Journal of Scientific Research and Reports



Volume 30, Issue 12, Page 89-94, 2024; Article no.JSRR.127519 ISSN: 2320-0227

# Effect of Fenugreek (*Trigonella foenum-graecum* L.) Seed on Feed Intake and Water Intake of Konkan Kanyal Goats

Mahima Sambhu Gavit <sup>a++\*</sup>, Narendra Nathuram Prasade <sup>a#</sup>, Sagar Laxman Khatke <sup>b†</sup>, Divya Kiran Kokani <sup>a++</sup>, Sandip Shrirangrao Ramod <sup>a†</sup> and Nikhil Prakash Kadam <sup>c†</sup>

<sup>a</sup> College of Agriculture, Dapoli, Dr. Balasaheb Sawant Konkan Krishi Vidyapeeth, Dapoli, India.
 <sup>b</sup> College of Agriculture, Latur, India.
 <sup>c</sup> College of Agriculture, Sonai, India.

## Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

#### Article Information

DOI: https://doi.org/10.9734/jsrr/2024/v30i122653

**Open Peer Review History:** 

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/127519

Original Research Article

Received: 01/10/2024 Accepted: 02/12/2024 Published: 05/12/2024

# ABSTRACT

Fenugreek (*Trigonella foenum-graecum* L.) is a medicinal herb with various bioactive compounds that may influence the nutritional intake of livestock. This study aims to investigate the effect of fenugreek seed supplementation on the feed and water intake of Konkan Kanyal goats. Eighteen

++ Ph.D. Scholar;

# Associate Professor;

<sup>†</sup> Assistant Professor;

\*Corresponding author: E-mail: mahima.12gavit@gmail.com;

*Cite as:* Gavit, Mahima Sambhu, Narendra Nathuram Prasade, Sagar Laxman Khatke, Divya Kiran Kokani, Sandip Shrirangrao Ramod, and Nikhil Prakash Kadam. 2024. "Effect of Fenugreek (Trigonella Foenum-Graecum L.) Seed on Feed Intake and Water Intake of Konkan Kanyal Goats". Journal of Scientific Research and Reports 30 (12):89-94. https://doi.org/10.9734/jsrr/2024/v30i122653.

Konkan Kanyal kids (3 months old) were divided into six treatment groups and fed fenugreek seeds (FS) viz., T<sub>0</sub>- (Control) Basal ration (Soybean straw 40% + Green maize 20% +concentrate mixture 40%), T<sub>1</sub>- Basal ration +0.75 % FS, T<sub>2</sub>- Basal ration +1.5 % FS, T<sub>3</sub>- Basal ration + 2.25 % FS, T<sub>4</sub>- Basal ration + 3 % FS and T<sub>5</sub>- Basal ration + 3.75 % FS. The average feed intake (g/d) was higher in T<sub>5</sub> (1216.10) than T<sub>0</sub> (1095.66), T<sub>1</sub> (1119.60), T<sub>2</sub> (1152.20), T<sub>3</sub>(1177.13), T<sub>4</sub> (1203.16). The average water intake (ml/d) of kids was 904.33 in T<sub>0</sub>, 911.66 in T<sub>1</sub>, 913.66 in T<sub>2</sub>, 920.33 in T<sub>3</sub>, 925.66 in T<sub>4</sub> and 930.33 in T<sub>5</sub>. Therefore, it is concluded that addition of 3.75 per cent fenugreek seed with basal ration had significant (P<0.05) incremental effect on the feed intake (1216.10 g/day) and, water intake (930.33 ml/day).

Keywords: Konkan Kanyal goats; fenugreek seeds; feed intake; water intake.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

A multipurpose animal, goats are important to the economy and nourishment of the nation's landless, small-scale and marginal farmers. A sizable portion of the population in rural areas has engaged in the business of raising goats. With the growing demand for organic food and the ban on the use of some antibiotics, as well as concerns about hazardous residual effects and cost effectiveness in livestock feed, finding alternative feed additives has become a need. Herbal feed additives may affect feeding patterns, promote the growth of beneficial microorganisms in the rumen, or stimulate the secretion of various digestive enzymes, which may improve nutrient utilisation efficiency or stimulate the milk secreting tissue in the mammary glands, resulting in improved dairy animal productivity and reproduction (Bakshi & Wadhwa, 2000). A medicinal herb may have antibacterial, immunostimulant, coccidiostatic, anthelmintic, antiviral or antioxidative effects (Uegaki et al., 2001). Fenugreek seeds have been found to have a chemical composition of 93.65 per cent dry matter, 24.15 per cent crude protein, 5.5 per cent ether extract, 7.1 per cent crude fibre, 59.15 per cent NFE and 4.1 per cent total ash. The polysaccharide galactomannan is abundant in fenugreek seeds. Saponins, which are found in 4-8 percent of fenugreek, have health-promoting effects. Fenugreek seeds are known for their high nutritional value, containing essential amino acids, vitamins, and minerals. The supplementation of fenugreek in the diet of Konkan Kanyal goats could potentially enhance their overall nutrient intake, leading to improved health and productivity. This is particularly crucial for a breed like the Konkan Kanyal, which is adapted to local agro-ecological conditions but may benefit from dietary improvements to maximize growth and milk production. The study aims to assess how fenugreek affects feed intake and water consumption. Understanding these

