

Journal of Experimental Agriculture International

Volume 46, Issue 10, Page 113-125, 2024; Article no.JEAI.124383 ISSN: 2457-0591 (Past name: American Journal of Experimental Agriculture, Past ISSN: 2231-0606)

Effect of Biogenic Silica Seed Coating on Sorghum (VAR. K 12) Seed Storage Using Different Storage Containers under Ambient Condition

A Krishnaarivanandhan ^{a++}, V Alex Albert ^{a#*}, K Sujatha ^{a†}, P Kannan ^{b#} and P Arunachalam ^{c#}

 ^a Department of Seed Science and Technology, Agricultural College and Research Institute, Madurai-625104, Tamil Nadu, India.
 ^b Centre for Water and Geospatial Studies, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore-641003, Tamil Nadu, India.
 ^c Department of Plant Breeding and Genetics, Agricultural College and Research Institute, Madurai-625104, Tamil Nadu, India.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: https://doi.org/10.9734/jeai/2024/v46i102930

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/124383

> Received: 25/07/2024 Accepted: 27/09/2024 Published: 01/10/2024

Original Research Article

++ Research Scholar;

Cite as: Krishnaarivanandhan, A, V Alex Albert, K Sujatha, P Kannan, and P Arunachalam. 2024. "Effect of Biogenic Silica Seed Coating on Sorghum (VAR. K 12) Seed Storage Using Different Storage Containers under Ambient Condition". Journal of Experimental Agriculture International 46 (10):113-25. https://doi.org/10.9734/jeai/2024/v46i102930.

[#] Associate Professor;

[†] Professor and Head;

^{*}Corresponding author: E-mail: alexalbert.v@tnau.ac.in;

ABSTRACT

The objective of this study was to investigate the seed quality parameters of biogenic silica coated sorghum seeds on seed storage using different storage containers under ambient condition. Proper seed storage until next sowing season is crucial for sorghum seed production. seeds can lose vigor and viability during storage. Decrease in seed vigour leads to decrease in seed quality. The seed's storage environment and packaging materials significantly impact its long-term viability and effectiveness. Coating seeds before storing them helps preserve their quality over time. Pre-storage seed coating is essential for preventing seed deterioration and maintaining quality throughout the storage period. The present study revealed that, after six months of storage period, seed coating with biogenic silica with carbon @ 5 ml kg⁻¹ seeds observed highest germination percentage, root and shoot length, dry matter production, vigour index I and II compared to control. With respect to containers seeds packed in super grain bag performed better than cloth bag. The results clearly indicated that, coating of seeds with biogenic silica with carbon @ 5 ml kg⁻¹ stored in the supergrain bag-maintained vigour and viability throughout the storage period and also maintained the seedling length.

Keywords: Seed quality; sorghum seeds; cereal crop; biogenic silica seed coating.

1. INTRODUCTION

Sorghum (Sorghum bicolor L. Moench) is a highly versatile cereal crop with significant importance in global agriculture. As the leading millet, it accounts for 65.8% of worldwide millet production and is often referred to as the "camel crop" due to its resilience. Belonging to the Poaceae family, sorghum is prized for its adaptability to diverse climates and its vigorous growth, making it a crucial food source, particularly in arid and semi-arid regions. It ranks as the fourth most important cereal globally, following wheat, rice, and maize [1]. Sorghum serves as a staple food in Africa, India, and China, with India and the United States being the world's top producers. It provides a vital source of energy, protein, vitamins, and minerals for millions of impoverished people living in semi-arid regions, playing a crucial role in sustaining their nutrition and well -being [2].

Ensuring proper seed storage until the next planting season is vital for successful seed production. In developing nations like India, where maintaining controlled environmental conditions for seed preservation can be both costly and impractical, alternative approaches such as seed coating have proven effective in safeguarding seed viability and vigor. Coating seeds prior to storage helps maintain their quality, reducing the risk of vigor loss over time. This technique plays a key role in preserving seed potential for the following season, while also preventing degradation and loss during the storage period [3,4] Seeds are currently treated

using chemical, organic, or physical methods to enhance their viability, protect against pests and diseases, and improve germination during storage. Advantages include prolonged viability, improved germination rates, moisture control, and effective pest protection. However, these treatments also present disadvantages, such as potential environmental harm from chemical residues, higher costs for advanced treatments, health risks, and reduced efficacy over time. While these methods provide significant benefits for seed storage, they also pose challenges in terms of sustainability and lona-term effectiveness.

Silica plays a versatile role across numerous industries and technologies. It serves as a strengthening agent in rubber and plastics, functions as a filler in paints and coatings, and is utilized as a filtration medium in the production of food and beverages [5]. The application of silicon via seed coating has yielded promising results. This external treatment is thought to enhance seed germination and seedling development by bolstering antioxidant defense and optimizing iron uptake, thereby improving overall plant vigor and resilience [6]. Using rice husk to produce bio-silica offers a cost-effective alternative to synthetic silica production, given the low expense of rice husk [7] and the production cost could be cheaper if the product is applied in an established manner [8]. Silica extraction from rice husk involves eco-friendly methods. Leveraging rice husk ash (RHA) as a feedstock for this process often proves more efficient and costeffective than extracting silica directly from the husk [9].

Biogenic silica, derived from natural sources like rice husk ash, offers several ecological benefits in agriculture. By repurposing agricultural waste, it promotes sustainability and reduces landfill waste. Its use as a seed coating or soil amendment enhances plant resilience to stress, reduces reliance on chemical pesticides and fertilizers, and improves nutrient and water efficiency. This contributes to better resource management, especially in water-scarce regions, while lowering environmental risks. Biogenic silica is biodegradable, posing no long-term pollution threats, making it an eco-friendly alternative to synthetic treatments.

Selecting appropriate storage containers is crucial for maintaining seed quality during storage. These containers effectively regulate temperature, humidity, and moisture, which are vital in preserving seeds. The goal of optimal storage is to minimize biological activity and mitigate adverse environmental factors that shorten the safe storage period. By using proper packing materials and conditions, seeds retain their viability and germination potential, while also reducing the risks of pest infestations and diseases, ultimately decelerating the aging process. With this background, the current study has been designed to investigate the utility of Biogenic silica coating and storage containers under ambient condition to maintain the seed quality in sorghum seeds during storage period of six months.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Preparation of Biogenic Silica from Rice Husk Ash [10]

The biogenic silica is prepared by Alkaline extraction followed by acid neutralization method. It is very efficient and simple method to extract silica from rice husk. In this procedure RH ash is mixed in 1N NaOH solution in 250 ml Erlenmeyer flask and is allowed to boil for 1h with constant stirring to dissolve silica and make sodium silicate solution. The obtained sodium silicate solution is in black colour due to the presence of carbon. From this biogenic silica with carbon was prepared by titrating the sodium silicate solution with 1N hydrochloric acid (HCl) solution for 18 h at 7.0 pH with constant stirring, Biogenic silica (with carbon) in gel form obtained after stirring period. For preparation of biogenic silica without carbon, the sodium silicate solution was filtered through ashless filter paper and the residue (carbon) was removed. Further the solution was

titrated with 1N hydrochloric acid (HCl) solution for 18 h at 7.0 pH with constant stirring, Biogenic silica (without carbon) in gel form obtained after stirring period.

