

Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology

Volume 27, Issue 7, Page 683-691, 2024; Article no.JABB.118415 ISSN: 2394-1081

## Effect of Organic, Inorganic and Biofertilizer on Growth, Yield and Quality of Bottle Gourd (*Lagenaria siceraria* Mol.)

### Vinit Tomar <sup>a++\*</sup>, Samir E. Topno <sup>a#</sup>, Vijay Bahadur <sup>a†</sup> and Anita kerketta <sup>a#</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Horticulture, Sam Higginbottom University of Agriculture, Technology and Sciences, Prayagraj. 211007, Uttar Pradesh, India.

#### Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

#### Article Information

DOI: https://doi.org/10.9734/jabb/2024/v27i71028

#### **Open Peer Review History:**

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/118415

**Original Research Article** 

Received: 12/04/2024 Accepted: 18/06/2024 Published: 20/06/2024

#### ABSTRACT

The present investigation was carried out at Research Farm, Department of Horticulture, Naini Agricultural Institute, SHUATS, Naini, Prayagraj, Uttar Pradesh during the Kharif- 2023 with a view to identify the effects of different combinations of organic and inorganic fertilizers and its role in growth, yield and quality of bottle gourd variety Sharada. The experiment was laid in Randomized block design with 13 treatments and 3 replications with different combination in RDF and

<sup>++</sup> Research Scholar;

<sup>#</sup> Assistant Professor;

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup> Assistant Professor and Head;

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author: E-mail: Vinittomar1999@gmail.com.;

Cite as: Tomar, Vinit, Samir E. Topno, Vijay Bahadur, and Anita kerketta. 2024. "Effect of Organic, Inorganic and Biofertilizer on Growth, Yield and Quality of Bottle Gourd (Lagenaria Siceraria Mol.)". Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology 27 (7):683-91. https://doi.org/10.9734/jabb/2024/v27i71028.

application of organic manures and bio fertilizers. In this experiment we found that the treatment T9 (50% RDF + 50% Vermicompost + Azospirillum @ 1 Kg ha-1) was found to be best in the terms of growth parameters viz., vine length observed at 60 DAS (166.65 cm), number of branches per plant (5.63 branches), yield parameters like number of fruits per plant (17.41 fruits), fruit length (40.11 cm), fruit diameter (7.51 cm) and fruit weight (916.97 grams) along with better yield (79.84 q/ha) and quality TSS and Vitamin C content of bottle gourd. The highest net return was found in the T9 (50% RDF + 50% Vermicompost + Azospirillum @ 1 Kg ha-1) with Rs 1,81,473/ha) and the highest B:C ratio was found in the same with 2.32.

Keywords: Bottle gourd; FYM; azospirillum; benefit cost ratio.

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

"Bottle gourd, scientifically known as Lagenaria siceraria (Mol.) Standl., fruits have a variety of shapes: they can be huge and rounded, small and bottle-shaped, or slim and serpentine, and they can grow to be over a metre long. Rounder varieties are typically called calabash gourds. The gourd was one of the world's first cultivated plants grown not primarily for food, but for use as containers. The bottle gourd may have been carried from Asia to Africa, Europe, and the Americas during human migration, or by seeds floating across the oceans inside the gourd". Erickson et al [1] It has been proven to have been globally domesticated (and existed in the New World) during the Pre-Columbian era.

"Bottle gourd are horticulture crop belongs to the family Cucurbitaceae bearing chromosome number 2n=22" [2]. "Bottle gourd is a diploid of parentage. The bottle gourd is a commonly cultivated plant in tropical and subtropical areas of the world and was eventually domesticated in southern Africa. Stands of L. siceraria, which may be source plants and not merely reported domesticated stands, were in Zimbabwe" [3]. "Bottle gourds are grown by direct sowing of seeds or transplanting 15- to 20day-old seedlings. The plant prefers well-drained, moist, organic rich soil. It requires plenty of moisture in the growing season and a warm, sunny position, sheltered from the wind" [4-6], "It can be cultivated in small places such as in a pot and allowed to spread on a trellis or roof. In rural areas, many houses with thatched roofs are covered with the gourd vines. Bottle gourds grow very rapidly, and their stems can reach a length of 9 m in the summer, so they need a solid support along the stem if they are to climb a pole or trellis. If planted under a tall tree, the vine may grow up to the top of the tree. To obtain more fruit, farmers sometimes cut off the tip of the vine

when it has grown to 6–8 feet in length". Decker et al. [3].

