is through integrated nutrient management, which uses both organic manures and inorganic fertilizers concurrently (Gebremedhin and Tesfay, 2015).

In terms of all yield parameters, including panicles per m², panicle length, grains per panicle, test weight (g), and grain and straw yield, treatment T6 (50%RDF+50%FYM) performed the best (Lamichhane et al., 2022). A greater grain yield (344.69 kg ha⁻¹) was obtained by applying 50% RDIF + FYM @ 31.3 Mt ha⁻¹, which was statistically better to 50% RDIF + FYM @ 11.05 Mt ha⁻¹ (Lamichhane et al., 2022).

ii. Green Manure/Brown Manure

The process of incorporating a manure crop through tillage prior to seed set, usually around flowering, is known as green manuring (Fathima et al., 2023). *In situ* green manuring, commonly utilized in Terai and plain areas with tropical environments, involves using Dhaicha (*Sesbania cannabina*, *S. rostrata*) in lowlands and Sunhemp

(*Crotalaria juncea*) in highland situations. In contrast, farmers in the hilly area collected young leaves and twigs from forests, wasteland, and roadside locations as ex situ green manuring for rice, maize, and potatoes. These plants included Banmara (*Eupatorium adenophorum*), Asuro (*Adhatoda vasica*), Titepati (*Artemesia vulgaris*), Khirro (*Sapium insigne*), Siris (*Albezia lebek*), etc (Vista et al., 2022).

Generally speaking, brown manuring in rice involves co-cultivating rice and *Sesbania* spp. After about 25 days, when the height of the dhaincha plants reaches that of the rice plants, a weedicide called 2, 4-D is used to kill the *Sesbania* plants. *Sesbania* plants become brown and begin to wither after four to five days of spraying; the leaves drop to the ground, forming mulch that suppresses weeds. Being a selective herbicide, it only destroys *Sesbania* plants—not rice plants. This is called the down knocking effect (Fathima et al., 2023). *Sesbania* surface mulch breaks down quickly to release N and other recycled nutrients into the soil (Singh et al., 2018). As a leguminous crop, it provides 15–20 kg N/ha and 10–12 t/ha of new biomass, which promotes rice crop development and productivity (Das et al., 2021).

A greater benefit-cost ratio (2.76) was seen when using 125% CDF plus 5 t of *Sesbania* green manure per hectare (Baishya et al., 2015). With dry matter accumulation at 90 DAS, seed rate @ 40 Kg ha⁻¹, along with brown manuring at 30 DAS, registered highest dry matter accumulation (7061 kg ha⁻¹) and found significantly superior to rest of interactions except knocking down at 25 days at same seed rate. The interaction effect of seed rates and timing of knockdown of dhaincha was significant (Scholar et al., 2022).

Higher grain (6110 and 6324 kg/ha) and straw yields (6973 and 7358 kg/ha) were obtained in 2013–14 and 2014–15, respectively, from planting rice at 25 x 25 cm with dhaincha intercropping and incorporating it by conweeder at 30 DAS (Joseph and Hemalatha, 2017).

iii. Poultry Manure

Due to high concentration of nitrogen, phosphorus, potassium, and other vital nutrients, poultry manure makes a great organic fertilizer. It enhances soil systems, retention of nutrients, air circulation, soil moisture retention capacity, and water absorption by adding organic matter to the soil as opposed to chemical fertilizers (Cheema

and Mohkum, 2009). The incorporation of PM enhances soil N by over 53%, and it significantly boosts cation exchange capacity (Rasool et al., 2023). The crop that received 2.5 t of poultry manure ha⁻¹ + 125% CDF (75 + 16.5 + 31.3 kg N P and K ha⁻¹) among the nutrient management practices had taller plants (112.27 cm), higher effective tillers (14.60), longer pupales (24.93 cm), grain yield (6.03 t ha⁻¹) and straw yield (9.41 t ha⁻¹) in close succession. The crop that received 2.5 t of poultry manure ha⁻¹ + 100% CDF (60 + 13.1 + 25 kg N, P and K ha⁻¹) and 5t FYM ha⁻¹ along with 125% CDF (75 + 16.5 + 31.3 kg N P and K ha⁻¹) also showed taller plants (Baishya et al., 2015).

iv. Vermicompost:

A combination of undigested organic debris and earthworm castings, also known as vermicompost, is called vermicasts. The finest bedding material is banana trunk, which has been shown to be more palatable for vermicomposting than other substrates. Other bedding materials include partially decomposed rice straw, carabao dung, and Aqua regia or Azolla (Javier, 2022). Vermicompost is a naturally occurring fertilizer that is free of harmful chemicals and made from biodegradable organic waste. It may provide nearly all of the main, secondary, and micronutrients needed for plant growth (Malviya et al., 2022).

