

Journal of Experimental Agriculture International

Volume 45, Issue 12, Page 257-265, 2023; Article no.JEAI.111688 ISSN: 2457-0591 (Past name: American Journal of Experimental Agriculture, Past ISSN: 2231-0606)

# Effect of Microbial Inoculants on Leghemoglobin Content in Nodules and Microbial Populations in Rhizosphere of Soybean in a Vertisols

# R. K. Sahu <sup>a++</sup>, Sanjeet Kumar <sup>b</sup>, Risikesh Thakur <sup>c++\*</sup> and N. G. Mitra <sup>a#</sup>

 <sup>a</sup> Department of Soil Science and Agricultural Chemistry, College of Agriculture, JNKVV, Jabalpur, Madhya Pradesh, India.
 <sup>b</sup> Department of Soil Science, JNKVV, Jabalpur, Madhya Pradesh, India.
 <sup>c</sup> Department of Soil Science and Agricultural Chemistry, College of Agriculture, Balaghat, Madhya Pradesh, India.

### Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

#### Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/JEAI/2023/v45i122291

#### **Open Peer Review History:**

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: <u>https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/111688</u>

> Received: 25/10/2023 Accepted: 29/12/2023 Published: 30/12/2023

Original Research Article

# ABSTRACT

The present study was carried out during kharif season 2019-20 at the Research Farm, Department of Soil Science & Agricultural Chemistry, Jawaharlal Nehru Krishi Vishwa Vidyalaya, Jabalpur, Madhya Pradesh (INDIA), to assess the effect of microbial inoculants on leghemoglobin content in nodules and microbial populations in rhizosphere of soybean in a Vertisols. The experiment was

++Assistant Professor;

\*Ex-Head and Professor;

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author: E-mail: drrkthakur28@gmail.com;

J. Exp. Agric. Int., vol. 45, no. 12, pp. 257-265, 2023

laid out under randomized block design with three replications. The fifteen treatments comprised of different beneficial microbial consortia in possible combinations applied as seed treatments. Besides these, two control plots were maintained as fertilized un-inoculated control (FUI) and unfertilized un-inoculated control (UFUI). The results revealed that the significant improvement were noticed by the application of consortia NPK+EM+PGPR in leghemoglobin content in nodules at 25, 45 & 65 DAS over control. Similarly, the microbial populations of the diazotroph, PSB, KSB, PGPR, phototroph, Lactic bacterium, actinomycetes, fungus and yeast were maximum in NPK+EM+PGPR treatment and followed by PK+EM+PGPR treatments. Thus, it may be concluded that the consortium of NPK + EM + PGPR was superior for maximum nodulation and microbial population in rhizosphere of soybean in a Vertisol.

Keywords: Microbial inoculants; leghemoglobin; microbial population; soybean, Vertisols.

# 1. INTRODUCTION

"Soybean (Glycine max L.) is an important leguminous oil seed crop, which contains 40-45% protein and 18-20% oil. The top two soybean growing states in India are Madhya Pradesh and Maharashtra with 45% and 40% shares, respectively. In Madhya Pradesh the soybean cultivation spreads over 5.2 M ha with total annual production of 6.7 M tones and productivity of 1285 kg ha-1" [1, 2]. "Soybean rhizosphere harbors vast proportions of soil microorganisms. whose activities largely determine the biological condition of the soil and influence the plant growth right from seed germination to maturity" [3]. "Different microbial consortia i.e. Pseudomonas as PGPR is the most efficient and effective strain with significant remarks on isolates of P. fluorescens and P. putida increasing growth and yield of different crops, especially legumes. Rhizobium (diazotroph) is a Gram- negative bacterium and symbiotic N2-fixer with roots of legumes" [4]. "It colonizes the roots of specific legumes to form tumor like growths called root nodules, which acts as the factories of ammonia production. Bacillus subtilis a soil Gram positive catalasepositive bacterium is known also as the hay bacillus or grass bacillus. The Bacillus sp. produces soluble exudates which is composed of five organic acids; gluconic acids, succinic acids, lactic acetic and propionic acids. The action of organic acids is recognized as a major mechanism responsible for the release of phosphates from the hydroxyl apatites. Frateuria aurantia is a potassium solubilizer, which increases the potassium uptake by the plant" [5].

Isolates of constitutional microorganisms of EM culture (Effective Microbial Culture) individually have already been evidenced beneficial but their consortium could be more valuable to augment the supply of nutrients through solubilization, anti-phytopathogenicity, induced phytoresistance

and phytostimulator. In view of the above, the present study was conducted to find the effect of different microbial inoculants on leghemoglobin content and microbial populations in rhizosphere of soybean in a Vertisols.

#### 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

The present investigation was conducted during kharif 2019-20 under All India Network Project on Biofertilizers, to assess the effect of different microbial inoculants on leghemoglobin content in nodules and microbial populations in rhizosphere of soybean in a Vertisol at the Research Farm, Department of Soil Science, Jawaharlal Nehru Krishi Vishwa Vidyalaya, Jabalpur, Madhya Pradesh (INDIA). It is situated at 23°10'N latitude and 79°57" E longitude at 393 meters above the mean sea level.