"Raw Bottle gourd is 96.1% water, 0.2% protein, 2.5% carbohydrates and contains negligible fat. In a 100-gram reference amount, raw bottle gourd is a source vitamin C (6 mg) with moderate contents of thiamine (0.23 mg), Nicotinic acid (0.20 mg) and magnesium (5 mg), Calcium (20 mg), Phosphorous (10 mg), Potassium (87 mg). Bottle gourd has valuable anti-cholesterol property. Bottle gourd is an important vegetable crop grown in tropical and sub-tropical parts of the world. This crop is suitable for cultivation as a garden crop as well as on large commercial farms. It is grown commercially in India, Turkey, Iran, Western Africa, Yugoslavia, Bangladesh, Afghanistan, Pakistan, Burma, Japan, Malaysia, Brazil, Ghana, Ethiopia, Cyprus, and the Southern United States. In India area under Bottle gourd production in India accounts to 1.58 million hectares with production of 31.42 metric tonnes in vear 2021-22. Bihar ranks first in area and production of Bottle gourd in year 2021-22 followed by Uttar Pradesh and Madhya Pradesh. In Uttar Pradesh area under production is 2.38 thousand hectares while production is estimated to be 5.09 million tonnes for year 2021-22". (Source: NHB, Ministry of Agriculture & Farmers Welfare, Government of India, [7].

"Farm manure is primarily made from cow dung, cow urine, straw, and other milk waste. A small amount of Nitrogen (N) is directly available to plants, but more N becomes available as FYM degrades. Mixing cow dung with urine gives plants a balanced diet. The availability of potassium and phosphorus from FYM is like that from inorganic sources. Applying FYM improves soil fertility. On an average well decomposed farmyard manure contains 0.5 per cent Nitrogen (N), 0.2 per cent Phosphate ( $P_2O_5$ ) and .0.5 per cent Potassium ( $K_2O$ ). FYM also increases the availability of soil phosphorus. In addition to the effect of FYM on soil P content, the application of FYM in presence of fertilizer phosphorus may also affect the solubility and hence the potential availability of applied P. It is widely recognized that neither use of organic manure alone nor chemical fertilizers can achieve the yield sustainability under the modern intensive farming" [8].

When applied to seeds, plant surfaces, or soil, a biofertilizer contains living microorganisms that colonise the rhizosphere or inside of the plant to stimulate growth by increasing the supply or availability of primary nutrients to the host plant. Natural processes such as nitrogen fixation, phosphorus solubilization, and plant growthstimulating hormone synthesis are used by biofertilizers add nutrients. to The microorganisms in biofertilizers rebuild the soil's organic matter and nutrition cycle. Healthy plants can be cultivated with biofertilizers, improving soil health and sustainability. Although they are not yet able to completely replace the use of synthetic fertilisers and pesticides, biofertilizers can be expected to minimise their consumption. In this sense, inoculation and application of plant growth-promoting bacteria (PGPB) especially Azospirillum brasilense is an important strategy in cereal cultivation. Research about Α brasilense report enhanced plant growth by a number of mechanisms, including but not limited to the synthesis and secretion of hormones that increase the root system. Greater accumulation and availability of nutrients Galindo et al., 2016; Rosa et al. [9] and greater tolerance to stresses (such as drought, salinity), plant vigour [10], chlorophyll content, and stomatal conductance (Bulegon et al., 2017), as well as increases in grain productivity Munareto, 2016; Galindo et al. [11], were also reported.