The rice straw yield in the Vermicompost (VC) treated plots was noticeably higher than in the control plots. When VC was applied, rice grain production rose as compared to the control. The grain yield of a four-split application (T5) was 32% and 142% higher than that of a control and an all-basal treatment, respectively (Bejbaruah et al., 2013). The means of tiller and fresh weight are greater in treatment 3 (50% vermicompost + 50% NPK), while treatment 2 (30% vermicompost + 70% NPK) does not differ significantly from treatment 2. The majority of metrics exhibit the lowest mean value for Treatment T5, which receives 100% of the vermicompost (Lazcano et al., 2008).

v. Biofertilizer

The fixation of atmospheric N by biofertilizers, both in conjunction with plant roots and independently, improves soil fertility by solubilizing insoluble soil phosphates and generating plant growth components. Numerous researchers have indicated that the addition of

biofertilizers plays a substantial role in boosting soil fertility, yield-attributing characteristics, and final yield (Kawalekar, 2013).

Types of Nitrogen fixing biofertilizers: (Kumawat et al., 2017).

Free living biofertilizers: Nostoc, Anabaena, Azotobacter (0.026-20kgN/ha), Clostridium, Beijerinckia, Klebsiella.

Symbiotic: Rhizobium, Frankia, Anabaena azollae

Associative Symbiotic: Azospirillum (10-20 kg N/ha)

The treatments with N, P (50%) and K with biofertilizer (10 t ha⁻¹) showed the significantly highest tiller numbers plant⁻¹ (29), size of panicle (28 cm), weight of 1000 grain (21.31 g), grain (7.26 t ha⁻¹), and straw (13.98 t ha⁻¹) yields, followed by N, P (75%) and K with biofertilizer (5 t ha⁻¹) (Naher, 2018).

vi. Jeevamrutha

Jeevamruth is a miraculous microbial culture that ferments and provides nourishment (Sutar et al., 2019) In addition to giving nutrients, jeevamrutha functions as a catalyst, encouraging the activity of earthworms and other microorganisms in the soil. Additionally, it aids in the prevention of bacterial and fungal infections (Badwal et al., 2019). Jeevamrutha was prepared by combining 1 kilogram of cow dung, 1 litre of

cow urine, 200 g of jaggery, 200 g of flour, and 100 g of soil from the same field. The mixture was then well mixed in a large tank, which was kept in the shade and covered with a jute sack to allow for airflow. The mixes were incubated for five days in the shade while being vigorously agitated three times a day for ten to fifteen minutes with a wooden stick. The combinations were finally produced up to 20 liters in plastic containers with water (Kaur, 2022). Cow dung is used fresh not more than 3 days old and prepared solution can be used upto 12 days (Mahanta and Dhar, 2021) When Jeevamrutha was used instead of chemical farming, the benefit-cost ratio increased by 13% to 3.39 from 3.0 for Masura rice output by chemical farming. The Jeevamrutha approach yielded an 81% increase in rice production compared to chemical farming, with a benefit-cost ratio of 1.09 compared to 0.6 for Hamsa rice production by chemical farming (Amareswari and Sujathamma, 2024). Jeevamrutha was given with irrigation every fortnight in the main field.

If the preparation is used nine to twelve days after preparation, the best benefits will be obtained (Devakumar and Gouder, 2014). Jeevamrutha was used in treatments for T4, T5, T7, T8, T9, and T10 on 15, 30, 45, 60, and 75 days after transplanting (DAT). When (T10) NPK 50% + Vermicompost + Panchagavya 3% + Jeevamrutha 5% was applied, the grain yield was noticeably higher at 8713 kg/ha (Sharada and Sujathamma, 2018).

