



Volume 36, Issue 6, Page 11-17, 2024; Article no.JAMMR.116976 ISSN: 2456-8899, NLM ID: 101711724 (Past name: British Journal of Medicine and Medical Research, Past ISSN: 2231-0614, NLM ID: 101570965)

# Study of the Abutment Screw threads of Internal Hexagon and Morse Taper Connection Implants and their loosening after Fatigue Testing

Fernanda Marques Siliano <sup>a</sup>, Cristine Haralambos Bassoukou <sup>b</sup>, Jasiel de Oliveira <sup>b</sup>, Wilson Roberto Sendyk <sup>b</sup>, Marcia Hiromi Tanaka <sup>b\*</sup> and Cecilia Pedroso Turssi <sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Dental Prosthesis, São Leopoldo Mandic, São Paulo - SP, Brazil. <sup>b</sup> Department of Post-Graduation in Implantology, University of Santo Amaro School of Dentistry, São Paulo-SP, Brazil.

#### Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. Authors FMS and CPT conceptualized the study, did formal analysis and helped in project administration. Authors FMS, CHB and CPT did the Investigation and performed the methodology. Authors FMS, CHB, JO, MHT and CPT wrote the original draft. Authors FMS, CHB, WRS, MHT and CPT reviewed and edited the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

#### Article Information

DOI: https://doi.org/10.9734/jammr/2024/v36i65445

**Open Peer Review History:** 

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/116976

Original Research Article

Received: 08/03/2024 Accepted: 10/05/2024 Published: 14/05/2024

# ABSTRACT

**Aims:** To evaluate the length of the thread portion and the distance between the thread pitches of internal hexagon (IH) and morse taper (MT) connection screws and their loosening after fatigue testing.

\*Corresponding author: E-mail: mhtanaka@prof.unisa.br;

*Cite as:* Siliano, F. M., Bassoukou, C. H., Oliveira, J. de, Sendyk, W. R., Tanaka, M. H., & Turssi, C. P. (2024). Study of the Abutment Screw threads of Internal Hexagon and Morse Taper Connection Implants and their loosening after Fatigue Testing. Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research, 36(6), 11–17. https://doi.org/10.9734/jammr/2024/v36i65445

**Methodology:** Ten IH and ten MT implants received abutments torqued 20 N.cm. The implantabutment sets were subjected to fatigue by mechanical cycling. After testing the removal torque of the abutments was measured and the abutments and screws were analyzed by scanning electron microscopy.

**Results:** Student's t-test showed there was no significant difference between the removal torque values among the groups (p=0.609). The length of the screw thread portion of the IH connection was significantly longer than that of the MT connection (p<0.001). There was no significant difference between the groups regarding the distance between the threads of the screws. (p=0.734).

**Conclusion:** The thread portion of screws in the internal hexagon connection was larger than that of screws in the morse taper connection. However, the distance between thread pitches and the removal torque values under fatigue testing were similar for screws in both types of connections.

Keywords: Osseointegrated implants; osseointegration; prostheses and implants; torque; fatigue; bone-anchored prosthesis.

#### **1. INTRODUCTION**

Osseointegrated implants have fundamentally reshaped the landscape of oral rehabilitation for both fully and partially edentulous patients, representing a pivotal advancement in prosthetic treatment modalities and the pervasive adoption of implant-supported prostheses [1,2]. Integral to the functional and clinical success of these implants are stringent criteria encompassing biocompatibility, osseointegration, avoidance of anatomical compromise, and the ability to effectively transfer forces to the surrounding bone within physiological thresholds [3,4]. these advancements, Despite mechanical and biological complications can compromise implant-supported prostheses. adversely affecting patient function and wellbeing [5-8].

One of the most prevalent complications, screw loosening, poses a significant challenge in single implant prostheses. Contributing factors include inadequate torque application during implant placement, suboptimal prosthesis fabrication, improper abutment seating, excessive loading, and design-related issues pertaining to the screws [9,10]. Studies have indicated а correlation between the design of the implantabutment connection system and the incidence of screw loosening, with external connection systems exhibiting higher susceptibility compared to internal hexagon connections [11-15]. On the other hand, internal hexagon connections seem to demonstrate better interface sealing, force distribution, greater stability, and resistance to lateral forces [12-16]. Also compared to the external hexagon system. morse cone platforms have shown less loosening and screw fractures [11,17,18].