"The soil of the experimental site is belonging to Kheri series of fine montmorillonitic hyperthermic family of Typic Haplusterts (Vertisol) with pH of 7.15, electrical conductivity 0.24 dS m<sup>-1</sup> (1 : 2.5 soil : water ratio) and organic carbon 5.2 g kg<sup>-1</sup>. The soil available N, P and K were 226, 15.8 and 282 kg ha-1, respectively. The experiment comprised 15 treatments with three replications having 45 plots laid out under randomized block design (RBD). The treatments of different liquid biofertilizers either solo and/or consortia were applied on soybean (cv. JS 2069) as seed treatment / basal application as per the appropriate recommendations. The biofertilizers used were diazotroph (Rhizobium), PSB Phosphate Solubilizing Bacteria (Bacillus sp.), KSB- Potash Solubilizing Bacteria (Fraturia PGPR- Plant Growth Promoting aurentia). Rhizobacteria (Pseudomonas fluorescens) and EM- Effective microbial culture/consortium (six bacteria, two fungus and one actinomycetes) culture" [5]. The different treatment combinations are presented in Table 1.

	Treatment Combinations					
T <sub>1</sub>	Rhizobium	Тя	NPK + PGPR			
T <sub>2</sub>	NPK consortium	T <sub>10</sub>	PK + EM			
T₃	EM culture	<b>T</b> <sub>11</sub>	PK + PGPR			
T <sub>4</sub>	PGPR	<b>T</b> <sub>12</sub>	NPK+EM+PGPR			
T₅	PK Consortium	T <sub>13</sub>	PK + EM + PGPR			
T <sub>6</sub>	Rhizobium +EM	<b>T</b> 14	FUI			
<b>T</b> 7	Rhizobium + PGPR	T <sub>15</sub>	UFUI			
T <sub>8</sub>	NPK + EM					

Table 1. Details of different treatment combinations

The recommended NPK dose for soybean, based on initial soil test, was 20:80:20 (N :  $P_2O_5$  :  $K_2O$  kg ha<sup>-1</sup>). The sources of N, P and K used were urea, single super phosphate and muriate of potash. Besides these, two types of control plots were maintained as fertilized uninoculated control (FUI) and unfertilized uninoculated control (UFUI) to measure the comparative effects of different microbial inoculants.

#### 2.1 Leghemoglobin Content in Nodules

In the present study, leghemoglobin content in nodules of soybean crop was estimated by the cyanmethemoglobin method. The principle of this method is the conversion of hemoglobin into cyanmethemoglobin by the addition of potassium cyanide and ferricyanide, whose absorbance is measured at 540 nm in a spectrophotometer against a standard solution. This method is considered as a reference method because it is accurate, cheap, sensitive to small variations [6].

## 2.2 Estimation of Microbial Populations in Rhizospheric Soil

Samples of rhizospheric soil were used as fresh as possible without grinding, sieving or any modifications. The collected samples were kept in low density polyethylene bags and could be stored in refrigerator at 4°C. At the start of the experiment, microbial population counts ware analyzed by Serial Dilution Technique [7]. The initial microbial population counts of experimental soils presented in Table 2.

# 2.3 Statistical Analysis

The data generated on leghemoglobin content in soybean nodules and microbial populations in rhizospheric soil were statistically analyzed to draw suitable inference as per standard method [8].

# 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

# 3.1 Leghemoglobin Content in Soybean Nodules

The leghemoglobin content was determined in the soybean root nodules at 25, 45 and 65 DAS and presented in Table 3. At 25 DAS of soybean, the leghemoglobin content in nodules varied from 2.10 to 3.31 mg g<sup>-1</sup> nodule with a mean value of 2.74 mg g<sup>-1</sup> nodule. The maximum leghemoglobin content was estimated with the treatment NPK+EM+PGPR of soybean nodules (3.31 mg g<sup>-1</sup> fresh nodule weight) which was 45.7% more as compared to that of FUI (2.27 mg nodule weiaht). followed a-1 fresh bv Rhizo+PGPR. PK+EM+PGPR. Rhizo+EM, NPK+EM, EM culture, NPK+PGPR, NPK consortium and Rhizobium for leghemoglobin content of 3.29, 3.26, 3.18, 3.07, 2.89, 2.89, 2.74 2.71 mg g<sup>-1</sup> nodule, respectively and corresponding to increment of 44.9, 43.6, 40.2, 35.2, 27.2, 27.2, 20.7 and 19.2%, respectively over that of FUI.

Similarly, the results at 45 DAS and 65 DAS of soybean showed that the application of NPK+EM+PGPR contributed to the maximum leghemoglobin content of 3.76 and 3.11 mg g<sup>-1</sup> nodule with response of 38 and 50%, respectively over that of FUI. The higher leghemoglobin contents in this treatments due to the better root and nodules development [9, 10].