parameters helps in evaluating the feed efficiency of goats when supplemented with fenugreek. Improved feed efficiency can lead to better growth rates and milk yields, which are essential for the economic viability of goat farming in the Konkan region. Fenugreek's role as a natural feed additive aligns with sustainable agricultural practices. By potentially reducing methane emissions through its effects on rumen microbiota, fenugreek can contribute to more environmentally friendly livestock management aforementioned practices. So, with the in mind, the current investigation has been presented to investigate the influence of fenugreek seed on Konkan Kanyal kids feed intake and water intake and to determine the optimum degree of fenugreek seed inclusion as a feed supplement.

## 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

The study was conducted from 16 February to 16 May 2022. Green maize was made available from fodder unit of instructional livestock farm of Department of Animal Husbandry and Dairy Science. The ingredients for concentrate mixture include groundnut cake, maize crumbles, mineral mixture, salt, fenugreek seed and Soybean straw were purchased from Dapoli market. Twenty Konkan Kanyal kids (3 months old) of average body weight were used for 90 days feeding trial. Kids were assigned to Six groups as T<sub>0</sub>- Control (No fenugreek seed) Basal diet (Soybean straw 40%+Green maize 20%+concentrate mixture 40%), T<sub>1</sub>- Basal diet + FS (Fenugreek seed) @ 0.75 per cent of concentrate mixture, T<sub>2</sub>- Basal diet + FS @1.5 per cent of concentrate mixture, T<sub>3</sub>- Basal diet + FS @2.25 per cent of concentrate mixture, T<sub>4</sub>- Basal diet+ FS @3 per cent of concentrate mixture and T<sub>5</sub>- Basal diet+ FS @3.75 per cent of concentrate mixture. Kids were maintained in groups as per treatment. During the experimental period, measured quantity of respective experimental feed was provided to each animal every morning and evening and the left over was weighed next morning to calculate daily feed consumption (AOAC, 1995). Daily water intake (ml) & daily feed consumption (kg) of kids was recorded during experimental period at 8.00 am, as offered subtracted from remaining in 24 hrs. All data generated were subjected to analysis of variance (ANOVA) using the general linear model (GLM) procedure of SAS (2008). Means were separated using least significant difference (LSD) test of the same package. Thus, experimental data was collected. compiled and analvzed by Randomized Block Design (Snedecor & Cochran, 1994).

#### 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

#### 3.1 Feed Intake

The average daily feed intake was recorded in experimental period illustrated in Table 1 and graphically depicted in Fig. 1. The average feed intake of kids in control T<sub>0</sub> was (1095.66), T<sub>1</sub> (1119.60), T<sub>2</sub> (1152.20), T<sub>3</sub> (1177.13), T<sub>4</sub> (1203.16) and  $T_5$  (1216.10) gram per day. The higher average daily feed intake observed in treatment T5 i.e., 1216.10 g while lower in treatment T<sub>0</sub> i.e., 1095.66 g. The result indicated that the average daily feed intake in treatment T<sub>5</sub> was significantly higher followed by treatments T<sub>4</sub>, T<sub>3</sub>, T<sub>2</sub>, T<sub>1</sub> and lower in treatment (T<sub>0</sub>). In present study significant difference was observed among all treatment groups. Average feed intake of kids was significantly (P<0.05) higher in fenugreek seed supplemented groups than control (Table 1). The results in present study are comparable with Balgees et al. (2013) who studied effect fenugreek of seeds supplementation on feed intake of Nubian goats. They recorded feed intake g/day as 546.30 (Control), 1083.3 (Fenugreek seed 5%), 1231.8