A critical mechanical factor to consider in mitigating screw loosening and fracture is the application of preload, defined as the stress induced in the abutment screw during torque application [19]. However, challenges arise due to frictional forces within the screw threads, potentially leading to reduced preloads [9,17,20,21].

Various parameters affect the preload, including the magnitude of the torque, the shape of the screw head, the shape and number of threads, the metal composition, the surface condition, and the screw diameter [22].

This study aimed to evaluate the impact of thread length and pitch distance on screw torque loss in internal hexagon and morse taper connections following fatigue tests. The hypothesis of this study is focused on assessing whether screws with varying thread characteristics exhibit different torque loss behaviors in these two connection types.

#### 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

The sample size in this study was determined based on similar studies in the literature [11,16,21]. A total of 20 implants with 3.75 mm diameter x 13 mm length (Neodent, Curitiba, PR, Brazil) were distributed into two groups with different connection: Internal Hexagon - Titamax II Plus (IH, n=10) and Morse taper -Titamax CM (CM, n=10). To obtain the specimens, self-curing acrylic resin (JET, São Paulo, SP, Brazil) was embedded in PVC tubes, 23 mm height and 17 mm in diameter. After polymerization, a 4 mm diameter by 17 mm height perforation was performed in the center of each specimen using a trephine drill (Neodent, Curitiba, PR, Brazil). The implants were then inserted into the cavities, positioned 1 mm above the resin base, and fixed with the same self-curing acrylic resin.

Abutments were affixed to the implants using specific trunnions for each connection type. A torque of 20 N.cm was applied to the abutments using a digital torgue meter and a 1.17 mm hexagonal wrench (Conexão Sistemas de Prótese, Arujá, São Paulo, Brazil), with a retorque procedure after 10 minutes to ensure preload maintenance, following the protocol proposed by Dixon et al. [23]. Superstructures were fabricated using a wax-up technique and a cobalt-chromium metal alloy (Starloy С, Dentsply, Petrópolis, RJ) according to the manufacturer's specifications, and cemented using a calcium hydroxide-based temporary cement (Hydro C, Dentsply, Petrópolis, RJ, Brazil) under digital pressure for 1 minute by a single operator.

Subsequently, the specimens were subjected to cyclic compressive loading ranging from 10 N to 100 N at a frequency of 25 Hz, as in the study described by Khraisat et al. [24], for a total of 212,600 cycles to simulate one year of masticatory function [25]. Following the fatigue test, the removal torque of the abutments was measured in N.cm using a digital torque meter to assess the maintenance of the pre-established torque.

Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) analysis was conducted on the abutments and screw. Descriptive statistics were performed on the removal torque values, thread portion lengths, and thread pitch distances of the screws of the internal hexagon and morse taper connection implants. Statistical analysis was carried out using t-student and Tukey's test at a 5% significance level to compare the torque loss between the two implant types.

# 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The data showed no significant difference between the values of removal torque presented by the internal hexagon and morse taper connections (P = 0.609), as shown in Table 1. It was verified that the length of the screw thread portion of the internal hexagon connections was significantly greater than that presented by the morse taper connections (P < 0.001 - Table 1), as illustrated in Figs. 1 and 2. Regarding the distance between screw pitches, no significant difference was observed between the screws of both types of connection evaluated (P = 0.734), as shown in Figs. 1 and 2.

This study revealed that there was no statistically significant difference in the torque loss of internal hexagon and morse taper connection screws after simulating one year of masticatory function. However, some studies have shown superiority of the morse taper connection, mainly in relation to a lower torque loss of the screw after fatigue testing [12,13,15,17,26–29].

In some research the morse taper connection has shown an increase in the removal torque value of the prosthetic abutment screw after fatigue testing [21.30,31] which may imply greater clinical stability of the prosthesis over the implant, in the long term [15,31]. This finding may be due to the metal composition of the screw made of titanium alloys that tend to loosen less [10.20]. In this work the allov composition of the components used for both the internal hexagon and the morse taper implants were also made of titanium alloys. However, when the implants of the internal hexagon system were subjected to occlusal loading, in a finite element study, the higher stress concentration was exhibit around the implant neck, and only a small stress concentration is concentrated in the prosthetic abutment screw. This simulation suggests that internal hexagon connections protect the prosthetic abutment screw from accumulated stress, exposing the implant walls to this stress [32].