Vermicompost, a product of earthworm activity, boasts a nutrient profile abundant in NPK (nitrogen at 2-3%, phosphorus at 1.55-2.25%, and potassium at 1.85-2.25%), alongside micronutrients and beneficial soil microbes. This organic by-product not only contains a wealth of macro and micronutrients but also houses vitamins, growth hormones, and an array of enzymes like proteases, amylases, lipase, cellulase, and chitinase. Remarkably, these enzymes persist in breaking down organic matter even after being expelled by the worms. Vermicomposting represents an innovative biotechnology, transforming agro-industrial waste into high-value products. Its utilization serves to enhance soil structure and fertility in the realm of

organic farming. Studies by Garg and Gupta in [12] and Barik et al., in 2011 underscore the richness and ongoing efficacy of vermicompost in fostering agricultural vitality.

The study aimed to find out the effect of Organic and inorganic fertilizers and bio inoculants on growth, yield and fruit quality of Bottle gourd To work out the Economics of different treatments.

#### 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### 2.1 Geographical Location of the Experimental Site

The experimental site is located at a latitude of 25.41° North and longitude of 81.84 ° East, with an altitude of 98 meters above the mean sea level (MSL).

#### 2.2 Climatic Conditions of the Experimental Area

The area of Prayagraj comes under humid subtropical climate, which experiences warm humid monsoon, hot dry summer and cold dry winter. The annual mean temperature is 26.1°C while monthly mean temperatures are 18-29°C. The daily average maximum temperature is about 22°C and the minimum temperature is 9°C. The average annual rainfall received is 1042.2 mm. At this location, the temperature reaches upto 46°C-48°C and the minimum temperature recorded is 4°C-5°C. The relative humidity ranges in this location ranges between 20-94%.

#### 2.3 Characters Studied and Observations Recorded

#### 2.3.1 Growth parameters

#### 2.3.1.1 Vine length (cm) [30, 40, 60, DAS]

Using a metre scale, the height of five randomly chosen grafted plants from each plot was measured in centimetres at 30, 40, and 60 days after sowing, starting from the ground and ending at the tip of the shoot. Every replication's average vine length was noted and then statistically examined.

#### 2.3.1.2 Number of branches per plant

At maturity, the number of branches on randomly chosen plants from each plot was counted. Each replication's average number of branches per plant was noted and then statistically examined.

Table 1. Treatment detai	s
--------------------------	---

Treatment	Treatment Combination
T <sub>1</sub>	100% RDF [NPK-200:100:100 kg/ha]
T <sub>2</sub>	100% Farmyard manure [20 t ha-1]
T <sub>3</sub>	100% Vermicompost [10 t ha-1]
<b>T</b> <sub>4</sub>	100% Poultry manure [5 t ha <sup>-1</sup> ]
T <sub>5</sub>	75% RDF [NPK-150:75:75] + 25% Farmyard manure [5 t ha <sup>-1</sup> ] + Azospirillum @ 1 Kg ha <sup>-1</sup>
T <sub>6</sub>	75% RDF [NPK-150:75:75] + 25% Vermicompost [2.5 t ha <sup>-1</sup> ] + Azospirillum @ 1 Kg ha <sup>-1</sup>
T <sub>7</sub>	75% RDF [NPK-150:75:75] + 25% Poultry manure [1.5 t ha <sup>-1</sup> ] + Azospirillum @ 1 Kg ha <sup>-1</sup>
T <sub>8</sub>	50% RDF [NPK-100:50:50] + 50% Farmyard manure [10 t ha <sup>-1</sup> ] + Azospirillum @ 1 Kg ha <sup>-1</sup>
Т9	50% RDF [NPK-100:50:50] + 50% Vermicompost [5 t ha <sup>-1</sup> ] + Azospirillum @ 1 Kg ha <sup>-1</sup>
T <sub>10</sub>	50% RDF [NPK-100:50:50] + 50% Poultry manure [2.5 t ha <sup>-1</sup> ] + Azospirillum @ 1 Kg ha <sup>-1</sup>
T <sub>11</sub>	25% RDF[NPK-50:25:25] + 75% Farmyard manure [15 t ha <sup>-1</sup> ] + Azospirillum @ 1 Kg ha <sup>-1</sup>
T <sub>12</sub>	25% RDF [NPK-50:25:25] + 75% Vermicompost [7.5 t ha <sup>-1</sup> ] + Azospirillum @ 1 Kg ha <sup>-1</sup>
T <sub>13</sub>	25% RDF [NPK-50:25:25] + 75% Poultry manure [3.75 t ha <sup>-1</sup> ] + Azospirillum @ 1 Kg ha <sup>-1</sup>