For assessing the storability of Biogenic silica coated seeds (with and without carbon treatments), the coated seeds were stored in cloth bag and super grain bag under ambient conditions (mean temperature $26 \pm 1^{\circ}$ C and RH 70 $\pm 2\%$) for a period of six months in the department of seed science and technology, Agriculture college and research Institute, Madurai and then the stored seeds were evaluated at every month for assessing seed quality and biochemical parameters.

2.2 Treatments

Storage Containers:

- C1 Cloth bag
- C₂ Super grain bag

Seed treatments:

T₀- Without seed treatment (Control)

T₁- Seeds Coated with 5 ml/kg of Biogenic Silica with Carbon

 T_{2} - Seeds Coated with 5 ml/kg of Biogenic Silica without Carbon

Then the seeds are used to test the seed quality parameters, such as the germination test, was conducted in quadruplicate by using 100 seeds with four sub replicates of 25 seeds in a paper medium [11] following an inclined plate method [12] in a germination chamber maintained at a temperature of 25± 1°C and RH 96 ± 2% with diffuse light (approx. 10h.) during the day. The final count of normal seedlings was recorded on the 10 th day, and the percentage of germination was computed. Observations on Moisture content (%) [13], germination percentage (%), root length (cm), shoot length (cm), dry matter production (g seedlings-1), vigour index I and vigour index II were calculated [14] were observed for both control as well as treated seeds. The data from different experiments obtained were analyzed by the 'F' test of significance following the methods [15]. Wherever necessary, the per cent values were transformed to angular (Arcsine) values before analysis. The Critical Differences (CD) was calculated at 5 per cent probability level. The data were tested for statistical significance. If the F test is nonsignificant it was indicated by the letters NS.

3. RESULTS

3.1 Moisture Content (%)

Moisture content was minimum (8.4 %) at initial and slowly increased to (9.3 %) at the storage period of 6 months, irrespective of containers and treatment. (Table 1) under ambient conditions, seeds coated with the treatment T_1 @ 5 ml kg⁻¹ of Biogenic silica with carbon recorded the lower moisture content (8.8 %) and control seeds recorded higher moisture content (9.0 %) irrespective of containers and storage period. Between containers, super grain bag (C₂) recorded minimum moisture content (8.6 %) and the highest moisture content was observed in cloth bag (C1) (9.1 %). In interaction between treatments, containers and periods of storage, minimum moisture content was noticed in super grain bag after 6 months of storage (T₁C₂P₆) (8.6 %) and it was maximum in control after 6 months of storage (T₀C₂P₆) (9.3 %).

3.2 Germination (%)

In general, there was a decline in the germination per cent with increase in storage periods (Table 2). There is a significant difference between containers, period of storage, seed coating treatments and P X T interaction. Among the containers, super grain bag was effective (83%) compared to cloth bag (82 %). Among the treatments, T₁ registered higher germination percent (89 %) compared to control (74%) irrespective of containers and period of storage. In super grain bag at the end of the 6 months of period T₁ recorded the hiaher storage germination (T₁C₂ P₆) (83 %) and germination dropped down below minimum seed standards of sorghum (T₀C₂P₆) (67 %) in control.

3.3 Root Length (cm)

Root length was significantly differed among the seed treatments, containers, period of storage and non-significant among the interactions. Among the seed treatments, T_1 measured the highest root length (25.93 cm) while the lowest root length was measured in control (T₀) (17.32 cm). Between containers, super grain bag (C₂) recorded maximum root length (22.60 cm) and minimum root length was observed in cloth bag (C1) (22.45 cm). Root length was maximum (23.28 cm) at initial and it slowly declined to (21.55 cm) at the storage period of 6 months, irrespective of containers and treatments (Table 3). In interaction, treatments, containers and period storage reported that of C₂P₆T₁ (25.16 cm) recorded maximum root

length after 6 months of storage and minimum was in control $C_2P_6T_0$ (16.29 cm). Interaction between containers and period of storage, minimum root length was noticed in cloth bag after 6 months of storage C_1P_6 (21.44 cm) and maximum was in super grain bag after 6 months of storage (C_2P_6) (21.66 cm).

3.4 Shoot Length (cm)

Highly significant difference was observed in shoot length with biogenic silica seed coating treatments and periods of storage. Seeds stored in supergrain bag produced higher shoot length (13.34 cm) than cloth bag (13.26 cm). Among the seed coating treatments, T_1 (15.04 cm) was very effective than control (10.36 cm). The rate of reduction was more in control at all periods of storage both in cloth and supergrain bag. Shoot length was significantly varied by the seed treatments, containers, period of storage and non-significant among interactions. Shoot length was maximum (13.73 cm) at initially and it slowly declined to (12.73cm) at the storage period of 6 months with irrespective of containers and treatments (Table 4).

3.5 Dry Matter Production (g seedlings⁻¹⁰)

The dry matter production was higher in supergrain bag (0.160 g seedlings⁻¹⁰) than cloth bag (0.156 g seedlings⁻¹⁰) (Table 5). Irrespective of containers and periods of storage, the dry weight was more in T₁ (0.180 g seedlings⁻¹⁰) followed by T₂ (0.170 g seedlings⁻¹⁰) and control recorded lowest weight (0.125 g seedlings⁻¹⁰). The dry matter production was decreased from (0.157 g seedlings⁻¹⁰) (P₀) to (0.127 g seedlings⁻¹⁰) (P₆). In interaction of seed treatments and storage period T₁ coated seeds have maximum DMP (0.153 g) (C₂T₁P₆) after the storage of 6 months and over control (0.103 g) (C₂T₀P₆) in supergrain bag.

3.6 Vigour Index I

Vigour index I was significantly differed among the seed treatments, containers, period of storage and interaction of PXT. Among the containers, the maximum vigour index I was observed in super grain bag (2959) compared to cloth bag (2903) (Table 6). T₁ showed higher vigour index (3644) compared to control T₀ (1884). At the end of the storage period, the higher vigour index was evident in supergrain bag with biogenic silica with carbon coating T₁ @ 5g kg⁻¹ (3296) followed by T₂ (2923). Irrespective of containers and seed coating treatments, Vigour index I decreased from 3257 (P₀) to 2581 (P₆) in 6 months. In interaction of seed coating and storage period, after the storage of 6 months T_1P_6 have maximum vigour index I followed by T_2P_6 while minimum vigour index was registered in T_0P_6 in both the containers, cloth bag and supergrain bag.