(Fenuareek seed 10%), 1318.3 (Fenuareek seed 15%). Al-Sherwany (2015) also reported that supplementation of fenugreek seeds in Hamdani ewes resulted in significantly (P<0.05) higher daily feed intake. It is observed from the result that feed intake increased as per increase in addition of fenugeeek seed which may be firstly due to the saponins content in fenugreek seeds, which increased feed intake (Ismail, 2000). Secondly, the boosting effect of fenugreek seeds supplementation might also be attributed to the fact that fenugreek seeds increase the appetite for food (Borca et al., 2000). Although Abo El-Nor (2007) suggested that fenugreek seeds may influence hypothalamus gland to stimulate hungriness centre in the brain and increase the desire for eating. Balgees et al. (2016) reported that there was a highly significant difference (P≤0.001) in feed intake between the control and treated groups. While the feed intake was enhanced significantly (P≤0.001) with increasing the level of fenuareek seeds.

#### 3.2 Water Intake

Water intake in animals depends upon the season, environmental condition, physiological condition of animal, type of ration given to the animal and DM intake. The average water intake of kids assigned as control T<sub>0</sub> was 904.33, T<sub>1</sub> 911.66,  $T_2$  913.66,  $T_3$  920.33,  $T_4$  925.66 and  $T_5$ 930.33 ml per day. The higher average daily water intake observed in treatment T<sub>5</sub> i.e., 930.33 ml while lower in treatment To i.e., 904.33 ml. In present study significant difference was observed in each treatment. Significantly higher water intake was observed in T<sub>5</sub> (930.33) ml/day followed by T<sub>4</sub> (925.66), T<sub>3</sub> (920.33), T<sub>2</sub> (913.66),  $T_1$  (911.66) and  $T_0$  (904.33). The average water intake of kids significantly (P<0.05) higher in fenugreek seed supplemented groups than control (Table 2).

Tuble I. Average daily reca intake daring experimental period (Din basis)	Table 1. Average da	ily feed intake	during exp	perimental p	period (	(DM basis)
---	---------------------	-----------------	------------	--------------	----------	------------

Treatments	Average feed offered (g/day)	Average feed leftover (g/day)	Average feed intake (g/day)	Total feed intake for 90 days (kg)
T <sub>0</sub>	1250	154.34 <sup>a</sup>	1095.66 <sup>f</sup>	98.61
T <sub>1</sub>	1252.6	133.00 <sup>b</sup>	1119.60 <sup>e</sup>	100.76
T <sub>2</sub>	1255.2	103.00 <sup>c</sup>	1152.20 <sup>d</sup>	103.70
T <sub>3</sub>	1257.8	80.67 <sup>d</sup>	1177.13°	105.94
T <sub>4</sub>	1260.5	57.34 <sup>e</sup>	1203.16 <sup>b</sup>	108.29
$T_5$	1263.1	47.00 <sup>e</sup>	1216.10 <sup>a</sup>	109.45
SE±		3.85	3.85	
CD (5%)		12.17	12.15	

Figures having different superscripts differed from each other





Fig. 1. Average daily feed intake during experimental period (DM basis)

Fig. 2. Average daily water intake during experimental period (ml/d)

Gavit et al.; J. Sci. Res. Rep., vol. 30, no. 12, pp. 89-94, 2024; Article no.JSRR.127519

Treatments	Average water offered (ml)	Average water leftover (ml)	Average water intake (ml)	
To	2000	1095.66ª	904.33 <sup>f</sup>	
T <sub>1</sub>	2000	1088.33 <sup>b</sup>	911.66 <sup>e</sup>	
T <sub>2</sub>	2000	1086.33 <sup>b</sup>	913.66 <sup>d</sup>	
T <sub>3</sub>	2000	1079.66°	920.33°	
T <sub>4</sub>	2000	1074.33 <sup>d</sup>	925.66 <sup>b</sup>	
<b>T</b> <sub>5</sub>	2000	1069.66 <sup>e</sup>	930.33ª	
SE±		1.45	1.45	
CD (5%)		4.59	4.59	

Table 2. Average	e daily water	intake during	g experimental	period (r	ml/d)
------------------	---------------	---------------	----------------	-----------	-------

Figures having different superscripts differed from each other

The results of present study are having higher values for water intake ml/day as compared to results obtained by Sonar (2019) who studied production performance of Osmanabadi doe's on supplementation of crushed fenugreek (Trigonella foenum-graceum L.) seed and observed, average daily water intake over an experimental period was 5.60, 5.90, 6.30, 6.90 and 6.10 lit/day/doe in treatment T1 {dry fodder + concentrate (Control)}, T2 {drv fodder + 95% concentrate + 5% crushed fenugreek}, T3{ dry fodder + 90% concentrate + 10% crushed fenugreek}, T4{dry fodder + 85% concentrate + 15% crushed fenugreek} and T5 {dry fodder + 80% concentrate + 20% crushed fenugreek} group of doe's respectively. The significant differences were observed at 5% level of significance. The water intake was increased gradually among treatment groups under successive period of investigation and reported that there was significant differences in water intake of doe's supplemented with fenugreek crushed seeds.