#### 2.3.2 Earliness parameters

#### 2.3.2.1 Days to first male flowering

The number of days taken from sowing to days to first male flower appearance in experimental plots was observed as days to first male flowering. The data were recorded, averaged, and analyzed for all replications.

#### 2.3.2.2 Days to first female flowering

Days to first female flowering in experimental plots was measured as the number of days from seeding to the first appearance of a female flower. For each replication, the data were collected, averaged, and analyzed.

#### 2.3.2.3 Days to first fruit picking

The number of days taken from sowing to days to first picking of fruits post maturity in experimental plots was observed as days to first fruit picking. The data were recorded, averaged, and analyzed for all replications.

#### 2.3.2.4 Number of fruits per plant

Number of fruits that set-in plant was counted and recorded as number of fruits per plant for each treatment and replication. The data recorded was subjected for analysis.

#### **2.4 Yield Parameters**

#### 2.4.1 Fruit length (cm)

After being harvested, the fresh fruit from five randomly chosen plants was measured for length on an individual, treatment, and replication basis. The measurements were then computed, averaged, and analysed.

#### 2.4.2 Fruit width (cm)

After being picked at random from five randomly chosen plants, the fresh fruit was measured for width both individually and in terms of replication and treatment. The measurements were then computed, averaged, and analysed.

#### 2.4.3 Average fruit weight (g)

Five fruits were harvested at random from each plant, and they were weighed both replicationand treatment-wise. This was carried out for five randomly chosen plants in order to record data.

#### 2.4.4 Fruit yield per plant (kg/plant)

To determine the fruit yield per plant treatmentand replication-wise, the harvested fruits of each randomly chosen and tagged plant were collected, weighed, and averaged before being subjected to analysis.

#### 2.4.5 Fruit yield per hectare (q/ha)

The yield was calculated by weighing the total fruit yield per plot. The readings for all the harvest per plot were recorded.

#### 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

There was significant difference present among the treatments applied. It was also found that  $T_9$ had lengthiest vine (166.65 cm) observed at 60 DAS among different treatment combination applied in bottle gourd whereas the minimum length of vine was found in treatment T<sub>2</sub> with 106.27 cm observed at 60 DAS. It was found that T<sub>9</sub> had highest number of primary branches (5.63 branches) among different treatment combination applied in bottle gourd at par with T<sub>6</sub> having 5.53 branches whereas the minimum number of primary branches was found in treatment T<sub>2</sub> with 3.43 branches. Among the various treatment combinations applied to bottle gourds, T<sub>9</sub> recorded the minimum days to first male flowering (33.92 days) and treatment T<sub>2</sub> with 42.37 days. Among the various treatment combinations applied to bottle gourds, T9 recorded the minimum days to first female flowering (42.12 days) and treatment T<sub>2</sub> with 50.81 days recorded the maximum days to first female flowering. Significant difference was present among the treatments applied. T9 recorded minimum days to first fruit picking (73.76 days) whereas the maximum days to first fruit picking was found in treatment T<sub>2</sub> with 82.94 days and Significant difference was present among the treatments applied. Maximum number of fruits was observed in T<sub>9</sub> with 17.41 fruits among different treatment combination applied in bottle gourd whereas the minimum number of fruits per plant was found in treatment T<sub>2</sub> with 9.26 fruits.