Table 3. Advantages and disadvantages of Organic and Inorganic Nitrogen

Type of Nitrogen sources	Advantages	Disadvantages
Organic	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Environmentally friendly and least expensive Minimizes acidification of soil Improved tolerance with soil microbes 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Difference in nitrogen % based on different sources Low Nitrogen % Decomposition rate is slow
Inorganic	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Dissolves quickly, particularly in wet soil. Improves plant growth rate and productivity. Improves crop yields. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Costly Overuse may result in soil acidification and nutritional imbalance. This may lead to ecological problems like pollution of water and eutrophication. Minimizes soil microbial population.

Sources (Hawa and Panjang, 2021)

4. CONCLUSION

Nitrogen is one of the major nutrient required for increasing production and productivity of rice. This review paper shows that there are various organic and inorganic Nitrogen sources available for growth and yield of rice. Appropriate doses, application time and rates of nitrogen sources should be considered for favourable yield. Although commercialization has lead to excessive use of inorganic sources, integrated use of these organic and inorganic sources are found to be more beneficial for increasing production and productivity of rice.

DISCLAIMER (ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE)

Author(s) hereby declare that generative AI technologies such as Large Language Models, etc have been used during writing or editing of this manuscript. This explanation will include the name, version, model, and source of the generative AI technology and as well as all input prompts provided to the generative AI technology.

Details of the AI usage are given below:

1. Author declares that some of the sentences has been paraphrased by using quillbot paraphrasing tool.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Author has declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

A. S., A., Kumar, G. S., Sritharan, N., Radhamani, S., & Maragatham, S. (2023). Studies on the effect of nano-urea on growth, yield and nutrient use efficiency in transplanted rice. *International Journal of Environment and Climate Change*, 13(10), 1547–1554. <https://doi.org/10.9734/ijecc/2023/v13i102810>

Abd El-Megeed, T. M., & El-Habet, H. (2020). Splitting of nitrogen fertilizer and planting method effects on rice productivity. *Journal of Plant Production*, 11(11), 1097–1104. <https://doi.org/10.21608/jpp.2020.130924>

Agriculture and Livestock Dairy. (2080). *Lalitpur: Centre for Agriculture Information and Training*.

Agriculture Diary. (2079). *Lalitpur: Centre for Agriculture Information and Training*.

Akter, A., Islam, M. R., Islam, M. R., Islam, M. A., Hasan, S. L., Uddin, S., & Rahman, M. M. (2022). Methods of urea fertilizer application influence growth, yield, and nitrogen use efficiency of transplanted aman rice. *Water (Switzerland)*, 14(21). <https://doi.org/10.3390/w14213539>

Akter, H., Tarafder, S., Huda, A., & Mahmud, A. (2015). Effect of prilled urea, urea, and NPK briquettes on the yield of bitter gourd in two upazilas of Jessore district. *Journal of Environmental Science and Natural Resources*, 8(1), 157–160. <https://doi.org/10.3329/jesnr.v8i1.24691>

Al, M. A., Sheikh, M. B., Rahman, M., Shahidullah, S. M., Mamun, M. A. A., & Islam, M. R. (2013). Effect of nitrogen source and water management on rice yield and nitrogen use efficiency. *Agricultural Advances*, 2(11), 292–298. <https://doi.org/10.14196/aa.v2i11.1085>

Amanullah, Ullah, H., Elshikh, M. S., Alwahibi, M. S., Alkahtani, J., Muhammad, A., Khalid, S., & Imran. (2020). Nitrogen contents in soil, grains, and straw of hybrid rice differ when applied with different organic nitrogen sources. *Agriculture (Switzerland)*, 10(9), 1–14. <https://doi.org/10.3390/agriculture10090386>

Amareswari, P. U., & Sujathamma, P. (2014). Jeevamrutha as an alternative to chemical fertilizers in rice production. *Agricultural Science Digest - A Research Journal*, 34(3), 240. <https://doi.org/10.5958/0976-0547.2014.01012.x>