### 3.2 Microbial Populations (*Rhizobium,* PSB, KSB and PGPR) in Rhizosphere of Soybean at Harvest

The population counts of *Rhizobium* in rhizosoheric soil of soybean at harvest are presented in Table 4. It is apparent from results that the *Rhizobium* population were maximum of 6.48 log cfu ( $30.19 \times 10^5$  cfu g<sup>-1</sup> soil) with the

inoculation of consortium NPK+EM+PGPR along with the relative response of 1.85 log fold increase over that of control FUI (3.51 log cfu =  $32.35 \times 10^2$  cfu g<sup>-1</sup> soil). The population of soybean rhizobia in rhizospheric soil was also noted more with 80 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>+LRh by 1.69, 1.55 and 1.57 log folds, respectively over to that of control (3.297, 5.499 and 4.619 log cfu g<sup>-1</sup> soil at 21 DAS, 45 DAS and at harvest, respectively [11, 12].

The data on populations of PSB (*Bacillus* sp.) in rhizospheric soil of soybean at harvest are presented in Table 4. The consortium of NPK+EM+PGPR increased the maximum microbial population maximum for the PSB counts of 9.81 log cfu ( $64.56 \times 10^8$  cfu g<sup>-1</sup> soil) and increment of 1.38 log fold over that of FUI (log cfu7.11 =  $12.88 \times 10^6$  cfu g<sup>-1</sup> soil). The findings showed that the seed treatment with *A*.

*awamori*increased fungal (25.25 and 29.06 cfu x  $10^3$  /g) and actinomycetes population (23.44 and 26.19 cfu x  $10^8$ /g) while *B. polymixa* increased phosphate solubilizing bacterial counts (21.37 and 23.31 cfu x  $10^6$ /g) significantly at 30 and 60 DAS, respectively. The application of FYM (5 t/ha) had significantly increased the fungi (22.21 and 27.25 cfu x  $10^3$ /g), actinomycetes (20.37 and 23.77 cfu x  $10^8$  /g), bacterial (30.55 and 36.02 cfu x  $10^6$ /g) and PSB population (18.42 and 21.30 cfu x  $10^6$  /g) in the soybean field at 30 and 60 DAS, respectively [13,14]. Further, the inoculation of NPK+EM+PGPR might have given added advantages over native microbial population [15,16].

The data pertaining to the population of KSB (*F. aurantia*) in soil of soybean at harvest werecounted and listed in Table 4. The

Table 2. Initial microbial population counts of experimental soils
--

Particulars	Population Counts (cfu g <sup>-1</sup> )		
Rhizobium	4.52 X 10 <sup>6</sup>		
Phosphorous Solubilizing Bacteria - PSB	3.67 X 10⁵		
Potash Solubilizing Bacteria - KSB	3.91 X 10 <sup>7</sup>		
Pseudomonasn sp. (PGPR)	5.43 X 10 <sup>7</sup>		
Rhodopseudomonas sp.	1.27 X 10 <sup>3</sup>		
Sachhromyces sp.	2.19 X 10 <sup>3</sup>		
Streptomyces sp.	1.93 X 104		
Aspergillus sp.	1.51 X 10 <sup>3</sup>		
Lactobacillus sp.	2.14 X 10 <sup>3</sup>		

# Table 3. Effect of microbial inoculants on leghemoglobin content in nodules of soybean at different growth stages

Treatment	Leghemoglobin content in nodules (mg g <sup>-1</sup> nodule)			
	25 DAS	45 DAS	65 DAS	
Rhizobium	2.75	3.19	2.54	
NPK consortium	2.74	3.19	2.54	
EM culture	2.89	3.34	2.69	
PGPR	2.21	2.66	2.01	
PK consortium	2.30	2.75	2.10	
Rhizobium +EM	3.18	3.63	2.98	
Rhizobium +PGPR	3.26	3.71	3.06	
NPK +EM	3.07	3.52	2.87	
NPK+PGPR	2.89	3.34	2.69	
PK+EM	2.63	3.08	2.43	
PK+PGPR	2.65	3.10	2.45	
NPK+EM+PGPR	3.31	3.76	3.11	
PK+EM+PGPR	3.29	3.74	3.09	
FUI	2.27	2.72	2.07	
UFUI	2.10	2.55	1.90	
SE <sub>m</sub> ±	0.16	0.16	0.16	
CD ( <i>p=0.05</i> )	0.46	0.46	0.46	

consortium of NPK+EM +PGPR was found statistically superior to increase KSB population of 7.42 log cfu (26.30x10<sup>6</sup> cfu g<sup>-1</sup> soil) along with the relative response of 1.93 log fold increase over that of FUI (3.85 log cfu =  $70.79 \times 10^2$  cfu g<sup>-1</sup> soil). Similar results were also obtained by Savaliya et al. [17], reported that the application of 45 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>/ha + PSB seed inoculation + PSB soil application (P<sub>3</sub>) were recorded significantly highest PSB count at 30 DAS (6.72×106), 60 DAS (7.30×10<sup>6</sup>) and at harvest (9.48×10<sup>6</sup>). Similarly, application of 45 kg K<sub>2</sub>O/ha + KSB seed inoculation + KSB soil application  $(K_3)$ recorded significantly the highest KSB count at 30 DAS (6.58×106), 60 DAS (7.48×106) and harvest (9.53×10<sup>6</sup>).