The superior Vegetative, flowering and yield growth per plant observed in the treatment combination of 50% RDF (Recommended Dose of Fertilizers) + 50% Vermicompost Azospirillum @ 1 Kg/ha at 20, 40, and 60 days after sowing (DAS) in bottle gourd can be attributed to multiple factors. Vermicompost supplements the soil with essential nutrients and improves soil structure, facilitating better root development and nutrient uptake. Azospirillum, being a nitrogen-fixing bacterium, enhances nitrogen availability to plants, promoting vigorous

growth. The balanced combination of organic (vermicompost), inorganic (RDF), and biofertilizer (Azospirillum) components ensures a synergistic effect, providing optimal nutrition and fostering robust plant growth, resulting in longer vines compared to other treatments with singular or less balanced nutrient sources. Similar findings were reported by Das et al. [13], Chaudhary et al. [14], Kishor and Sarvanan [15], Pravallika and Deepanshu [16], Nadoda et al. [17], Pathak et al. [18] in bottle gourd.

From the Table 3., the bottle gourd treatment combination T<sub>9</sub> had the longest fruit length (40.11 cm), and treatment T2 with the shortest fruit length (20.98 cm). Maximum fruit width was observed in T<sub>9</sub> with 7.51 cm among different treatment combination applied in bottle gourd whereas the lowest fruit width was found in treatment T<sub>2</sub> with 5.52 cm. Maximum fruit weight was observed in T<sub>9</sub> with 916.97 gram among different treatment combination applied in bottle gourd whereas the minimum fruit weight was found in treatment T<sub>2</sub> with 807.61 gram. Out of all the treatment combinations applied to bottle gourds, T<sub>9</sub> had the highest fruit yield per plant, weighing 15.97 kg/plant and Treatment T<sub>2</sub> had the lowest fruit yield per plant, weighing 7.48 kg/plant. Out of all the treatment combinations applied to bottle gourds, T<sub>9</sub> had the highest fruit yield per hectare, yielding 79.84 q/ha and Treatment T<sub>2</sub> had the lowest fruit yield per hectare, yielding 37.41 g/ha. All the treatment combinations applied to bottle gourds, T9 had the highest Total Soluble Solids, with 6.03 °Brix and Treatment T<sub>2</sub> had the lowest Total Soluble Solids, with 3.89 °Brix. Out of all the treatment combinations applied to bottle gourds, T<sub>9</sub> had the highest Vitamin C content, with 4.72 mg/100g and Treatment T<sub>2</sub> had the lowest Vitamin C content, with 3.40 mg/100g.

The enhanced fruit yield attributes and fruit quality observed in the treatment combination of 50% RDF + 50% Vermicompost + Azospirillum @ 1 Kg/ha compared to other treatments can be attributed to the synergistic effects of the fertilizers utilized. Vermicompost enriches the soil with organic matter, micronutrients, and beneficial microbes, which contribute to improved fruit development. Azospirillum, a nitrogen-fixing bacterium, enhances nitrogen uptake, crucial for fruit growth and elongation. The balanced combination of organic (vermicompost), inorganic (RDF), and biofertilizer (Azospirillum) ensures optimal nutrient availability throughout the fruiting stage, promoting cell division and elongation, resulting in longer fruits. This

comprehensive nutrient approach outperforms other treatments with less balanced nutrient compositions, leading to the observed enhancement in fruit length. Similar findings were reported by Das et al. [13], Chaudhary et al. [14], Patle et al. [19], Prasad et al. [20], Rabari et al. [21], Mandloi et al. [22], Pathak et al. (2022) in bottle gourd.