Ata-Ul-Karim, S. T., Zhu, Y., Liu, X., Cao, Q., Tian, Y., & Cao, W. (2017). Comparison of different critical nitrogen dilution curves for nitrogen diagnosis in rice. *Scientific Reports*, 7. <https://doi.org/10.1038/srep42679>

Badwal, D. P. S., Kumar, M., Singh, H., Ran, S., & Kaur, S. (2019). Zero budget natural farming in India: A review. *International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences*, 8(12), 869–873. <https://doi.org/10.20546/ijemas.2019.812.111>

Baishya, L., Rathore, S., Singh, D., Sarkar, D., & Deka, B. (2015). Effect of integrated nutrient management on rice productivity, profitability and soil fertility. *Annals of Plant and Soil Research*, 17(1), 86–90.

Baishya, L., Rathore, S., Singh, D., Sarkar, D., & Deka, B. (2015). Effect of integrated

- nutrient management on rice productivity, profitability and soil fertility. *Annals of Plant and Soil Research*, 17(1), 86–90.
- Bejbaruah, R., Sharma, R. C., & Banik, P. (2013). Split application of vermicompost to rice (*Oryza sativa* L.): Its effect on productivity, yield components, and N dynamics. *Organic Agriculture*, 3(2), 123–128. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13165-013-0049-8>
- Cheema, M., & Mohkum Hammad, H. (2009). Effect of different levels of applied poultry manure on the productivity of spring maize (*Zea mays* L.). *The Journal of Animal and Plant Sciences*. <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/267417114>
- Das, K. T., Ghosh, S., Datta, D., Sen, S., Roy, A., Raj, R., Sharma, R. A. (2021). Conservation agriculture for efficient resource use, sustainable crop. *ResearchGate*, 7–11.
- De Menezes Santos, W., De Barros, I., Pacheco, E. P., Fernandes, M. F., & De Souza, H. N. (2020). Agronomic performance of urea in pastilled compositions applied on maize fertilization. *Revista Brasileira de Ciências Agrárias*, 15(3). <https://doi.org/10.5039/agraria.v15i3a6914>
- Deep, S. K., Bachkaiya, S. V., Tedia, Dr. K., & Verma, P. (2020). Effect of urea briquettes deep placement on yield and nitrogen use efficiency of rice. *International Journal of Chemical Studies*, 8(5), 836–838. <https://doi.org/10.22271/chemi.2020.v8.i5l.10401>
- Deep, S. K., Bachkaiya, S. V., Tedia, Dr. K., & Verma, P. (2020). Effect of urea briquettes deep placement on yield and nitrogen use efficiency of rice. *International Journal of Chemical Studies*, 8(5), 836–838. <https://doi.org/10.22271/chemi.2020.v8.i5l.10401>
- Devakumar, N., & Gouder, S. (2014). Building organic bridges at the Organic World Congress. *University of Agricultural Sciences, Bangalore*. <https://www.uasbangalore.edu.in>