In view of the findings of this study, both types of connection, morse taper and internal hexagon, behaved similarly concerning the removal torque in fatigue tests.

Due to the fact that screws that receive a lower or inadequate preload exhibit greater micromovement at the implant-abutment interface, retightening the screw to the same torque value ten minutes after the initial torque is recommended to ensure that the preload is maintained [12,33,34], and this exact protocol was used in this research.

To prevent screw loosening, in this research it was used prosthetic components of the same manufacturer of the implants, since prosthetic components from different manufacturers have different chemical and physical characteristics, which may lead to screw loosening [35,36].

Table 1. Mean (±SD) of the torque values of the length of the thread portion and the distanc	ces
of the screw thread pitches of the internal hexagon and morse taper implants	

Connection type	Untorque (N.cm)	Length of thread portion (mm)	Thread pitch distances (μm)
Internal Hexagon	8.3 (1.5) a	3.36 (0.11) a	371.8 (15.0) a
Morse taper	7.4 (4.0) a	2.44 (0.07) b	374.2 (19.9) a

\*Means followed by different lowercase letters indicate statistically significant difference between groups



Fig. 1. Scanning electron microscopy photomicrograph of an internal hexagon connection screw, revealing the length of the threaded portion and the distance between the thread pitches



Fig. 2. Scanning electron microscopy photomicrograph of a morse taper connection screw, revealing the length of the threaded portion and the distance between the thread pitches

It is known that the greater the variation in the angle of the intermediate to the implant, the greater the stress on the structures and bone tissue [37]. So, to evaluate the moment of the extreme force of the load on the analyzed structures, the direction of compressive loading on the specimens was perpendicular to the insertion axis of the implant components, according to the proposal described by Khraisat et al. [24]. However, even though the loading simulated an extreme condition, there was no fracture of the screws or components of the samples analyzed. However, some specimens from the morse taper group showed a small micromovement during the retorquing phase of the abutments. In fact, the variability in this group was three times higher compared to the internal hexagon. The significant difference observed between the length of the threads of the internal hexagon screws compared to the morse taper abutments ones, is probably due to the large number of threads on the internal hexagon screws. This may be because in the case of the internal hexagon connection implant, a two-piece component was used and in the morse taper connection implant only a single body prosthetic abutment was used. It should be noted, however, that although there may have been an effect of fatigue on the screw thread length and the distance of the screw threads of the prosthetic components, this evaluation would depend on the analysis of the samples also at a time prior to the fatigue test.

Regarding the distance of the screw thread pitch the data show that there was no deformation in the thread morphology of the screws and abutments analyzed, although a slight wear of the screw and prosthetic abutment material was observed.

In clinical practice, the success of a prosthetic rehabilitation from the patient's point of view depends on masticatory function and aesthetics, but another very important criterion in treatment planning would be the mechanical stability of the prosthesis. Therefore, torque loss of prosthetic component screws seems to be always linked to mechanical complications related to the prosthesis on implant [2,9,10,17]. In order to increase the predictability of rehabilitative procedures from the point of view of the effect of fatigue, this study showed that there was no difference in the removal torque value between the connections studied and revealed that there were no fractures of the components, even in the face of the significant difference between the

length of the threads of the screws and abutments. However, further research to evaluate the maintenance of preload during fatigue tests would be interesting.

# 4. CONCLUSION

The thread portion of screws in the internal hexagon connection was larger than that of screws in the morse taper connection. However, the distance between thread pitches and the removal torque values under fatigue testing were similar for screws in both types of connections.

# CONSENT AND ETHICAL APPROVAL

It is not applicable.

## **COMPETING INTERESTS**

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

## REFERENCES

- Buser D, Sennerby L, De Bruyn H. Modern implant dentistry based on osseointegration: 50 years of progress, current trends and open questions. Periodontol 2000. 2017;73:7–21. Available:https://doi.org/10.1111/prd.12185
- Available.https://doi.org/10.1111/pid.12103
  Mishra S, Chowdhary R. Evolution of dental implants through the work of peringvar branemark: A systematic review. Indian J Dent Res. 2020;31:930. Available:https://doi.org/10.4103/ijdr.IJDR\_ 587\_18
- Karthik K, Sivakumar, Sivaraj, Thangaswamy V. Evaluation of implant success: A review of past and present concepts. J Pharm Bioallied Sci. 2013;5:117. Available:https://doi.org/10.4103/0975-7406.113310
- 4. Guglielmotti MB, Olmedo DG, Cabrini RL. Research on implants and osseointegration. Periodontol 2000. 2019; 79:178–89.