The data on population of PGPR (*P. fluorescens*) in soil (0-15 cm) of soybean revealed that the consortium of NPK+EM+PGPR were recorded (Table 4) maximum population of PGPR for 9.66 log cfu (45.70x10<sup>8</sup> cfu g<sup>-1</sup> soil) with the relative response of 1.57 log fold increase than that of control FUI (6.16 log cfu =  $14.45 \times 10^5$  cfu g<sup>-1</sup> soil), followed by the PK+EM+PGPR1.56 log fold increase over that of FUI [18,19]. Pseudomonas population in soil ranged from 6.5 to 8.02x10<sup>4</sup> cfu g<sup>-1</sup> of soil, maximum was influenced by seed inoculation with Pseudomonas at 3 g kg-1 [20]. "It might be attributed to the synergistic effect of the microorganism's present consortium NPK+EM+PGPR contributing to higher PGPR

population at harvest. *Pseudomonas* are the most efficient and effective strain that can act as PGPR. *P. fluorescens* has simple nutritional requirements and grows well in mineral salts media supplemented with any of a large number of carbon sources" [21, 22].

#### 3.3 Microbial Populations of EM Culture (*Rhodopseudomonas* sp., *Lactobacillus* sp., *Streptomyces* sp., *Aspergillus* sp. and *Saccharomyces*) in Soil at Harvest of Soybean

The population of phototroph data on Rhodopseudomonas sp. (one of EM organism) in soil at harvest of soybean crop are listed in Table 5. It was noticed that the population of the phototrophic bacteria was enumerated maximum of 5.79 log cfu ( $61.65 \times 10^4$  cfu g<sup>-1</sup> soil) with the relative response of 1.85 log fold increase with inoculation of consortium of PK+EM+PGPR over that of FUI (log cfu  $3.13 = 1.34 \times 10^3$  cfu g<sup>-1</sup> soil). The inoculation of PPB (Phototrophic Purple Bacteria: Rhodopseudomonas palustris) increased populations of PPB in the plots, significantly increased by the inoculation. This might be attributed due to the ability of R. palustris to degrade aromatic compounds has been researched extensively. R. palustris utilizes a reductive coenzyme to convert, via reduction and dehalogentation, 3-chlorobenzoate into acetyl CoA and carbon dioxide [22, 23].

Treatment	Population of microorganism [log cfu and in parenthesis cfu g <sup>-1</sup> soil]					
	Diazotroph	PSB	KSB	PGPR		
	(Rhizobium sp.)	( <i>Bacillus</i> sp.)	( <i>Fraturia</i> sp.)	(Pseudomonas		
				sp.)		
Rhizobium	5.71 (51.28x10 <sup>4</sup> )	7.17 (14.79x10 <sup>6</sup> )	4.92 (83.17x10 <sup>3</sup> )	7.04 (10.9x10 <sup>6</sup> )		
NPK consortium	5.86 (72.44x10 <sup>4</sup> )	7.75 (56.23x10 <sup>6</sup> )	6.31 (20.41x10 <sup>5</sup> )	6.96 (91.20x10 <sup>6</sup> )		
EM culture	4.62 (41.68x10 <sup>3</sup> )	7.51 (32.35x10 <sup>6</sup> )	5.25 (17.78x10 <sup>4</sup> )	7.93 (85.11x10 <sup>6</sup> )		
PGPR	4.61 (40.73x10 <sup>2</sup> )	7.37 (23.44x10 <sup>6</sup> )	4.80 (63.09x10 <sup>3</sup> )	9.57 (37.15x10 <sup>8</sup> )		
PK consortium	5.61 (40.73x10 <sup>4</sup> )	8.79 (61.65x10 <sup>7</sup> )	6.73 (53.70x10 <sup>5</sup> )	8.73 (53.70x10 <sup>7</sup> )		
Rhizobium+EM	5.14 (13.80x10 <sup>4</sup> )	8.20 (15.84x10 <sup>7</sup> )	4.66 (45.70x10 <sup>3</sup> )	8.20 (15.84x10 <sup>7</sup> )		
Rhizobium+PGPR	5.42 (26.30x10 <sup>4</sup> )	8.31 (20.41x10 <sup>7</sup> )	6.44 (27.54x10 <sup>5</sup> )	8.87 (74.13x10 <sup>7</sup> )		
NPK +EM	5.69 (48.97x10 <sup>4</sup> )	8.95 (89.12x10 <sup>7</sup> )	5.93 (85.11x10 <sup>4</sup> )	8.11 (12.88x10 <sup>7</sup> )		
NPK+PGPR	5.13 (13.37x10 <sup>4</sup> )	8.86 (72.44x10 <sup>7</sup> )	6.53 (33.88x10 <sup>5</sup> )	8.96 (91.20x10 <sup>7</sup> )		
PK+EM	5.93 (85.11x10 <sup>4</sup> )	9.04 (10.96x10 <sup>8</sup> )	6.74 (54.95x10 <sup>5</sup> )	8.99 (97.72x10 <sup>7</sup> )		
PK+PGPR	5.69 (48.97x10 <sup>4</sup> )	9.51 (35.48x10 <sup>8</sup> )	6.80 (63.09x10 <sup>5</sup> )	9.27 (18.62x10 <sup>8</sup> )		
NPK+EM+PGPR	6.48 (30.19x10 <sup>5</sup> )	9.81 (64.56x10 <sup>8</sup> )	7.42 (26.30x10 <sup>6</sup> )	9.66 (45.70x10 <sup>8</sup> )		
PK+EM+PGPR	6.44 (27.54x10 <sup>5</sup> )	9.32 (20.89x10 <sup>8</sup> )	7.24 (17.37x10 <sup>6</sup> )	9.61 (40.73x10 <sup>8</sup> )		
FUI	3.51 (32.35x10 <sup>2</sup> )	7.11 (12.88x10 <sup>6</sup> )	3.85 (70.79x10 <sup>2</sup> )	6.16 (14.45x10 <sup>5</sup> )		
UFUI	3.08 (12.02x10 <sup>2</sup> )	6.51 (32.35x10 <sup>5</sup> )	2.62 (41.60x10 <sup>1</sup> )	5.79 (61.65x10 <sup>4</sup> )		
SE <sub>m</sub> ±	0.41	0.39	0.60	0.38		
CD ( <i>p=0.05</i> )	1.14	1.13	1.20	1.10		