## 4. CONCLUSIONS

Contemplating the findings of present study, it could be concluded that addition of fenugreek seeds at 3.75 per cent level as feed additive in complete feed could be a viable proposition to improve the feed and water intake in goats. Further, supplementation of fenugreek seeds as feed additive could be a practically viable strategy that can be adopted to improve performance of goats and to have sustainable goat production.

# DISCLAIMER (ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE)

Author(s) hereby declare that NO generative AI technologies such as Large Language Models (ChatGPT, COPILOT, etc.) and text-to-image generators have been used during the writing or editing of this manuscript.

# **COMPETING INTERESTS**

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

# REFERENCES

- Abo El-Nor, S. A. H., Khattab, H. M., Al-Alamy, H. A., & Salem, F. A. (2007). Effect of some medicinal plants seeds in the rations on the productive performance of lactating buffaloes. *International Journal of Dairy Science*, *2*(4), 348–355.
- Al-Sherwany. (2015). Feeding effects of fenugreek seeds on intake, milk yield, chemical composition of milk, and some biochemical parameters in Hamdani ewes. *Al-Anbar Journal of Veterinary Science*, 8(1), 49–54.
- AOAC. (1995). Official methods of analysis (12th ed.). Association of Analytical Chemists. Washington, D.C, USA.
- Bakshi, M. P. S., & Wadhwa, M. (2000). Feed additives that modify animal performance. In Rumen microbial ecosystem and its manipulation techniques (pp. 125–134). Indian Veterinary Research Institute, Izatnagar, India.
- Balgees, A. E., Albekhaita, R. F., Fadel, E. A. M.
  A., Mahala, A. G., & Amasiab, E. O.
  (2013). Effect of supplementation of fenugreek (*Trigonella foenum-graecum* L.) seeds on feed intake, digestibility, N-balance, and rumen environment of Nubian goats. *International Journal of Development and Sustainability*, 2(2), 1214–1223.
- Balgees, A. E., Hoida, A., Hamed, E. E., Gude,
  H. J. A., Abunikhaila, A. M., & Fadel
  Elseed, A. M. A. (2016). Effect of
  fenugreek (*Trigonella foenum-graecum*)
  seeds supplementation on feed intake and
  some biochemical blood parameters of
  lactating Nubian goats. University of

Khartoum Journal of Veterinary Medicine and Animal Production, 7(2), 89–103.

- Borca, C., Manteghetti, M., & Corss, R. (2000). Hydroxyisoleucine: Effect of synthetic and natured analogs on insulin secretion. *European Journal of Pharmacology, 390*(3), 339–354.
- Ismail, S. A. (2000). Effect of fenugreek seeds (*Trigonella foenum-graecum* L.) as feed additive on sheep performance in the Northwestern coast of Egypt. In *Proceedings of the 3rd All Africa Conference on Animal Agriculture, 11th Conference of Egyptian Society on Animal Production* (pp. 321–325). Alexandria, Egypt.
- Snedecor, G. W., & Cochran, W. G. (1994). Statistical methods (8th ed.). The Iowa

State College Press; Oxford and I. B. H. Publication C.; Calcutta.

- Sonar, R. B. (2019). Production performance of Osmanabadi does on supplementation of crushed fenugreek (Trigonella foenumgraecum L.) seed (Unpublished master's thesis). Department of Animal Husbandry and Dairy Science, Dr. Panjabrao Deshmukh Krishi Vidyapeeth, Akola. Print. xii. 90p. Available at: http://krishikosh.egranth.ac.in/handle/1/581 0144616
- Uegaki, R., Ando, S., Ishida, M., Takada, D., Shinokura, K., & Kohchi, Y. (2001). Antioxidant activity of milk from cows fed herbs. University of Khartoum. *Nippon Nogeikagaku Kaishi*, *75*(6), 669–671.

**Disclaimer/Publisher's Note:** The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of the publisher and/or the editor(s). This publisher and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.

© Copyright (2024): Author(s). The licensee is the journal publisher. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history: The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/127519