Available:https://doi.org/10.1111/prd.12254

- Liaw K, Delfini RH, Abrahams JJ. Dental implant complications. Semin Ultrasound CT MRI. 2015;36:427–33. Available:https://doi.org/10.1053/j.sult.2015 .09.007
- 6. Insua A, Monje A, Wang H, Miron RJ. Basis of bone metabolism around dental implants during osseointegration and

peri-implant bone loss. J Biomed Mater Res A. 2017;105:2075–89. Available:https://doi.org/10.1002/jbm.a.360 60

 Chrcanovic B, Albrektsson T, Wennerberg A. Bone quality and quantity and dental implant failure: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Int J Prosthodont. 2017; 30:219–37.

Available:https://doi.org/10.11607/ijp.5142

- Do TA, Le HS, Shen Y-W, Huang H-L, Fuh L-J. Risk factors related to late failure of dental implant—A systematic review of Recent Studies. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2020;17:3931. Available:https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph171 13931
- Huang Y, Wang J. Mechanism of and factors associated with the loosening of the implant abutment screw: A review. J Esthet Restor Dent. 2019;31:338–45. Available:https://doi.org/10.1111/jerd.1249 4
- Alsubaiy E. Abutment screw loosening in implants: A literature review. J Fam Med Prim Care. 2020;9:5490. Available:https://doi.org/10.4103/jfmpc.jfmp c\_1343\_20
- Feitosa PCP, De Lima APB, Silva-Concílio LR, Brandt WC, Claro Neves AC. Stability of external and internal implant connections after a fatigue test. Eur J Dent. 2013;07:267–71. Available:https://doi.org/10.4103/1305-7456.115407.
- Kourtis S, Damanaki M, Kaitatzidou S, Kaitatzidou A, Roussou V. Loosening of the fixing screw in single implant crowns: predisposing factors, prevention and treatment options. J Esthet Restor Dent. 2017;29:233–46.

Available:https://doi.org/10.1111/jerd.1230

- Tsuruta K, Ayukawa Y, Matsuzaki T, Kihara M, Koyano K. The influence of implant–abutment connection on the screw loosening and microleakage. Int J Implant Dent. 2018;4:11. Available:https://doi.org/10.1186/s40729-018-0121-y.
- 14. Vetromilla BM, Brondani LP, Pereira-Cenci T, Bergoli CD. Influence of different implant-abutment connection designs on the mechanical and biological behavior of single-tooth implants in the maxillary esthetic zone: A systematic review. J Prosthet Dent. 2019;121:398-403.e3.

Available:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.prosdent .2018.05.007

 Bittencourt ABBC, Neto CLDMM, Penitente PA, Pellizzer EP, Dos Santos DM, Goiato MC. Comparison of the morse cone connection with the internal hexagon and external hexagon connections based on microleakage – Review. Prague Med Rep. 2021;122:181–90. Available:https://doi.org/10.14712/2336293

Available:https://doi.org/10.14/12/2336293 6.2021.15

- De Oliveira Silva TS, Mendes Alencar SM, Da Silva Valente V, De Moura CDVS. Effect of internal hexagonal index on removal torque and tensile removal force of different Morse taper connection abutments. J Prosthet Dent. 2017; 117:621–7. Available:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.prosdent .2016.07.024
- 17. Pardal-Pelaez B, Montero J. Preload loss of abutment screws after dynamic fatigue in single implant-supported restorations. A systematic review. J Clin Exp Dent. 2017:0–0. Available:https://doi.org/10.4317/jced.5437 4
- Ha C-Y, Lim Y-J, Kim M-J, Choi J-H. The influence of abutment angulation on screw loosening of implants in the anterior maxilla. Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants. 2011;26:45–55.
- Cardoso PC, Reis A, Loguercio A, Vieira LCC, Baratieri LN. Clinical effectiveness and tooth sensitivity associated with different bleaching times for a 10 percent carbamide peroxide Gel. J Am Dent Assoc. 2010;141:1213–20. Available:https://doi.org/10.14219/jada.arc hive.2010.0048
- Tsuge T, Hagiwara Y. Influence of lateraloblique cyclic loading on abutment screw loosening of internal and external hexagon implants. Dent Mater J. 2009;28: 373–81. Available:https://doi.org/10.4012/dmj.28.37 3
- Sammour SR, Maamoun El-Sheikh M, Aly El-Gendy A. Effect of implant abutment connection designs, and implant diameters on screw loosening before and after cyclic loading: *In vitro* study. Dent Mater. 2019;35:e265–71. Available:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dental.2 019.07.026
- 22. Misch CE. Consideration of biomechanical stress in treatment with dental implants.