3.7 Vigour Index II

Vigour index - II varied significantly with the treatments, containers, period of storage and interactions CXP and PXT. In general, vigour index - II decreases with the increase of storage period. (Table 7) Among the containers super grain bag recorded higher vigour index- II (13.28) and cloth bag recorded lower vigour index - II (12.72). Among the biogenic silica coating formulation, seeds coated with the treatment T1 @ 5 ml kg⁻¹ of biogenic silica with carbon recorded a higher vigour index - II (16.06) which was followed by T₂ @ 5 ml kg⁻¹ biogenic silica without carbon (14.37) and control seeds recorded a lower vigour index - II (8.57), irrespective of containers and storage period. After six months of storage, T₁ treated seeds stored in super grain bag recorded the higher vigour index - II (12.70), compared to the seeds stored in cloth bag (11.89).

4. DISCUSSION

Seed storage is a vital aspect of the seed industry, where preserving seed vigour and viability depends on various physico-chemical factors. These include the seed's initial quality, its physical and chemical composition, moisture levels, temperature, storage facilities, gas exchange, and the type of packaging used. Together, these elements ensure the longevity and quality of stored seeds. Seeds are hygroscopic, meaning they readily absorb moisture from their surroundings. Therefore, the storage environment plays a crucial role in influencing fluctuations in the seed's moisture content [16-19]. As a living biological unit, seeds consist of lipoprotein cell layers. During storage, they absorb moisture, which accelerates lipid peroxidation, ultimately leading to seed deterioration and, eventually, loss of viability [20].

Biogenic silica from rice husk ash is a naturally sourced material that has environmental benefits, as it utilizes agricultural waste and offers an ecofriendly option. It often exhibits high porosity and bioavailability, making it an effective choice for seed coating in terms of improving germination, nutrient uptake, and moisture retention. However, its production may be less standardized, and its properties can vary depending on the processing methods used. On the other hand, commercial silica products, typically synthetic, are designed for consistent quality and performance. These products may offer more predictable results due to their standardized formulations, but they often come at a higher cost and may lack the sustainability benefits that biogenic silica provides. So, this biogenic silica has more advantage in cost-effectiveness, environmental impact, and practical performance in agricultural practice comparing to potentially less eco-friendly commercial silica. Irrespective of the containers, the seed quality parameters are better in seeds coated with Biogenic silica with carbon(T₁)

Irrespective of the treatments. with the advancement of storage period all the seed quality parameters were gradually decreased. Moisture content gradually decreased in both cloth bag (C1) and super grain bag (C₂). Seeds stored in super grain bags retained lower moisture levels compared to those stored in cloth bags. The permeable nature of cloth bags allowed moisture exchange with the environment, leading to an increase in seed moisture content. In contrast, the impermeability of super grain bags provided enhanced protection, resulting in minimal moisture fluctuations and better preservation of seed quality [21-23].

The germination potential of stored seeds denotes their ability to sprout and grow into robust seedlings after a period of storage. This measure reflects both the viability and vigor of the seeds post-storage. Increasing seed age decreasing germination [24] and [25] in rapeseed and [26] in soybean. It has been suggested that the decline in germination rates is linked to chromosomal aberrations that occur under prolonged storage conditions [27]. The reduced germination observed in aged seeds may also result from diminished α -amylase activity and a decrease in carbohydrate contents [28] or denaturation of proteins [29].

Seedling length (root and shoot length) serves as a key indicator of seed vigor. A similar reduction in seedling length was observed as seen with decreased seed germination. Over time, as storage periods extended, seedling length diminished. Seeds that initially produced longer seedlings exhibited a significant decrease in length by the end of the storage period. This finding suggests that as seeds deteriorate and physiological vitality, seedling length lose progressively declines. Decline in seedling characters with advancement in storage periods was also reported by [30] in maize inbreds; [31] in barley; [32] in pulses; [33] in barynyard millet; [34] in cucumber.

Т	Containers (C) and storage periods in months (P) Gra													Grand					
				Cloth	n bag (C	;1)			Super grain bag (C ₂)										
	P ₀	P ₁	P ₂	P ₃	P ₄	P ₅	P ₆	Mean	P ₀	P ₁	P ₂	P ₃	P ₄	P ₅	P ₆	Mean			
T ₀	8.4	8.8	9.0	9.4	9.5	9.6	9.8	9.2	8.4	8.5	8.6	8.7	8.9	9.1	9.3	8.8	9.0		
T ₁	8.4	8.6	8.9	9.1	9.3	9.4	9.5	9.0	8.4	8.4	8.4	8.5	8.5	8.6	8.6	8.5	8.8		
T ₂	8.4	8.6	8.9	9.2	9.4	9.5	9.6	9.1	8.4	8.4	8.5	8.6	8.6	8.7	8.7	8.6	8.9		
Mean	8.4	8.7	8.9	9.2	9.4	9.5	9.6	9.1	8.4	8.4	8.5	8.6	8.7	8.8	8.9	8.6	8.9		
Grand	P ₀		P 1			P ₂		P ₃		P 4			P ₅		P ₆				
Mean	8.4		8.6	6		8.7 8			3.9 9.1 9.2						9.3				
			С		Р			Т		C×I	D		C×T		Ρ×Τ	C×P×	Г		
S. Ed			0.040)1	0.0	0.0750 0.0491				0.1060 0.0694					0.1299	0.1837	7		
CD (P=0.05) 0.0797** 0.1						1491**		0.0976**		0.2109** NS					NS NS				
			**_	Significa	nt at 5%	level: NS	- Non-Sia	nificant [.] C-	Contain	ers [.] P- St	orade pe	riod in m	onths [.] T- T	reatmen	ts				

Table 1. Influence of seed coating, storage container and storage period on Moisture content (%) in Sorghum var. K 12

ificant at 5% level; NS- Non-Significant; C- Containers; P- Storage period in months; T- Treatments T_1 – Biogenic silica with carbon 5 ml/kg T_2 – Biogenic silica without carbon 5 ml/kg Siyi T_{0-} Control

Table 2. Influence of seed coating, storage container and storage period on Germination (%) in Sorghum var. K 12