 Table 4. Effect of microbial inoculants on microbial populations of *Rhizobium*, PSB, KSB and PGPR in rhizosphere of soybean at harvest

Treatment	Population of microorganism [log cfu and in parenthesis cfu g <sup>-1</sup> soil]					
	Phtotroph R.	Lactic bacterium		Fungus	Yeast	
	palustris	L. lactis	S. badius	A. niger	S. cerevicae	
Rhizobium	3.26 (1.81x10 <sup>3</sup> )	2.62 (4.16x10 <sup>2</sup> )	2.83 (6.76x10 <sup>2</sup> )	2.66 (4.57x10 <sup>2</sup> )	3.88 (7.58x10 <sup>3</sup> )	
NPK consortium	3.14 (1.38x10 <sup>3</sup> )	2.91 (8.12x10 <sup>2</sup> )	3.01 (1.04x10 <sup>3</sup> )	2.77 (5.88x10 <sup>2</sup> )	4.07 (11.74x10 <sup>3</sup> )	
EM culture	3.58 (3.80x10 <sup>3</sup> )	4.34 (21.87x10 <sup>3</sup> )	4.18 (15.13x10 <sup>3</sup> )	3.83 (6.76x10 <sup>3</sup> )	5.96 (91.20x10 <sup>4</sup> )	
PGPR	3.05 (1.12x10 <sup>3</sup> )	2.88 (7.58x10 <sup>2</sup> )	3.29 (1.94x10 <sup>3</sup> )	2.97 (9.33x10 <sup>2</sup> )	3.78 (6.02x10 <sup>3</sup> )	
PK consortium	3.24 (1.73x10 <sup>3</sup> )	2.96 (9.12x10 <sup>2</sup> )	3.35 (2.23x10 <sup>3</sup> )	3.46 (2.88x10 <sup>3</sup> )	4.17(14.79x10 <sup>3</sup> )	
Rhizobium +EM	4.43 (26.91x10 <sup>3</sup> )	4.12 (13.18x10 <sup>3</sup> )	4.19 (15.48x10 <sup>3</sup> )	3.65 (4.46x10 <sup>3</sup> )	5.80 (63.09x10 <sup>4</sup> )	
Rhizobium +PGPR	4.08 (12.02x10 <sup>3</sup> )	4.03 (10.71x10 <sup>3</sup> )	3.70 (5.01x10 <sup>3</sup> )	3.18 (1.51x10 <sup>3</sup> )	4.60 (39.81x10 <sup>3</sup> )	
NPK +EM	3.99 (9.77x10 <sup>3</sup> )	4.04 (10.96x10 <sup>3</sup> )	4.31 (20.41x10 <sup>3</sup> )	3.93 (8.51x10 <sup>3</sup> )	5.47 (29.51x10 <sup>4</sup> )	
NPK+PGPR	4.08 (12.02x10 <sup>3</sup> )	4.23 (16.98x10 <sup>3</sup> )	3.23 (1.69x10 <sup>3</sup> )	3.59 (3.89x10 <sup>3</sup> )	4.67 (46.77x10 <sup>3</sup> )	
PK+EM	5.44 (27.54x10 <sup>4</sup> )	5.08 (12.02x10 <sup>4</sup> )	4.25 (17.78x10 <sup>3</sup> )	4.10 (12.58x10 <sup>3</sup> )	5.78 (60.25x10 <sup>4</sup> )	
PK+PGPR	5.14 (13.80x10 <sup>4</sup> )	4.59 (38.90x10 <sup>3</sup> )	4.16 (14.45x10 <sup>3</sup> )	3.99 (9.77x10 <sup>3</sup> )	4.94 (87.09x10 <sup>3</sup> )	
NPK+EM+PGPR	5.58 (38.01x10 <sup>4</sup> )	5.53 (33.88x10 <sup>4</sup> )	4.64 (43.65x10 <sup>3</sup> )	4.28 (19.05x10 <sup>3</sup> )	6.16 (14.45x10 <sup>5</sup> )	
PK+EM+PGPR	5.79 (61.65x10 <sup>4</sup> )	4.94 (87.06x10 <sup>3</sup> )	4.63 (42.65x10 <sup>3</sup>	4.15 (14.12x10 <sup>3</sup> )	6.03 (10.71x10 <sup>5</sup> )	
FUI	3.13 (1.34x10 <sup>3</sup> )	2.67 (4.67x10 <sup>2</sup> )	2.76 (6.16x10 <sup>2</sup> )	2.58 (3.80x10 <sup>2</sup> )	3.85 (7.07x10 <sup>3</sup> )	
UFUI	2.61 (4.07x10 <sup>2</sup> )	2.29 (1.94x10 <sup>2</sup> )	2.55 (3.54x10 <sup>2</sup> )	2.45 (2.81x10 <sup>2</sup> )	3.12 (1.31x10 <sup>3</sup> )	
SE <sub>m</sub> ±	0.23	0.25	0.23	0.23	0.29	
CD ( <i>p=0.05</i> )	0.67	0.72	0.66	0.66	0.84	