#### Table 2. Effect of organic, inorganic and bio fertilizer on Vegetative and flowering growth of bottle gourd

Treatment	Vine Length (cm) at 60 DAS	No of Primary Branches Per Plant	Days to First Male Flowering	Days to First Female Flowering	Days to First Fruit Picking	Number of Fruits Per Plant
<b>T</b> <sub>1</sub>	119.07	4.57	41.08	45.10	77.39	9.65
T <sub>2</sub>	106.27	3.43	42.37	50.81	82.94	9.26
T <sub>3</sub>	132.03	4.91	37.30	46.46	78.71	9.91
<b>T</b> 4	155.00	4.47	37.74	46.19	78.43	10.35
T <sub>5</sub>	127.36	4.63	39.72	47.22	78.88	12.21
T <sub>6</sub>	161.75	5.53	35.26	42.83	75.15	15.42
T <sub>7</sub>	138.09	5.07	36.69	44.89	76.62	12.37
T <sub>8</sub>	145.52	4.67	38.89	49.16	74.92	12.10
T <sub>9</sub>	166.65	5.63	33.92	42.12	73.76	17.41
<b>T</b> 10	133.74	4.99	40.19	46.16	79.85	14.07
<b>T</b> 11	129.73	5.07	38.19	44.93	80.10	13.28
T <sub>12</sub>	130.86	4.68	36.27	44.19	76.89	14.57
<b>T</b> 13	123.10	4.54	39.12	48.97	77.79	13.42
'F' Test	S	S	S	S	S	S
CV.	0.6	4.69	1.05	0.55	0.72	5.3
SE. m (±)	0.34	0.09	0.17	0.11	0.23	0.28
CD. at 5%	1	0.27	0.49	0.31	0.69	0.81

## Table 3. Effect of organic, inorganic and bio fertilizer on yield attributes and quality of fruit of bottle gourd

Treatment	Fruit Length (cm)	Fruit Width (cm)	Fruit Weight (grams)	Fruit Yield Per Plant (kg/plant)	Fruit Yield Per Hectare (q/ha)	Total Soluble Solids (°Brix)	Vitamin C Content (mg/100g)
T <sub>1</sub>	24.04	6.73	883.88	8.53	42.64	4.38	4.10
T <sub>2</sub>	20.98	5.52	807.61	7.48	37.41	3.89	3.40
T <sub>3</sub>	26.98	7.19	879.95	8.72	43.59	3.75	4.06
$T_4$	34.30	6.88	893.72	9.25	46.24	5.17	4.40
T <sub>5</sub>	29.87	7.12	856.72	10.46	52.30	4.42	4.40
T <sub>6</sub>	36.37	7.21	904.56	13.95	69.74	5.89	4.60
T <sub>7</sub>	36.28	7.07	839.81	10.39	51.96	5.41	4.49
T <sub>8</sub>	24.89	6.41	833.70	10.09	50.44	4.99	3.96
T9	40.11	7.51	916.97	15.97	79.84	6.03	4.72
T <sub>10</sub>	32.55	6.89	894.06	12.58	62.90	4.58	3.96
T <sub>11</sub>	34.26	6.42	889.07	11.80	59.02	5.12	3.66
T <sub>12</sub>	35.37	6.84	866.08	12.62	63.11	5.11	3.95
T <sub>13</sub>	31.52	6.77	880.37	11.82	59.09	4.41	4.40
'F' Test	S	S	S	S	S	S	S
CV.	1.22	3.21	0.17	5.17	5.17	2.01	4.90
SE. m (±)	0.16	0.09	0.61	0.24	1.19	0.04	0.09
CD. at 5%	0.47	0.27	1.79	0.70	3.48	0.12	0.25

Treatment Symbols	Fruit Yield Per Hectare (q/ha)	Cost of Cultivation [INR]	Gross Return [INR]	Net Return [INR]	BC Ratio		
T <sub>1</sub>	42.64	1,37,119	170560	33,441	1.24		
T <sub>2</sub>	37.41	1,38,200	149640	11,440	1.08		
T₃	43.59	1,38,200	174360	36,160	1.26		
T <sub>4</sub>	46.24	1,34,200	184960	50,760	1.38		
T <sub>5</sub>	52.3	1,37,616	209200	71,584	1.52		
T <sub>6</sub>	69.74	1,37,616	278960	1,41,344	2.03		
T <sub>7</sub>	51.96	1,37,016	207840	70,824	1.52		
T <sub>8</sub>	50.44	1,37,887	201760	63,873	1.46		
T <sub>9</sub>	79.84	1,37,887	319360	1,81,473	2.32		
T <sub>10</sub>	62.9	1,35,887	251600	1,15,713	1.85		
T <sub>11</sub>	59.02	1,38,157	236080	97,923	1.71		
T <sub>12</sub>	63.11	1,38,157	252440	1,14,283	1.83		
T <sub>13</sub>	59.09	1,35,157	236360	1,01,203	1.75		
Selling price of bottle gourd Rs 40/kg							

Table 4. Effect of organic, inorganic and bio fertilizer on economics of bottle gourd

The farmers must consider economics when making decisions about the application of scientific knowledge and techniques,  $T_9$  (50% RDF + 50% Vermicompost + Azospirillum @ 1 Kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) produced one of the highest gross returns, net returns, and cost benefits because of its higher yield quality and productivity, which raises the fruit's market value [23-25].