Т	Containers (C) and storage periods in months (P) Gra													Grand			
				Clot	h bag (C	;1)						Super of	grain bag	J (C2)			mean
	P ₀	P ₁	P ₂	P ₃	P ₄	P₅	P ₆	Mean	P ₀	P 1	P ₂	P ₃	P ₄	P ₅	P ₆	Mean	
T ₀	80	79	77	75	72	69	65	74	80	80	78	76	74	70	67	75	74
T ₁	95	94	92	88	84	84	82	88	95	95	93	89	85	85	83	89	89
T ₂	91	88	85	82	82	80	77	84	91	90	86	84	83	82	78	85	84
Mean	89	87	85	82	79	78	75	82	89	88	86	83	81	79	76	83	83
Grand	P ₀		P1			P ₂		P ₃		P ₄			P ₅		P ₆		
Mean	89		88			85		82					78		75		
			С		Р		•	Г		C×P)	(C×T	F	ν×Τ	C×P×1	
S. Ed			0.315	57	0.5	0.5907 (0.3867		0.835	55	0.54697		1.0232		1.4471	
CD (P=	CD (P=0.05) 0.6279** 1						(0.7691**		NS		.0349**	NS				
			**	Cignifica	nt at E0/	laval NC	Non Ciar	ificanti C (Containe			iad in ma	nthay T Tr	ootmonto			

*- Significant at 5% level; NS- Non-Significant; C- Containers; P- Storage period in months; T- Treatments T_{0-} Control

 T_1 – Biogenic silica with carbon 5 ml/kg

 T_{2-} Biogenic silica without carbon 5 ml/kg

Т	Containers (C) and storage periods in months (P)													Grand				
				Cloth b	bag (C1)			Super grain bag (C ₂)										
	P ₀	P 1	P ₂	P₃	P 4	P₅	P ₆	Mean	P ₀	P 1	P ₂	P₃	P ₄	P₅	P ₆	Mean		
T ₀	18.18	18.02	17.79	17.35	16.89	16.51	15.87	17.23	18.18	18.10	17.95	17.52	17.14	16.72	16.29	17.41	17.32	
T ₁	26.68	26.51	26.17	25.87	25.51	25.29	25.09	25.87	26.68	26.60	26.36	26.03	25.60	25.42	25.16	25.98	25.93	
T ₂	24.98	24.82	24.61	24.36	24.02	23.65	23.37	24.26	24.98	24.91	24.73	24.52	24.21	23.89	23.54	24.40	24.33	
Mean	23.28	23.12	22.86	22.53	22.14	21.82	21.44	22.45	23.28	23.20	23.01	22.69	22.32	22.01	21.66	22.60	22.53	
Grand	P ₀		P ₁		F	b ₂		P ₃		P ₄		Р	5		P_6			
Mean	23.28		23.1	6	22.94 2			22.61		22.2	3	2	1.92		21.55			
			С		Ρ		Т			С×Р		С×	Т	Р×	Т	C×P×T		
S. Ed			0.1147		0.214	6	0.1	404		0.3035		0.1986		0.3717		0.5256		
CD (P=0	P=0.05) 0.2281** 0.4267** 0							2793**		NS		NS		NS		NS		
			**- SI	ignificant	at 5% lev	el; NS- No	on-Signific	cant; C- C	; C- Containers; P- Storage period in months; T- Treatments									
T ₀ - Control T ₁ - Biogenic silica								silica with carbon 5 ml/kg T ₂ – Biogenic silica without carbon 5 ml/kg										

Table 3. Influence of seed coating, storage container and storage period on root length (cm) in Sorghum var. K 12

Т	Containers (C) and storage periods in months (P) Grades												Grand						
				Cloth I	bag (C ₁)				Super grain bag (C ₂)										
	P₀	P 1	P ₂	P₃	P_4	P ₅	P ₆	Mean	Po	P 1	P ₂	P₃	P ₄	P ₅	P ₆	Mean			
To	10.78	10.63	10.47	10.36	10.21	10.02	9.72	10.31	10.78	10.71	10.55	10.42	10.31	10.13	9.89	10.40	10.36		
T ₁	15.45	15.36	15.21	15.02	14.84	14.64	14.46	15.00	15.45	15.41	15.27	15.13	14.93	14.77	14.55	15.07	15.04		
T ₂	14.97	14.85	14.72	14.58	14.29	14.01	13.84	14.47	14.97	14.91	14.79	14.65	14.42	14.15	13.93	14.55	14.51		
Mean	13.73	13.61	13.47	13.32	13.11	12.89	12.67	13.26	13.73	13.68	13.54	13.40	13.22	13.02	12.79	13.34	13.30		
Grand	P ₀		P ₁		F	b ₂		P ₃		P ₄		Р	5		P_6				
Mean	13.73		13.6	65	13.51			13.36		13.1	7	1	2.96		12.73				
			С		Р		Т			С×Р		С×	Т	Р×	Т	C×P×T			
S. Ed			0.0607		0.113	6	0.0)743		0.1606		0.10)51	0.19	67	0.2782			
CD (P=0.05) 0.1207** 0.2259** 0.1479							1479**	9** NS NS NS NS											
			**- S	ianificant	at 5% lev	el: NS- N	on-Sianifi	cant: C- C	ontainers	: P- Stora	ae period	in months	s: T- Treat	tments					

