



Performance of ZZ (*Zamioculcas zamiifolia*) Cultivars on Different Organic Potting Mixture

Sangloi ^{a*}, Rokolhuü Keditsu ^a and Abdul Rahman M ^a

^a Department of Horticulture, Nagaland University, SAS, Medziphema -797106, India.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.9734/ijpss/2024/v36i64652>

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: <https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/117046>

Original Research Article

Received: 04/03/2024

Accepted: 09/05/2024

Published: 13/05/2024

ABSTRACT

An experiment was carried out at the research cum instructional farm, Department of Horticulture, School of Agricultural Sciences, Nagaland University, Medziphema, India (2022-2023). The experiment was laid out in completely randomized design (CRD) with 14 treatments and 3 replications. The treatments consisted of organic substrates (T1: FYM, T2: Vermicompost, T3: Used tea leaves, T4: Forest litter, T5: Cocopeat, T6: Perlite and T7: Rice husk) and 2 cultivars (C1: Super Nova and C2: Black Raven). The rooted plants of ZZ cultivars were planted in different organic substrates along with garden soil and sand in the ratio of 1:1:1. Among the cultivars, C₁ (Super Nova) was found to be significantly better than Black Raven. Amongst the organic substrates, T5 (Garden soil + sand + cocopeat) was found to be statistically superior in all observed parameters except for plant height which was found to be in maximum in T₄ (Garden soil + sand + forest litter). The same treatment of T5 exhibited the highest profit (Rs. 12250) and benefit cost ratio (1.89) on ZZ cultivar Black Raven.

*Corresponding author: E-mail: sangloichio@gmail.com;

Keywords: ZZ (*Zamioculcas zamiifolia*); potting mixture; cocopeat; forest litter.

1. INTRODUCTION

Zamioculcas zamiifolia, also called Zanzibar Gem, ZZ plant, ZuZu plant, Aroid palm and Eternity plant is an ornamental plant grown for its attractive glossy foliage. *Zamioculcas* is a member of the family of Araceae [1,2] and originates from South Africa. *Zamioculcas zamiifolia* is the only known species of the *Zamioculcas* genus so far [3]. The aesthetic utility of ZZ plant is due to its special appearance, potential to flourish in low light conditions and its tolerance to drought conditions. The potential of the plant to resist drought and low light conditions has promoted its horticultural significance at international level [4]. ZZ plant has no known pest or disease incidences under interior conditions and has utmost tolerance to lesslight and drought conditions [3]. Therefore, it serves as a great house plant. It is drought tolerant due to the succulent rhizome that stores water until favourable conditions resumes. In drought condition, the above ground portion of the plant (leaflets and rachis) usually falls and leaves only the reserved swollen petiole base, like the pseudobulbs in orchids to aid the plant until the next irrigation or rain (Brown, 2000).

ZZ Super Nova has a green tinted young leaves that turn dark as they mature [5]. It is known for its attractive, exotic looking foliage. Young leaves are bright green while mature leaves are dark [6]. ZZ Black Raven have dark-purple colored leaves and compact plant are the results of the plants stout robust constitution. This cultivar has a glossy and dark leaves. The new growth initially appears in a stunningly lime green hue which slowly darkens as it ages [5]. It is a slow growing cultivar and grows to a height of about 30 inches tall [6]. The main ornamental interest of ZZ cultivars lies in its glossy foliage and its adaptability to different environmental conditions, robust defense mechanisms against pathogens and resistance to climatic changes [7]. Its high market value is attributed to its slow growth rate. The propagation of zamiofolia has been inhibited by its inherent characteristics of a slow growth rate [8,9].

Soil is the pool of nutrients for plants thereby making it the most important factor for plant growth. Mixing of organic substances increases effectiveness compared to the use of its ingredients separately. Use of organic matter

improves the soil health by improving the soil aeration, buffering capacity, faster release of nutrients, better water and nutrient supply capacity and also increases the activity of beneficial soil microorganisms. Growing media has different origins and they take the place of soil and serve as a means of anchorage for the root system, supply water and nutrients for the plants and aerify the root area [10]. A good media provides enough anchorage or prop the plant, provide water and nutrients, aid in oxygen diffusion to the plant roots and allow gaseous exchange between the roots and the atmosphere outside the root substratum [11,12]. The production and quality of potted ornamentals is highly affected by the growing media prepared in different compositions (Gheorghe and Monica, 2015).