- Devkota, S., Panthi, S., & Shrestha, J. (2019). Response of rice to different organic and inorganic nutrient sources at Parwanipur, Bara district of Nepal. *Journal of Agriculture and Natural Resources*, 2(1), 53–59. <https://doi.org/10.3126/janr.v2i1.26041>
- El-Habashy, M. M., El Khoby, W. M., & Shehab, M. M. (2013). Adjusting doses and splitting of nitrogen fertilization for Egyptian hybrid 1 rice variety under broadcasted seeded rice for optimum yield. *Journal of Plant Production, Mansoura Univ*, 4(2).
- Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO). (2023). *Inorganic fertilizers 1990–2021*. Rome: FAOSTAT Analytical Brief 68.
- Hameed, F., Xu, J., Rahim, S. F., Wei, Q., Khalil, A. ur R., & Liao, Q. (2019). Optimizing nitrogen options for improving nitrogen use efficiency of rice under different water regimes. *Agronomy*, 9(1). <https://doi.org/10.3390/agronomy9010039>
- Hawa, S. M., Anak Panjang, H. G., Nyagang, E., Yeo, W. S., Saptoru, A., Lau, S. W. L., Jong, T. K., & Jong, A. C. T. (2021). Management for paddy, oil palm, and pineapple plantations in Malaysia: Current status and reviews. *Journal of Applied Science & Process Engineering*, 8(2), 859–880. <https://doi.org/10.33736/jaspe.3438.2021>
- Hue, N. V., & Silva, J. A. (n.d.). Organic soil amendments for sustainable agriculture: Organic sources of nitrogen, phosphorus, and potassium.
- Järvan, M., Vettik, R., & Tamm, K. (2017). Ekologinėje sėjomainoje panaudoto mėšlo svarba ir ekonominis efektyvumas. *Zemdirbyste*, 104(4), 321–328. <https://doi.org/10.13080/z-a.2017.104.041>
- Javier, E. F. (2022). Organic based nutrient management for rice production. *Maligaya, Science City of Muñoz: Philippine Rice Research Institute (PhilRice)*.
- Joseph, M., & Hemalatha, M. (2017). Rice establishment techniques and co-cultivation of green manure on productivity and economics of rice. *Agriculture Update*, 12(Special-2), 452–458. [https://doi.org/10.15740/has/au/12.techsear\(2\)2017/452-458](https://doi.org/10.15740/has/au/12.techsear(2)2017/452-458)
- Kakshapati, P., Shrestha, R., & Khatiwada, S. (2022). Eco-friendly management of rice stem borer in spring rice (*chaite-5*). *Journal of Agriculture and Applied Biology*, 3(2), 159–168. <https://doi.org/10.11594/jaab.03.02.09>
- Kamruzzaman, M., Kayum, M. A., Hasan, M. M., Hasan, M. M., & Silva, J. A. T. Da. (2014). Effect of split application of nitrogen fertilizer on yield and yield attributes of transplanted aman rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). *Bangladesh Journal of Agricultural Research*, 38(4), 579–587. <https://doi.org/10.3329/bjar.v38i4.18886>