 T_{0-} Control

 T_1 – Biogenic silica with carbon 5 ml/kg

 T_{2-} Biogenic silica without carbon 5 ml/kg

1	Containers (C) and storage periods in months (P)												Grand				
				Cloth	bag (C₁)						5	Super gr	ain bag	(C ₂)			mean
	P ₀	P 1	P ₂	P₃	P ₄	P ₅	P ₆	Mean	P ₀	P ₁	P ₂	P₃	P ₄	P₅	P ₆	Mean	
T ₀	0.153	0.142	0.131	0.120	0.111	0.105	0.101	0.123	0.153	0.148	0.136	0.125	0.114	0.107	0.103	0.127	0.125
T ₁	0.211	0.201	0.189	0.176	0.163	0.155	0.145	0.177	0.211	0.206	0.194	0.183	0.168	0.159	0.153	0.182	0.180
T ₂	0.206	0.193	0.179	0.165	0.153	0.141	0.131	0.167	0.206	0.201	0.186	0.172	0.161	0.148	0.136	0.173	0.170
Mean	0.123	0.123	0.123	0.123	0.123	0.123	0.123	0.156	0.190	0.185	0.172	0.160	0.148	0.138	0.131	0.160	0.158
Grand	P ₀		P ₁			P ₂		P ₃		P4			P ₅		P ₆		
Mean	0.157		0.15	54		0.148		0.142		0.13	36		0.131		0.127		
			С		Р		Т			С×Р		C	× T	P×	: T	C×P×T	
S. Ed			0.0008		0.00	15	0.0	0009		0.0021		0.0	013	0.0	026	0.0036	
CD (P=0	.05)		0.0015	**	0.00	29**	0.0	0019**		NS		NS	5	0.0	051**	NS	
	**- Significant at 5% level; NS- Non-Significant; C- Containers; P- Storage period in months; T- Treatments																
		T₀- Co	ntrol		7	「₁ – Bioger	nic silica v	vith carbo	n 5 ml/ka		T_2	Biogenic	silica with	out carbo	n 5 ml/ka		
	Table 6. Influence of seed costing, storage container and storage period on Vigour index Lin Serghum ver K 12																
		Table 6	Influen	ice of se	ed coat	ing stor	ado con	tainer a	nd stora	ne nerio	d on Vi	nour ind	ex I in S	orahum	var K 1	2	
		Table 6	. Influen	ice of se	ed coat	ing, stor	age con	tainer a	nd stora	ge perio	d on Vie	gour ind	ex I in S	orghum	var. K 1	2	
т		Table 6	. Influen	ice of se	ed coat	ing, stor	age con ers (C) a	tainer a	nd stora	ge perio ods in m	d on Vig	gour ind	ex I in S	orghum	var. K 1	2	Grand
т		Table 6	. Influen	ice of se	ed coat	ing, stor	age con ers (C) a	tainer a	nd stora	ge perio ods in m	d on Vig ionths (S	gour ind P) uper gra	ex I in S	orghum	var. K 1	2	Grand mean
т	 	Table 6	. Influen	Cloth b	ed coat bag (C ₁) P ₄	ing, stor Contain P₅	age con ers (C) a P ₆	tainer a and stor Mean	nd stora age peri	ge perio ods in m P ₁	d on Vig ionths (S P ₂	gour ind P) uper gra P ₃	ex I in S ain bag (P₄	orghum C₂) P₅	var. K 1	2 Mean	Grand mean
T	P ₀ 2172	Table 6 P ₁ 2091	. Influen <u>P₂</u> 1950	Cloth b P ₃ 1829	ed coat bag (C ₁) P ₄ 1734	ing, stor Contain P₅ 1671	age con ers (C) a <u>P₆</u> 1535	tainer a and stor <u>Mean</u> 1855	nd stora age peri P ₀ 2172	ge perio ods in m P ₁ 2132	d on Vig onths (<u>S</u> 2024	gour ind P) uper gra P ₃ 1900	ex I in S ain bag (P₄ 1839	orghum <u>C₂)</u> 1692	var. K 1 P ₆ 1623	2 <u>Mean</u> 1912	Grand mean
T T ₀ T ₁	P ₀ 2172 4002	P ₁ 2091 3936	P ₂ 1950 3807	Cloth b P ₃ 1829 3598	ed coat pag (C ₁) P ₄ 1734 3389	ing, stor <u>Contain</u> <u>P₅</u> 1671 3354	age con ers (C) a P ₆ 1535 3243	tainer a and stor Mean 1855 3618	nd stora age peri P ₀ 2172 4002	ge perio ods in m P ₁ 2132 3991	d on Vig onths (<u>S</u> P ₂ 2024 3872	pour ind P) uper gra P ₃ 1900 3663	ex I in S iin bag (P₄ 1839 3445	orghum <u>C₂)</u> <u>P₅</u> 1692 3416	var. K 1: P ₆ 1623 3296	2 Mean 1912 3669	Grand mean 1884 3644
T T ₀ T ₁ T ₂	P ₀ 2172 4002 3596	Table 6 P ₁ 2091 3936 3491	. Influen	Cloth b P ₃ 1829 3598 3193	ed coat <u>pag (C₁)</u> P₄ 1734 3389 3141	ing, stor <u>Contain</u> <u>P₅</u> 1671 3354 3013	age con ers (C) a P ₆ 1535 3243 2865	tainer a and stor Mean 1855 3618 3235	nd stora age peri P₀ 2172 4002 3596	ge perio ods in m P ₁ 2132 3991 3544	d on Vig <u>ionths (</u> <u>S</u> <u>P</u> 2 2024 3872 3399	pour ind P) uper gra P ₃ 1900 3663 3290	ex I in S iin bag (P ₄ 1839 3445 3206	orghum C₂) P₅ 1692 3416 3119	var. K 1: P ₆ 1623 3296 2923	2 Mean 1912 3669 3297	Grand mean 1884 3644 3266
To T1 T2 Mean	P ₀ 2172 4002 3596 3257	P ₁ 2091 3936 3491 3173	. Influen P₂ 1950 3807 3343 3033	Cloth b P ₃ 1829 3598 3193 2873	ed coat pag (C ₁) P ₄ 1734 3389 3141 2755	ing, stor <u>Contain</u> <u>P₅</u> 1671 3354 3013 2679	age con ers (C) a P ₆ 1535 3243 2865 2548	tainer a and stor Mean 1855 3618 3235 2903	age peri age peri 2172 4002 3596 3257	ge perio ods in m P ₁ 2132 3991 3544 3222	d on Vig onths (P2 2024 3872 3399 3098	pour ind p) uper gra P ₃ 1900 3663 3290 2951	ex I in S in bag (P₄ 1839 3445 3206 2830	orghum C₂) P₅ 1692 3416 3119 2742	var. K 1: P ₆ 1623 3296 2923 2614	Mean 1912 3669 3297 2959	Grand mean 1884 3644 3266 2931
T T ₀ T ₁ T ₂ Mean Grand	P₀ 2172 4002 3596 3257 P₀	P1 2091 3936 3491 3173	■ Influen P2 1950 3807 3343 3033 P1	Cloth k P ₃ 1829 3598 3193 2873	ed coat pag (C ₁) P ₄ 1734 3389 3141 2755 P	ing, stor <u>Contain</u> <u>P₅</u> 1671 3354 3013 2679 2	age con ers (C) a P ₆ 1535 3243 2865 2548	tainer a and stor Mean 1855 3618 3235 2903 P ₃	age peri age peri 2172 4002 3596 3257	ge perio ods in m P ₁ 2132 3991 3544 3222 P ₄	d on Vig nonths (<u>S</u> 2024 3872 3399 3098	pour ind p) uper gra P3 1900 3663 3290 2951 Pe	ex I in S in bag (P₄ 1839 3445 3206 2830	orghum C₂) P₅ 1692 3416 3119 2742	var. K 1 P ₆ 1623 3296 2923 2614 P ₆	Mean 1912 3669 3297 2959	Grand mean 1884 3644 3266 2931
T T ₀ T ₁ T ₂ Mean Grand Mean	P₀ 2172 4002 3596 3257 P₀ 3257	P1 2091 3936 3491 3173	P ₂ 1950 3807 3343 3033 P ₁ 3198	Cloth k P ₃ 1829 3598 3193 2873	ed coat ag (C ₁) P ₄ 1734 3389 3141 2755 P 3	ing, stor Contain P₅ 1671 3354 3013 2679 ² 066	age con ers (C) a 1535 3243 2865 2548	tainer a and stor 1855 3618 3235 2903 P ₃ 2912	nd stora age peri 2172 4002 3596 3257	ge perio ods in m P ₁ 2132 3991 3544 3222 P ₄ 2793	d on Vig nonths (S P₂ 2024 3872 3399 3098	pour ind p) uper gra P3 1900 3663 3290 2951 Pa 27	ex I in S iin bag (P₄ 1839 3445 3206 2830 11	orghum C₂) P₅ 1692 3416 3119 2742	var. K 1 : P ₆ 1623 3296 2923 2614 P ₆ 2581	Mean 1912 3669 3297 2959	Grand mean 1884 3644 3266 2931
T T ₀ T ₁ T ₂ Mean Grand Mean	P ₀ 2172 4002 3596 3257 P ₀ 3257	P1 2091 3936 3491 3173	P ₂ 1950 3807 3343 3033 P ₁ 3198	Cloth b P ₃ 1829 3598 3193 2873 8	ed coat pag (C ₁) P ₄ 1734 3389 3141 2755 P 3	ing, stor <u>Contain</u> <u>P₅</u> 1671 3354 3013 2679 ² 066	age con ers (C) a 1535 3243 2865 2548	tainer a and stor 1855 3618 3235 2903 P ₃ 2912	nd stora age peri 2172 4002 3596 3257	ge perio ods in m 2132 3991 3544 3222 P4 2793	d on Vig onths (<u>S</u> 2024 3872 3399 3098	gour ind p uper gra P 1 900 3663 3290 2951 Pe 27	ex I in S in bag (P₄ 1839 3445 3206 2830 11	orghum C₂) P₅ 1692 3416 3119 2742	var. K 1 P ₆ 1623 3296 2923 2614 P ₆ 2581	Mean 1912 3669 3297 2959	Grand mean 1884 3644 3266 2931
T T ₀ T ₁ T ₂ Mean Grand Mean	P ₀ 2172 4002 3596 3257 P ₀ 3257	P ₁ 2091 3936 3491 3173	. Influen P₂ 1950 3807 3343 3033 P₁ 3198 C	Cloth b P ₃ 1829 3598 3193 2873 8	ed coat pag (C₁) P₄ 1734 3389 3141 2755 P 3 P 3 P	ing, stor <u>Contain</u> <u>P₅</u> 1671 3354 3013 2679 ² 066	age con ers (C) a P ₆ 1535 3243 2865 2548 T	tainer a and stor 1855 3618 3235 2903 P ₃ 2912	nd stora age peri 2172 4002 3596 3257	ge perio ods in m 2132 3991 3544 3222 P4 2793 C × P	d on Vig onths (P2 2024 3872 3399 3098	pour ind p uper gra P 1 900 3663 3290 2951 Pe 27 C	ex I in S in bag (P4 1839 3445 3206 2830 11 × T	orghum C₂) P₅ 1692 3416 3119 2742 P×	var. K 1 : P ₆ 1623 3296 2923 2614 P ₆ 2581 : T	2 Mean 1912 3669 3297 2959 C×P×T	Grand mean 1884 3644 3266 2931