#### 4. CONCLUSION

From the above experimental finding it is concluded that the treatment  $T_9$  (50% RDF + 50% Vermicompost + Azospirillum @ 1 Kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) was found to be best in the terms of growth, yield and quality of bottle gourd. The highest net return was found in the  $T_9$  (50% RDF + 50% Vermicompost + Azospirillum @ 1 Kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) with Rs 1,81,473/ha) and the highest B:C ratio was found in the same with 2.32.

#### **DISCLAIMER (ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE)**

Author(s) hereby declare that NO generative AI technologies such as Large Language Models (ChatGPT, COPILOT, etc) and text-to-image generators have been used during writing or editing of manuscripts.

#### **COMPETING INTERESTS**

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

#### REFERENCES

1. Erickson D, Smith BD, Clarke AC, Sandweiss DH, Tuross N An Asian origin for a 10,000-year-old domesticated plant in the Americas. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences. 2005;102(51): 18315–18320.

- McKay JW. Chromosome numbers in the Cucurbitaceae. Botanical Gazette. 1930; 89:416–417.
- Decker-Walters, Deena S, Wilkins-Ellert, Mary Chung, Sang-Min; Staub, Jack E Discovery and Genetic Assessment of Wild Bottle Gourd [*Lagenaria Siceraria* (Mol.) Standl; Cucurbitaceae] from Zimbabwe. Economic Botany. 2004;58(4):501–508.
- Basumatary, Jebita. Deepanshu, Devi Singh, Vijay Bahadur.. Effect of Organic, Inorganic and Biofertilizers on Growth, Yield and Quality of Sponge Gourd (Luffa Aegyptiaca) Cv. TMSG-1609. International Journal of Plant & Soil Science. 2022; 34(22):1583-88. Available:https://doi.org/10.9734/ijpss/2022

/v34i2231536

5. Patel. Kuldeep Singh, Bankey Lal, Ashutosh Upadhyay, Ram Batuk Singh, Pranjal Singh, Diwakar Singh. Effects of Organic Manures and Inorganic Fertilizer on Growth and Yield Performance of (Raphanus Sativus L.) c.V. Radish Japanese White". Journal of Experimental Agriculture International. 2023;45(10): 139-43.

> Available:https://doi.org/10.9734/jeai/2023/ v45i102208.

 Wu SC, Cao ZH, Li ZG, Cheung KC, Wong MH. Effects of biofertilizer containing Nfixer, P and K solubilizers and AM fungi on maize growth: A greenhouse trial. Geoderma. 2005;125(1-2):155-66.