Dent Today. 2006;25:80, 82, 84–5; quiz 85.

23. Dixon DL, Breeding LC, Sadler JP, McKay ML. Comparison of screw loosening, rotation, and deflection among three implant designs. J Prosthet Dent. 1995;74: 270–8.

Available:https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-3913(05)80134-9

- Khraisat A, Stegaroiu R, Nomura S, Miyakawa O. Fatigue resistance of two implant/abutment joint designs. J Prosthet Dent. 2002;88:604–10. Available:https://doi.org/10.1067/mpr.2002. 129384
- 25. Okeson JP. Management of temporomandibular disorders and occlusion. 8th edition. St. Louis: Mosby; 2020.
- Krennmair G, Seemann R, Schmidinger S, Ewers R, Piehslinger E. Clinical outcome of root-shaped dental implants of various diameters: 5-year results. Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants. 2010;25:357–66.
- Pita MS, Anchieta RB, Barão VAR, Garcia IR, Pedrazzi V, Assunção WG. Prosthetic platforms in implant dentistry: J Craniofac Surg. 2011;22:2327–31. Available:https://doi.org/10.1097/SCS.0b01 3e318232a706
- Pellizzer EP, Carli RI, Falcón-Antenucci RM, Verri FR, Goiato MC, Villa LMR. Photoelastic analysis of stress distribution with different implant systems. J Oral Implantol. 2014;40:117–22. Available:https://doi.org/10.1563/AAID-JOI-D-11-00138
- 29. Lemos CAA, Verri FR, Bonfante EA, Santiago Júnior JF, Pellizzer EP. Comparison of external and internal implant-abutment connections for implant supported prostheses. A systematic review and meta-analysis. J Dent. 2018;70:14–22. Available:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jdent.20 17.12.001
- 30. Coppedè F. Risk factors for down syndrome. Arch Toxicol. 2016;90:2917–29. Available:https://doi.org/10.1007/s00204-016-1843-3

- Vinhas AS, Aroso C, Salazar F, López-Jarana P, Ríos-Santos JV, Herrero-Climent M. Review of the mechanical behavior of different implant–abutment connections. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2020;17:8685. Available:https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph172 28685
- 32. Segundo RMH, Oshima HMS, da Silva INL, Burnett LH, Mota EG, Silva LL. Stress distribution of an internal connection implant prostheses set: A 3D finite element analysis. Stomatologija. 2009;11: 55–9.
- Vinhas AS, Aroso C, Salazar F, Relvas M, Braga AC, Ríos-Carrasco B, et al. *In vitro* study of preload loss in different implant abutment connection designs. Materials. 2022;15:1392. Available:https://doi.org/10.3390/ma15041 392.
- Xu Y, Li W, Su M. Clinical Assessment of preload maintenance in the abutment screws of single posterior implants after 1 month of use. Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants. 2021;36:177–81. Available:https://doi.org/10.11607/jomi.831
- Rizvi N, Alyahya Y, Rizvi A, Narvekar U, Petridis H. Accuracy of Original vs. non-original abutments using various connection geometries for single unit restorations: A systematic review. J Prosthodont. 2022;31. Available:https://doi.org/10.1111/jopr.1346 4
- Alonso-Pérez R, Bartolomé JF, Pradíes G. Original vs compatible stock abutmentimplant connection: An *In vitro* analysis of the internal accuracy and mechanical fatigue behaviour. J Prosthodont Res. 2022;66:476–83. Available:https://doi.org/10.2186/jpr.JPR\_D \_20\_00066
- 37. Clelland NL, Gilat A, McGlumphy EA, Brantley WA. A photoelastic and strain gauge analysis of angled abutments for an implant system. Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants. 1993;8:541–8.

Peer-review history: The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/116976

<sup>©</sup> Copyright (2024): Author(s). The licensee is the journal publisher. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.