Table 5. Influence of seed coating, storage container and storage period on dry matter production (g) in Sorghum var. K 12

Mean	3257	7 3173 3033 2873			2755	2679	2548	2903	3257	3222	3098	2951	2830	2742	2614	2959	2931
Grand	P ₀		P ₁			P ₂	P ₃			P ₄			P ₅		P ₆		
Mean	3257	257 3198			3066 291			2912		279	3		2711		2581		
			С		Р		Т	-		С×Р		(C×T	F	γ×Τ	C×P×1	-
S. Ed	S. Ed		13.3040		24.8896		1	16.2940		35.1992		23.0433		43.1101		60.966	9
CD (P=0.05)			26.4567**		49.4959** 32		32.4027**	ť	NS		1	٧S	8	35.7294**	NS		
			**_	Significar	nt at 5% l	evel: NS-	Non-Sian	ificant [.] C-	Containe	rs P. Sto	rade neri	ind in mo	nths [.] T- Ti	reatments	2		

ant at 5% level; NS- Non-Significant; C- Containers; P- Storage period in months; T- Treatments T1- Biogenic silica with carbon 5 ml/kg T2- Biogenic silica without carbon 5 ml/kg

T₀ – Control

Т	Containers (C) and storage periods in months (P) G													Grand				
				Cloth I	bag (C ₁)				Super grain bag (C ₂)									
	P ₀	P 1	P ₂	P₃	P ₄	P₅	P_6	Mean	Po	P 1	P ₂	P₃	P ₄	P₅	P ₆	Mean		
T ₀	11.48	10.37	9.04	7.92	7.10	6.62	6.06	8.37	11.48	10.95	9.66	8.50	7.64	6.74	6.39	8.77	8.57	
T ₁	20.05	18.89	17.39	15.49	13.69	13.02	11.89	15.77	20.05	19.57	18.04	16.29	14.28	13.52	12.70	16.35	16.06	
T ₂	18.54	16.98	15.22	13.53	12.55	11.28	10.09	14.03	18.54	17.89	16.00	14.45	13.36	12.14	10.61	14.71	14.37	
Mean	16.69	15.41	13.88	12.31	11.11	10.31	9.35	12.72	16.69	16.14	14.57	13.08	11.76	10.80	9.90	13.28	13.00	
Grand	P ₀		P₁		F	2 2		P ₃		P ₄		P	5		P ₆			
Mean	16.69		15.7	'8	14.23			12.70		11.4	4	1	0.56		9.63			
			С		Р		Т			C×P		С×	Т	Р×	Т	C×P×T		
S. Ed	S. Ed 0.0606 0.1133						0.0)742	0.1603			0.1049		0.1964		0.2777		
CD (P=0.05) 0.1205** 0.2254** 0.1476							1476**	476** 0.3189** NS 0.3905** NS										
	**- Significant at 5% level: NS- Non-Significant: C- Containers: P- Storage period in months: T- Treatments																	

Table 7. Influence of seed coating, storage container and storage period on Vigour index II in Sorghum var. K 12

T₀ – Control

 T_1 – Biogenic silica with carbon 5 ml/kg

 T_{2-} Biogenic silica without carbon 5 ml/kg

However, the seeds stored in super grain bag (C_2) observed the minimum fluctuations in vigour parameters compared to the seeds stored in cloth bag (C1) whereases, the cloth bag recorded the drastic changes in vigour parameters. The effectiveness of super grain bags may stem from their ability to restrict gas exchange with the external environment. This limitation results in an atmosphere within the bag that is low in oxygen and high in carbon dioxide. Such a controlled environment can inhibit the metabolic activities of insect pests and microorganisms, which would otherwise deplete oxygen and generate heat and potentially moisture. creating unfavorable conditions for the seeds [35-39].