- Agriculture Statistics at a glance. Directorate of Economics & Statistics. Government of India Ministry of Agriculture & Farmers Welfare Department of Agriculture & Farmers Welfare. 2021;130.
- Singh V. Effect of nutrient management on yield uptake of nutrients and soil fertility under pearl millet (*Pennisetum glaucum*)wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) crop sequence. Annals of Plant and Soil Research. 2019; 21(2):149-53.
- 9. Rosa PAL, Mortinho ES, Jalal A, Galindo FS, Buzetti S, Fernandes GC., et al. Inoculation with growth-promoting bacteria associated with the reduction of phosphate fertilization in sugarcane. Frontiers Environment and Science. 2020;8:1–32.
- 10. Forni C, Duca D, Glick BR. Mechanisms of plant response to salt and drought stress and their alteration by rhizobacteria. Plant Soil. 2017;410:335–356.
- Galindo FS, Teixeira Filho MCM, Buzetti S, Santini JMK, Alves CJ, Nogueira LM., Corn yield and foliar diagnosis affected by nitrogen fertilization and inoculation with *Azospirillum brasilense*. Revista Brasileira de Ciência do Solo. 2016;40:e015036.
   DOI: 10.1590/18069657rbcs20150364
- Garg VK, Gupta R. Vermicomposting of agroindustrial processing waste. In: Biotechnology for Agro-Industrial Residues Utilisation. – Springer, Dordrecht. 2015; 431–456.
- Das R, Mandal AR, Priya A, Das SP, Kabiraj J. Evaluation of integrated nutrient management on performance of bottle gourd. Journal of Applied and Natural Sciences. 2015;7(1):18-25.
- 14. Chaudhary SK, Yadav SK, Mahto DK, Azmi NY, Sinha N, Ranjan A, Sharma RP. Impact of organic and inorganic sources of nutrients on growth, yield attributes and yield of bottle gourd (*Lagenaria siceraria* (Mol.) Standl.) in Bihar. Journal of Agricultural Research. 2017;6(Special Issue):54-58.
- Kishor N, Saravanan SS. Effect of organic and inorganic fertilizer on the growth and yield of bottle gourd [*Lagenaria siceraria* Mol. Standl.]. The Pharma Innovation Journal. 2019;8(7):593-597.
- 16. Pravallika M, Deepanshu. Effect of organic manures and inorganic fertilizers on

growth and yield of bottle gourd (*Lagenaria siceraria* Mol.) standl. International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences. 2020;9(12):2884-2892.

- Nadoda SR, Kotecha AV, Vaghela KS, Aal JM. Effect of different organic manures and PGPR consortium on quality of bottle gourd (*Lagenaria siceraria* MOL. STANDL.) CV. Anand bottle gourd. International Journal of Chemical Studies. 2020;8(2):1842-1845.
- Pathak M, Dash SK, Sahu GS, Tripathy P, Mishra A, Nayak RK. Response of organic, inorganic ad biofertilizers on qualitative, yield and economics of bottle gourd variety BB0G-3-1. International Journal of Environment and Climate Change. 2022; 12(10):714-722.
- 19. Patle BJ, Wagh AP, Umbarkar PS, Bondre SV. Integrated nutrient management studies in bottle gourd. Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry. 2018;7(5):1383-1385.
- 20. Prasad G, Tarai RK, Sethy BK, Nandi A. Studies on the effect of organic and integrated sources of nutrients on yield and economics of Bottle Gourd. International Journal of Minor Fruits, Medicinal and Aromatic Plants. 2019;5(2): 39-44.
- Rabari SS, Vadodaria JR, More SG, Acharya MD, Chaudhary BM. Effect of different organic sources of nitrogen with biofertilizers on plant growth, flowering and sex expression of bottle gourd (*Lagenaria siceraria* Mol. Standl.) cv. Pusa Naveen. Journal of Entomology and Zoology Studies. 2019;7(5):1092-1095.
- 22. Mandloi S, Tomar A, Barche S. Integrated nutrient management in bottle gourd (*Lagenaria siceraria* (Mol) Standl.) variety Kashi Ganga under Malwa condition of Madhya Pradesh. International Journal of Current Science Research and Review. 2022;05(08): 3034-3040.
- Barik T, Gulati JML, Garnayak LM, Bastia DK. Production of vermicompost from agricultural wastes. – Agric. Reviews. 2011;31(3):172–183.
- 24. Bulegon LG, Guimaraes VF, Klein J, Battistus AG, Inagaki AM, Offemann LC, et al. Enzymatic activity, gas exchange and production of soybean co-inoculated with 'Bradyrhizobium japonicum' and 'Azospirillum brasilense. Australian Journal of Crop Science. 2017;11:888–896

# 25. Patel HS, Patel NB, Sarvaiya JP, Chawla SL. Integrated nutrient management (INM) on growth and yield of ridge gourd (*Luffa*

*acutangula* L.) cv. GARG-1. The Pharma Innovation Journal. 2021;10(8): 1064-1069.

© Copyright (2024): Author(s). The licensee is the journal publisher. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history: The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/118415