# Table 5. Effect of microbial inoculants on population of microorganisms of EM culture in soil of soybean at harvest

Data pertaining to the population of lactic bacteria Lactobacillus sp. (one of EM culture) in rhizosphere of soybean revealed that the consortium of NPK+EM+PGPR recorded (Table 5) maximum microbial population of 5.53 log cfu (33.88x10<sup>4</sup> cfu g<sup>-1</sup> soil) with the relative response of 2.07 log fold increase as compare to that of FUI (2.67 log cfu =  $4.67 \times 10^2$  cfu g<sup>-1</sup> soil). This was followed by the response from PK+EM and PK+EM+PGPR for 5.08 log cfu (12.02x10<sup>4</sup> cfu g<sup>-1</sup> soil) and 4.94 log cfu ( $87.06 \times 10^3$  cfu g<sup>-1</sup> soil), respectively along with the response of 1.90 and 1.85log fold increase over that of FUI. "The numbers of bacteria, fungi and actinomycetes has increased after the soil was treated with EM cultures. EM cultures markedly increased the numbers of Enterobacter sp. and starch digesting bacteria over that of unfertilized" [24].

The populations of actinomycetes S. badius (one of EM culture) in soil of soybean at harvest are exhibited in Table 5. The consortium of NPK+EM+PGPR achieved maximum population of the actinomycetes for 4.64 log cfu (43.65x10<sup>3</sup> cfu g<sup>-1</sup> soil) with the relative response of 1.66 log fold increase over that of control FUI (2.76 log  $cfu = 6.16x10^2 cfu g^{-1}$  soil). Similarly, the actinomycetes population in soil from most of the plots sampled was about 5x10<sup>5</sup>cfu g<sup>-1</sup> of dry [25, 26]. The seed inoculation with Pseudomonas at 3 g kg<sup>-1</sup> showed the maximum number of actinomycetes counts 11.4x10<sup>5</sup> cfu g<sup>-1</sup> soil that was 13.9% more actinomycetes population over that of control. All the treatments showed numerical increase in actinomycetes population in comparison to that of control.

The population of fungus *Aspergillus* sp. (one of EM culture) in rhizosphere of soybean at harvest are shown in Table 5. It is apparent from the results that the consortium of NPK+EM+PGPR recorded the maximum population of *A. Niger* by 4.28 log cfu (19.05x10<sup>3</sup> cfu g<sup>-1</sup> soil) with the relative response of 1.66 log fold increase over the control FUI 2.58 log cfu (3.80x10<sup>2</sup>cfu g<sup>-1</sup> soil). The fungus *A. niger* grows aerobically on organic matter as a common contaminant. It is known to remove the heavy metals like lead, cadmium and copper from the water [27. 28].