The dry matter production of the seedlings is the manifestation of physical and physiological viaour [40]. In the current study, seeds stored in super grain bags exhibited greater dry matter production compared to those stored in cloth bags. This finding parallels the observed decline in seed vigor associated with prolonged storage was reported by [41] in chilli. Seedling vigour is usually characterized by the weight of seedlings after a period of growth [42] and this is physiological phenomenon essentially а influenced by the reserve metabolites, enzyme activities and growth regulators. Seed vigour decreased with increase in storage periods. Similar results were also reported by [43] in blackgram; [44] in clusterbean and [45] in gingelly.

The success of a seed production program is significantly enhanced by preserving seed vigor and viability during storage. Various intrinsic and extrinsic factors affect seed viability over time. In this study, seeds stored in super grain bags demonstrated superior seedling vigor compared to those kept in cloth bags. While seed deterioration is a natural, inevitable, and irreversible process, pre-storage treatments and packaging materials play a crucial role in mitigating this deterioration and extending seed storability [46]. Biogenic silica seed coating applied before storage significantly enhances vigor indices through multiple mechanisms. It regulates moisture retention, ensuring seeds remain optimally hydrated, which is vital for preserving germination potential. The coating protects nutrients from degradation and minimizes nutrient leaching, thereby supporting healthy seedling growth post-germination. It also acts as a protective barrier against environmental stressors, reinforcing seed cell walls to maintain integrity and reduce cellular structural

degradation. Additionally, biogenic silica exhibits antioxidant properties that help neutralize oxidative stress, further preserving seed quality. Seeds coated with biogenic silica typically show quicker and more uniform germination, leading to healthier seedlings and improved agricultural productivity.

Among the storage containers, super grain bags demonstrated superior enzyme preservation compared to cloth bags stored under ambient conditions. This advantage may stem from reduced protein denaturation, which otherwise accelerates DNA degradation. Such degradation impairs the translation and transcription of enzymes essential for germination. Consequently, reduced enzyme activity hampers energy activation, leading to decreased seedling vigor and functionality. Additionally, the storage period fosters catabolic reactions that generate free radicals and hydrogen peroxides, further compromising seed quality. The outcomes are conformity with [47] in barley; [48] in pearl millet; [49] in canola seed; [50] in barnyard millet; [51] in horsegram; and [52] in soyabean.

5. CONCLUSION

The results from the present study revealed that the sorghum seeds coated with Biogenic silica with carbon $T_1 @ 5 ml /kg$ stored in supergrain bag(C_2) was effective in maintaining the physiological seed quality parameters such as moisture content, germination %, root and shoot length, dry matter production, vigour index I and vigour index II throughout the storage period of six months under ambient condition. The antioxidants present in the Biogenic silica with carbon protects the seeds during long term storage and promotes healthy vigours seedlings.

FUTURE SCOPE

Biogenic silica seed coating in agriculture holds significant promise due to the its numerous benefits to the plants and environment. As sustainable agricultural practices gain importance, Biogenic silica coatings have the potential to revolutionize farming methods.

DISCLAIMER (ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE)

Author(s) hereby declares that NO generative AI technologies such as Large Language Models (ChatGPT, COPILOT, etc) and text-to-image generators have been used during writing or editing of this manuscript.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I acknowledge my chairperson and all the members for their suggestion to conduct the experiment during my research work and we sincerely thank the Department of Seed Science and Technology, Agricultural College and Research Institute, Madurai for the facilities provided to carry out my research.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

- 1. Sani AA, Oladimeji YU. Determinants of technical efficiency among sorghum farmers under agricultural transformation agenda in Gombe State, Nigeria. Nigerian Journal of Agriculture, Food and Environment. 2017;13(3):122-127.
- Stefoska-Needham A, Beck EJ, Johnson SK, Tapsell LC. Sorghum: an underutilized cereal whole grain with the potential to assist in the prevention of chronic disease. Food Reviews International. 2015;31(4):401-437.
- Reddy BP, Bara BM, Krishina RY. Effect of polymer seed coating and seed treatment on seed quality parameters and yield attributing characters of hybrid maize (*Zea mays* L.). Int. J. Curr. Microbiol. App. Sci. 2019;8:1175-1182.
- Mallick SS, Mohanty S, Das JK, Beura GBN. Jyothi. Effect of polymer coating on seed quality during storage of groundnut seeds. The Pharma Innovation Journal. 2022;11(11):1396-1401.
- Hiloidhari M, Baruah DC. Crop residue biomass for decentralized electrical power generation in rural areas (part 1): Investigation of spatial availability. Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews. 2011;15(4):1885-1892.
- Shi Y, Zhang Y, Yao H, Wu J, Sun H, Gong H. Silicon improves seed germination and alleviates oxidative stress of bud seedlings in tomato under water deficit stress. Plant Physiology and Biochemistry. 2014;78:27-36.
- Azat S, Korobeinyk AV, Moustakas K, Inglezakis VJ. Sustainable production of pure silica from rice husk waste in Kazakhstan. Journal of cleaner production. 2019;217:352-359.

- Blissett R, Sommerville R, Rowson N, Jones J, Laughlin B. Valorisation of rice husks using a TORBED® combustion process. Fuel Processing Technology. 2017;159:247-255.
- Jung DS, Ryou MH, Sung YJ, Park SB, Choi JW. Recycling rice husks for highcapacity lithium battery anodes. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences. 2013;110(30):12229-12234.
- Kalapathy U, Proctor A, Shultz J. An improved method for production of silica from rice hull ash. Bioresource technology. 2002;85(3):285-289.
- International Seed Testing Association. International rules for seed testing. Seed Sci. & Technol; 1999.
- 12. Punjabi BINA, Basu RN. Testing germination and seedling growth by an inclined glass plate blotter method; 1982.
- 13. ISTA. International rules for seed testing Seed Sci. & Technol. 2013;27:25-30.
- Abdul-Baki AA, Anderson JD. Vigor determination in soybean seed by multiple criteria 1. Crop science. 1973;13(6):630-633.
- Panse VG, Sukhatme PV. Statistical Methods for Agricultural Workers. Indian Council of Agricultural Research Publication. 1985;87-89.
- Padma V, Muralimohan Reddy B. Seed invigoration for extending storability of soybean seed. Journal of Research Acharya NG Range Agricultural University. 2001;29(4):1-5.
- 17. Sujatha. Studies on the effect of seaweed extracts on storage potential in bhendi seeds." Seaweed Res. Utiln. 2001;33:125-131.
- McDonald MB. Orthodox seed deterioration and its repair. Handbook of Seed Physiology: Applications to Agriculture, Benech-Arnold, RL and RA Sanchez (Eds.). Food Products Press, New York. 2004;273-304.
- Lehner A, Mamadou N, Poels P, Côme D, Bailly C, Corbineau F. Changes in soluble carbohydrates, lipid peroxidation and antioxidant enzyme activities in the embryo during ageing in wheat grains. Journal of Cereal Science. 2008;47(3):555-565.
- Agarwal PK, M Dadlani. Principles of Seed Science and Technology. Oxford and IBH Publishing Co. Pvt. Ltd. New Delhi; 1995.
- 21. Yanping Y, Rongqi G, Qingquan S, Shengfu L. Vigour of Welsh onion seeds in relation to

storage temperature and seed moisture content; 2000.