- Kantwa, S., & Yadav, L. R. (2022). Nano urea: Application and significance. *Just Agriculture*, 2(7), 1–6.
- Kaur, A. (2020). Jeevamrutha: An effective activator of soil microorganisms. *University Institute of Agricultural Sciences, Chandigarh University*, 1(1), September 2020.
- Kawalekar, J. S. (2013). Role of biofertilizers and biopesticides for sustainable agriculture. *J. Bio*, 2(3), 73–78. <https://www.jbino.com>
- Kumar Meena, A., Kumar Singh, D., Nanda, G., Ashvin Kumar Meena, C., Singh, D., & Pandey, P. (2019). Growth, yield, economics, and nitrogen use efficiency of transplanted rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) as influenced by different nitrogen management practices through neem (*Azadirachta indica*) coated urea. *The Pharma Innovation Journal*, 8(4), 1215–1222. Retrieved from <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/363087604>
- Kumar Midde, S., Saravana Perumal, M., Murugan, G., Sudhagar, R., Mattepally, V. S., & Reddy Bada, M. (2053). Evaluation of nano urea on growth and yield attributes of rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). *Chem Sci Rev Lett*, 2022(42), 211–214. <https://doi.org/10.37273/chesci.cs205301427>
- Kumawat, N., Vijayaraje, R., Krishi, S., & Vidyalaya, V. (2017). Role of biofertilizers in agriculture. <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/323185331>
- Lakshman, K., Chandrakala, M., Siva Prasad, P. N., Prasad Babu, G., Srinivas, T., Ramesh Naik, N., & Korah, A. (n.d.). Andhra Pradesh (518 360), India. *National Bureau of Soil Survey and Land Use Planning, Regional Centre, Hebbal*. <https://pphouse.org/cbm.php>
- Lamichhane, S., Khanal, B. R., Jaishi, A., Bhatta, S., Gautam, R., & Shrestha, J. (2022). Effect of integrated use of farmyard manure and chemical fertilizers on soil properties and productivity of rice in Chitwan. *Agronomy Journal of Nepal*, 6, 200–212. <https://doi.org/10.3126/ajn.v6i1.47994>
- Lazcano, C., Gómez-Brandón, M., & Domínguez, J. (2008). Comparison of the effectiveness of composting and vermicomposting for the biological stabilization of cattle manure. *Chemosphere*, 72(7), 1013–1019. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2008.04.016>
- Mahanta, D., & Dhar, S. (2021). Liquid organic manures: A boon to organic farmers.
- Mahanta, D., & Dhar, S. (2021). Liquid organic manures: A boon to organic farmers.
- Malviya, P., Jha, A. K., & Upadhyay, V. B. (2012). Effect of different proportions of vermicompost and fertilizers on growth and yield of scented rice and soil properties. *Ann. Agric. Res. New Series*, 33(4).
- Manikyam, N., Guru, P. K., Naik, R., & Diwan, P. (2020). Physical and engineering properties of urea briquettes relevant to design of ICAR-NRRI urea briquette applicator. *International Journal of Chemical Studies*, 8(1), 1891–1893. <https://doi.org/10.22271/chemi.2020.v8.i1a.b.8542>
- Marahatta, S. (2022). Effects of coated and briquette urea on yield and nitrogen use efficiency of rice at Rampur, Chitwan, Nepal. *Journal of Agriculture and Forestry University*, 5.
- Ministry of Agriculture and Livestock Development (MoALD). (2022). *Statistical information on Nepalese agriculture*. Kathmandu.
- Ministry of Agriculture and Livestock Development (MoALD). (2023). *Agriculture Development Strategy (ADS) Joint Sector Review (JSR)*. Kathmandu: USAID’s Feed the Future Nepal Knowledge-based Integrated.
- N, S. S., Fathima, P. S., Gowda, P. T., S, S. B., S, K. C., & S, V. B. (2023). Brown farming: A tool for integrated weed management. *Just Agriculture*, 101–106.
- N, S. S., Fathima, P. S., Gowda, P. T., S, S. B., S, K. C., & S, V. B. (2023). Brown farming: A tool for integrated weed management. *Just Agriculture*, 101–106.
- Naher, U. (2018). Biofertilizer as a supplement of chemical fertilizer for yield maximization of rice. *Journal of Agriculture Food and Development*, 2(1), 16–22. <https://doi.org/10.30635/2415-0142.2016.02.3>
- Nayak, A. K., Mohanty, S., Chatterjee, D., Guru, P. K., Lal, B., Shahid, M., Tripathi, R., Gautam, P., Kumar, A., Bhattacharyya, P., Panda, B. B., & Kumar, U. (n.d.). Placement of urea briquettes in lowland rice: An environment-friendly technology for enhancing yield and nitrogen use efficiency.
- Naznin, A., Afroz, H., Hoque, T. S., & Mian, M. H. (2013). Effects of PU, USG, and NPK briquette on nitrogen use efficiency and yield of BR22 rice under reduced water