The results clearly showed that the population of yeast *S. cerevisiae* (one of EM culture) in soil of soybean at harvest was increased from 3.12 log cfu  $(1.31 \times 10^{3}$ cfu g<sup>-1</sup> soil) to 6.16 log cfu  $(14.45\times 10^{5}$ cfu g<sup>-1</sup> soil) with mean value of 4.82 log cfu  $(66.06\times 10^{3}$ g<sup>-1</sup> soil). The consortium of NPK+EM+PGPR boosted the population of yeast to maximum by 6.16 log cfu  $(14.45\times 10^{5}$  cfu g<sup>-1</sup>

soil) with the relative response of 1.60 log fold increase over that of control FUI (3.85 log cfu =  $7.07 \times 10^3$  cfu g<sup>-1</sup> soil), followed by the performance of PK+EM+PGPR and EM culture for 6.03 log cfu (10.71 \times 10^5 cfu g<sup>-1</sup> soil) and 5.96 log cfu (91.20 \times 10^4 cfu g<sup>-1</sup> soil), respectively corresponding to the increment of 1.27 and 1.55 log fold over that of FUI.

"Soil yeast and yeast-like fungi produce a variety biologically active compounds of (phytohormones. vitamins. amino acids. enzymes etc.) that have active stimulating effect on the plant growth and development and help to increase their productivity. In addition, yeasts produce antimicrobial substances helping to reduce phytopathogenic infection. Rhizobium, Pseudomonas spp., Bacillus subtilis, Frateuria aurantia and effective microorganisms (EM culture) isolates individually are found beneficial but their consortium could be more valuable resource to augment the supply of nutrients through solubilization or mobilization of nutrients" [29,30].

## 4. CONCLUSION

It was concluded that the microbial populations of the diazotroph, PSB, KSB, PGPR, phototroph, Lactic bacterium, actinomycetes, fungus and yeast were maximum in NPK+EM+PGPR treatment and followed by PK+EM+PGPR treatments. Microbes of EM culture enhance plant growth and productivity by fixing atmospheric nitrogen and supplementing the plants with the fixed nitrogen as ammonia. Additionally, the release of trace elements, secreted antioxidants, exo-polysaccharides, bioactive compounds (vitamins, hormones and enzymes) by the EMs stimulated plant growth and productivity.

#### **COMPETING INTERESTS**

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

#### REFERENCES

- 1. SOPA. The soybean Processors Association of India; 2018. Available: Email: sopa@sopa.org
- Khandagle A, Dwivedi BS, Dwivedi AK, Panwar S,Thakur RK. Nitrogen fractions under long-term fertilizer and manure applications in soybean – wheat rotation in a Vertisol. Journal of the Indian Society of Soil Science. 2020;68:186-193.

- Awasthi R, Tewari R, Nayyar H. Synergy between plants and P solubilizing microbes in soils: effects on growth and physiology of crops. International Research Journal of Microbiology, 2011; 2(12):484-503.
- Sawarkar SD, Thakur Risikesh, Khamparia RS. Impact of Long Term Continuous Use of Inorganic and Organic Nutrients on Micronutrients Uptake by Soybean in Vertisol. *Journal of Soils and Crops.* 2010;20 (2):207 – 210.
- Kumar Sanjeet RK, Sahu RK, Thakur Bablu Yaduwanshi, Mitra NG. Effect of microbial inoculants on plant attributes and nutrients uptake by soybean in vertisols. International Journal of Plant & Soil Science. 2021;33(18):102-109.
- Wilson DO and Reisenauer HM. Determination of leghaemoglobin in legume nodules. Analytical Biochemistry. 1963;6(1):27-30.
- 7. David A and Davidson CE. Estimation method for serial dilution experiments. Journal of Microbiological Methods. 2014;107:214-221.
- Panse VG, Sukhatme SV. Statistical methods for Agril. Workers. ICAR Publication; 1970.
- Eylenbosch D, Dumont B, Baeten V, Bodson B, Delaplace P, Pierna JAF. Quantification of leghaemoglobin content in pea nodules based on near infrared hyperspectral imaging spectroscopy and chemometrics. Journal of Spectral Imaging. 2018;7.
- 10. Tiwari R, Dwivedi BS, Sharma YM, Thakur R, Sharma A, Nagwanshi A. Soil Properties and Soybean Yield as Influenced by Long Term Fertilizer and Organic Manure Application in a Vertisol under Soybean-Wheat Cropping Sequence. Legume Research; 2023. DOI: 10.18805/LR-5111
- DwivediBS, Rawat AK, Dixit BK, Thakur RK. (). Effect of inputs integration on yield, uptake and economics of kodo millet (*Paspalumscro biculatum* L). Economic Affairs. 2016;**61**(3):519-526.
- 12. Meena, Suresh and Ghasolia P. Effect of phosphate solubilizers and FYM on microbial population of soybean field [*Glycine Max* (L.) Merrill]. The Bioscan. 2013;8(3):965-968.
- 13. Thakur Risikesh, Sawarkar SD. Influence of long term continuous application of nutrients and spatial distribution of sulphur

on soybean-wheat cropping sequence. Journal of Soils and Crops. 2009;**19**:225 – 228.