- Rao RGS, Singh PM, Rai M. Storability of onion seeds and effects of packaging and storage conditions on viability and vigour. Scientia Horticulturae. 2006;110 (1): 1-6.
- 23. Sarma AK, Devi MR, Nigam A. Efficiency of storage device for long term storage of cowpea seeds. International Journal of Agriculture, Environment and Biotechnology. 2014;7(2):233-240.
- 24. Janmohammadi M, Fallahnezhad Y, Golsha M, Mohammadi H. Controlled ageing for storability assessment and predicting seedling early growth of canola cultivars (*Brassica napus* L.). ARPN J Agric Biol Sci. 2008;3(5-6):22-26.
- 25. Ghasemi-Golezani K, Salehian H, Rahimzade-Khoee F, Moghadam M. The effect of seed vigor on seedling emergence and yield of wheat. Natural Resources and Agricultural Sciences. 1996;3:58-48.
- 26. Saha RR, Sultana W. Influence of seed ageing on growth and yield of soybean. Bangladesh journal of botany. 2008; 37(1):21-26.
- 27. Akhter FN, Kabir G, Mannan MA, Shaheen NN. Aging effect of wheat and barley seeds upon germination mitotic index and chromosomal damage. J Islam Acad Sci. 1992;5:44-48.
- 28. Bailly C. Active oxygen species and antioxidants in seed biology. Seed science research. 2004;14(2):93-107.
- 29. Nautiyal AR, Thapliyal AP, Purohit AN. Seed viability in sal. IV: Protein changes accompanying loss of viability in Shorea robusta. Seed Science and Technology. 1985;13(1):83-86.
- Selvi DT, Srimathi P, Senthil N. Effect of accelerated ageing on storability of coloured maize inbreds. International Journal of Agricultural Sciences. 2014;10 (1):247-250.
- 31. Tabatabaei SA. The changes of germination characteristics and enzyme activity of barley seeds under accelerated aging; 2014.
- 32. Sujatha K, Ramamoorthy K, Sivasubramaniam K. Assessment of accelerated ageing in pulses; 2012.
- Venkatesan S, Sujatha K, Geetha R, Senthil N. Standardization of duration for accelerated ageing in barnyard millet cv. CO2 and MDU 1. Electronic Journal of Plant Breeding. 2018;9(3):1234-1238.

- Navitha. Enhancement of seed yield in cucumber (*Cucumis sativus* I) by priming, pinching and foliar nutrition. M.Sc. (Ag.) Thesis, AC & RI, Madurai, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University; 2019.
- Baoua IB, Amadou L, Lowenberg-DeBoer JD, Murdock LL. Side by side comparison of GrainPro and PICS bags for postharvest preservation of cowpea grain in Niger. Journal of stored products research. 2013;54:13-16.
- Thirumalai Kannan S. Studies on the effect of seaweed extracts for enhancing vigour, viability, production and storage potential in sesame (*Sesamum indicum* (L.) cv. TMV 3.
 M.Sc. (Ag.) Thesis, Agricultural College and Research Institute, Madurai; 2013.
- 37. Bhandari G, Ghimire TB, Kaduwal S, Shrestha J, Acharya R. Effects of storage structures and moisture contents on seed quality attributes of quality protein maize. 2017.
- Bakhtavar MA, Afzal I, Basra SMA. Moisture adsorption isotherms and quality of seeds stored in conventional packaging materials and hermetic Super Bag. PLoS One. 2019;14(2):e0207569.
- Tivana LD, Nguenha RJ, Viola P, Monjane I, Lungu O, Kafwamfwa N. Effectiveness of high-density polyethylene container and Super Grain Bag for the storage system of cowpea grain for smallholder farmers. Legume Science. 2021;3(1):e67.
- 40. Heydecker W. Vigour. In: Viability of seeds (Ed. E. H. Roberts), Chapman and Hall, London. 1973;209-252.
- 41. Barua H, Rahman MM, Masud MM. Effect of storage containers environment at different storage period on the quality of chilli seed. Int J Sustain Crop Prod. 2009; 4(4):28-32.
- 42. Jasper P, Palanisamy V, Vakeswaran V. Influence of pre-harvest sanitation sprays on seed yield of pea (*Pisum sativum* L.); 2000.
- 43. Kavitha S. Seed hardening and pelleting for maximizing the productivity of blackgram (*Vigna mungo* L. Hepper). Cv. Vamban 3 under rainfed conditions. M. Sc.(Agri.) Thesis; 2002.
- 44. Renugadevi J, Natarajan N, Srimathi P. Efficacy of botanicals in improving the seeds and seedling quality charactristics of cluster bean. Legume Research-An International Journal. 2008;31(3):164-168.
- 45. Suma N, Srimathi P, Sumathi S. Influence of size grading on seed and seedling

quality characteristics of Sesamum indicum. Int. J. Curr. Microbiol. App. Sci. 2014;3(6):486-490.

- 46. Burris JS. The impact of thiamethoxam seed treatment on maize storability and laboratory test performance; 2001.
- 47. Perry DA, Harrison JG. Effects of seed deterioration and seed-bed environment on emergence and yield of spring-sown barley. Annals of Applied Biology. 1977; 86(2):291-300.
- 48. Gupta A, Punia RC, Dhaiya OS. Seed ageing and deterioration during storage of pearlmillet hybrid along with their parental line. Research in Environment and Life Sciences,[sl]. 2017; 10(6):554-556.
- 49. Flakelar C. Australian Canola Oil-the influence of varietal traits, processing and storage on phytosterols, tocopherols and carotenoids; 2017.

- 50. Suruthi. effect of different priming treatment on seed quality and biochemical parameters, fertilizer treatments, foliar growth nutrition and vield on attributes,post-harvest methods and studies barnvard millet storage in (Echinochloa frumentaceae L.). M.Sc.(Ag.) Thesis, AC& RI, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Madurai; 2019.
- Prasat S. Studies on seed yield maximization and storability of horsegram var. Paiyur 2 (Macrotyloma uniflorum). M.Sc.(Ag.) Thesis, AC& RI, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Madurai; 2020.
- 52. Ingmar O, Setiyono S, Savitri DA, Novijanto N. Effect of Seed Coating and Packaging Material on Viability and Vigor of Soybean Seed in Room Temperature Storage. Journal of Applied Agricultural Science and Technology. 2023;7(2):109-118.

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of the publisher and/or the editor(s). This publisher and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.

© Copyright (2024): Author(s). The licensee is the journal publisher. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history: The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/124383