- condition. *Journal of Bangladesh Agricultural University*, 11(2), 215–220. Retrieved from <http://ageconsearch.umn.edu>
- Papademetriou, M. K., Dent, F. J., & Herath, E. M. (2000). *Bridging the rice yield gap in the Asia-Pacific region* (FAO Regional Office for Asia and the Pacific, Bangkok, Thailand, October 2000). Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations.
- Pingali, P. L., Hossain, M., & Gerpacio, R. V. (1997). *Asian rice bowls: The returning crisis?* CAB International; in association with the International Rice Research Institute.
- Prasad Vista, S., Devkota, S., Shrestha, S., Kandel, S., Rawal, N., Sushila, R. A., Prakash, J., Kamana, P., & Sandip Timilsina, R. (n.d.-a). *Fertilizers in Nepal: Digital soil map of Nepal*. Retrieved from <https://soil.narc.gov.np>
- Prasad Vista, S., Devkota, S., Shrestha, S., Kandel, S., Rawal, N., Sushila, R. A., Prakash, J., Kamana, P., & Sandip Timilsina, R. (n.d.). Fertilizers in Nepal: Digital soil map of Nepal. *Soil NARC*. <https://soil.narc.gov.np>
- Prashanthi Scholar, B., Prashanthi, B., Prasad, P., Srinivasulu, K., Venkata Subbaiah, P., & Ratna Kumari, S. (2022). Impact of different seed rates and knockdown days of *sesbania* on dry matter production in direct seeded rice. *The Pharma Innovation Journal*, 5, 609–611. <https://www.indiastat.com>
- Rahman, M. M., & Ranamukhaarachchi, S. L. (2002). Fertility status and possible environmental consequences of Tista floodplain soils in Bangladesh. *ResearchGate*, 1-10.
- Ranjan Sarangi, D., & Sadangi, B. (2015). Brown manuring: A tool for sustainable rice cultivation. *Science Horizon*.
- Rasool, A., Ghani, A., Nawaz, R., Ahmad, S., Shahzad, K., Rebi, A., Ali, B., Zhou, J., Ahmad, M. I., Tahir, M. F., Alwahibi, M. S., Elshikh, M. S., & Ercisli, S. (2023). Effects of poultry manure on the growth, physiology, yield, and yield-related traits of maize varieties. *ACS Omega*, 8(29), 25766–25779. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acsomega.3c00880>
- Redda Gebremedhin, A., & Tesfay, G. (2015). Evaluating the effects of integrated use of organic and inorganic fertilizers on socioeconomic performance of upland rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) in Tselemti Wereda of North-Western Tigray, Ethiopia. 5(7). <https://www.iiste.org>
- Sharada, P., & Sujathamma, P. (2018). Effect of organic and inorganic fertilizers on the quantitative and qualitative parameters of rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). *Current Agriculture Research Journal*, 6(2), 166–174. <https://doi.org/10.12944/carj.6.2.05>
- Sharada, P., & Sujathamma, P. (2018). Effect of organic and inorganic fertilizers on the quantitative and qualitative parameters of rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). *Current Agriculture Research Journal*, 6(2), 166–174. <https://doi.org/10.12944/carj.6.2.05>
- Sharna, S. B. Z., Islam, S., Huda, A., Jahiruddin, M., & Islam, M. R. (2021). Effects of prilled urea, urea briquettes, and NPK briquettes on the growth, yield, and nitrogen use efficiency of BRR1 Dhan48. *Asian Journal of Soil Science and Plant Nutrition*, 19–27. <https://doi.org/10.9734/ajsspn/2021/v7i330114>
- Shrestha, J., Karki, T. B., & Hossain, M. A. (2022). Application of nitrogenous fertilizer in rice production: A review. *Journal of Nepal Agricultural Research Council*, 8, 16–26. <https://doi.org/10.3126/jnarc.v8i.44815>
- Singh, M. (2018). Ecologically sustainable integrated weed management in dry and irrigated direct-seeded rice. *Advances in Plants & Agriculture Research*, 8(3). <https://doi.org/10.15406/apar.2018.08.00333>
- Sutar, R., Sujith, G. M., & Devakumar, N. (2019). Growth and yield of cowpea [*Vigna unguiculata* (L.) Walp] as influenced by jeevamrutha and panchagavya application. *Legume Research*, 42(6), 824–828. <https://doi.org/10.18805/LR-3932>
- Tadesse, T., Dechassa, R. N., Bayu, W., & Gebeyehu, S. (2013). Effect of farmyard manure and inorganic fertilizers on the growth, yield and moisture stress tolerance of rain-fed lowland rice. *American Journal of Research Communication*, 1(4), 275–301. <https://www.usa-journals.com>
- Tiwari, A. (2017). Effect of integrated nutrient management (INM) on soil properties, yield and economics of rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). <https://doi.org/10.13140/RG.2.2.10695.19366>
- Udhaya Kumar, K., & Ramasamy, S. (n.d.). Influence on rice varieties under

sustainable organic nutrient management practices on yield and economics of rice (*Oryza sativa* L.)-blackgram (*Vigna mungo* L.) cropping sequence. *Life Sciences Leaflets*. Retrieved from <http://lifesciencesleaflets.ning.com/>

Yadav, S. K., Singh, Y., Kumar, R. P., Yadav, M. K., & Singh, K. (n.d.). Effect of organic nitrogen sources on yield quality and nutrient uptake of rice (*Oryza sativa*) under different cropping systems. *Indian Journals*.

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of the publisher and/or the editor(s). This publisher and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.

© Copyright (2024): Author(s). The licensee is the journal publisher. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:

The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:

<https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/124428>