- Yaduwanshi B, Sahu RK, Mitra NG, Amule FC and Jakhar S. Effect of Microbial Consortia on Growth, Nodulation, Yield and Nutrient Uptake of Soybean in Vertisol of Central India. Int. J. Curr. Microbiol. App. Sci. 2019;8(9):2649-2659.
- Sharma Y.M., Jatav R.C., Sharma, G.D. and Thakur Risikesh. Status of Micronutrients in Mixed Red and Black Soils of Rewa District of Madhya Pradesh, India. Asian Journal of Chemistry. 2013;25(6):3109-3112.
- Savaliya NV, Bhadu V, Barsiya RA. and Vadaliya BM. Promotion of nutrient uptake, nutrient use efficiency and apparent nutrient recovery of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) by application of phosphate and potash solubilizing bacteria. Int. J. Curr. Microbiol. App. Sci. 2018;7(7): 2446-2452.
- 17. Thakur Risikesh, Sawarkar SD, Kauraw DL, Singh MuneshwarEffect of Inorganic and Organic Sources on Nutrients Availability in a Verisol. Agropedology. 2010;**20**(1):53-59.
- Kushwaha S, Sawarkar SD, Thakur R, Khamparia NK, Singh, M. Impact of Long-Term Nutrient Management on Soil N dynamics under Soybean – Wheat Cropping Sequence on a Vertisol. Journal of the Indian Society of Soil Science. 2017;65:274-282.
- Pathariya, Priyanka, Dwivedi BS, Dwivedi AK, Thakur RK, Singh Muneshwar, Sarvade S. Potassium Balance under Soybean-wheat Cropping System in a 44 Year Old Long Term Fertilizer Experiment on a Vertisol, Communications in Soil Science and Plant Analysis, 2022;53(2): 214-226.
- 20. Mishra G, Kumar N, Giri K, Pandey S Kumar R. Effect of fungicides and bioagents on number of microorganisms insoil and yield of soybean *(Glycine max).* Nusantara Bioscience.2014;**6**:45-48.
- Harada N, Nishiyama M, Otsuka S and Matsumoto S. Effects of inoculation of phototrophic purple bacteria on grain yield of rice and nitrogenase activity of paddy soil in a pot experiment. Soil Science & Plant Nutrition. 2005;51(3):361-7.
- 22. Dubey Lokesh, Dwivedi BS, Dwivedi AK, Thakur RK Effect of long term application of fertilizers and manure on profile

distribution of various phosphorus fractions in Vertisol. Green Farming. 2016;7(2):365-370.

- Thakur RK, NK. Bisen AK. Shrivastava SK Rai, S. Sarvade Impact of Integrated Nutrient Management on Crop Productivity and Soil Fertility under Rice (*Oryza Sativa*) – Chickpea (*Cicer Arietinum*) Cropping System in Chhattisgarh Plain Agro-Climatic Zone. Indian Journal of Agronomy 2023;68(1):9-13.
- 24. Thakur Risikesh S. Sarvade BS. Dwivedi Heavy Metals: Soil Contamination and Its Remediation. AATCC Review. 2022; 10(02):59-76.
- 25. Sahur A, Ala A, Patandjengi B and Syamun E. 2018. Effect of Seed Inoculation with Actinomycetes and Rhizobium Isolated from Indigenous Soybean and Rhizosphere on Nitrogen Fixation. Growth, and Yield of Soybean. International Journal of Aaronomy. 2018:7 Available :https://doi.org/10.1155/2018/4371623.
- 26. Khatik SK., Thakur Risikesh, Sharma GD. Lead: The Heavy Metal in Soil, Water and

Plant Environment. Journal of Industrial Pollution Control. 2006;22(2):233–244.

- Thakur Risikesh, Sharma GD., Dwivedi BS, Khatik SK. Chromium: As a Pollutant. J. of Industrial Pollution Control. 2007; 23(2):197-203.
- Bairwa Jalendra BS, Dwivedi, Anay Rawat RK. Thakur, Neeta Mahawar. Long-term effect of nutrient management on soil microbial properties and nitrogen fixation in a Vertisol under soybean–wheat cropping sequence. Journal of the Indian Society of Soil Science. 2021;69(2): 171-178.
- 29. Patel Gajendra, Dwivedi BS, Dwivedi AK, Thakur Risikesh, Singh Muneshwar. Longterm Effect of nutrient management on soil biochemical properties in a vertisol under soybean–wheat cropping sequence. Journal of the Indian Society of Soil Science. 2018;66 (2):215-221.
- Keram KS, Sharma BL, Sharma GD, Thakur RK. Impact of zinc application on its translocation into various plant parts of wheat in a Vertisol. The Bioscan. 2014;9(2):491-495.

© 2023 Sahu et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history: The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/111688