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

Table 1. Factors affecting cultivars and organic substrates

Factor I	Cultivars (C)
C ₁	ZZ Super Nova
C ₂	ZZ Black Raven
Factor II	Organic substrates
T ₁	Garden soil+sand+FYM
T ₂	Garden soil +sand + Vermicompost
T ₃	Garden soil+sand+Used tea leaves
T ₄	Garden soil+sand+Forest litter
T ₅	Garden soil+sand+Cocopeat
T ₆	Garden soil+sand+Perlite
T ₇	Garden soil+sand+ Rice husk

* FYM : Farm yard manure

Before transplanting, polybags of 20 x 15 cm (L X B) dimensions were obtained. Garden soil, sand and various organic substrates, each measuring 500 gm were measured separately, thoroughly mixed together and filled in the polybags. The rooted plants were planted in the centre of the polybags. The first watering was given immediately after transplanting the plant, thereafter irrigation was given on plant demand and the surrounding conditions. Weeding and earthing up was done as when required or deemed necessary. The observations were made on rhizome size at transplanting and 8 months after transplanting, number of days to shoot emergence, number of days to unfurling of leaves, plant height at 3,6 and 8 months after

transplanting, number of shoots at 3,6 and 8 months after transplanting, rachis girth at 3,6 and 8 months after transplanting, growth rate at 3,6 and 8 months after transplanting and benefit cost ratio. The plants were maintained in a green house with good air circulation and natural light condition.

Formula used:

1. Growth rate : $(Lt - L0) / Lt \times 100$,
Where, Lt= plant height at the end of time
L0= Initial plant height
2. Net returns : Gross income –total cost
3. B:C ratio : Gross income / total cost

The recorded data was subjected to analysis of variance method [13] and tested against error mean square using Fisher Snedecor 'F'test at 0.5% level of significance.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Rhizome Size at Transplanting and 8 Months after Transplanting

Among the cultivars, C₁ (Super Nova) recorded the maximum (2.84 cm and 3.97 cm) rhizome size. Kumar et al. [14] reported that the difference among the cultivars might be attributed to differences in genetic makeup of the cultivars. Among the organic substrates, the maximum (2.83 cm and 3.65 cm) rhizome size were recorded in T₅ (Garden soil+sand+cocopeat), followed by T₆ (Garden soil+sand+perlite) with a rhizome size of 2.74 cm and 3.48 cm at transplanting and 8 months after planting. The minimum (1.78 cm and 2.84 cm) rhizome size were observed in T₃ (Garden soil+sand+used tea leaves) (Table 1). The maximum rhizome size in cocopeat might be due to the fact that although cocopeat has low levels of nitrogen, calcium and magnesium but has high levels of phosphorous and potassium. Phosphorous is good for root growth and development [15].

3.2 Days to Shoot Emergence

Cultivar Super Nova took a minimum of 67.97 days to shooting which might be due to difference in vegetative attributes of different genotypes exhibiting varied growth rate and genetic make up [16]. Among the substrates, T₅ (Garden soil+sand+cocopeat) took minimum days (62.89) for shoot emergence while

maximum (88.61) days to shoot emergence was recorded in T₃ (Garden soil+sand +used tea leaves). The days for ZZ shoot emergence was around 65 days after propagation [4]. Cocopeat as a growing media have higher water holding capacity and moisture supply as well as sufficient porosity which helps in better seedling emergence [17]. Cocopeat provides a better texture to the growing media and also prevents compaction [18] which might have contributed to the early shoot emergence.

3.3 Days to Unfurling of Leaves

The minimum (75.54) days to unfurling of leaves was observed in C₁ (Super Nova). Arora and Khanna [19] and Rani et al. [20] reported that supremacy of some genotypes over other genotypes is because of the variation in genotypes of different varieties. T₅ (Garden soil+sand+cocopeat) took minimum (71.67) days to unfurl the leaves which was followed by T₆ (Garden soil+sand+perlite) which took 73.00 days. The maximum days (95.00) to unfurling of leaves was recorded in plants grown in T₃ (Garden soil+sand+used tea leaves) (Table 2). Cocopeat has the potential to stock and liberate nutrients to plants for an increased length of time [21]. Cocopeat has good physical characteristics, high total pore space, elevated water content, low shrinkage, low bulk density and slow biodegradation (Evans et al., 1996) [22] which might have contributed to its superiority as compared to other substrates.

3.4 Plant Height at 3, 6 and 8 Months after Transplanting

The maximum plant height (11.87 cm, 14.28 cm and 16.60 cm) was recorded in C₁ (Super Nova). Kumari and Kumar [23] reported that differences in plant height amongst hybrids is attributed to the hereditary traits or the existing conditions of the environment of the growing location. Amongst the treatment, T₄ (Garden soil+sand+forest litter) exhibited the maximum height (10.06 cm, 13.30 cm and 14.89 cm), followed by T₇ (Garden soil+sand+rice husk) recording 9.99 cm, 11.28 cm and 12.87 cm at 3,6 and 8 months of planting. The minimum plant height (8.23 cm, 8.69 cm and 10.28 cm) was noted in T₃ (Garden soil+sand+used tea leaves). The maximum plant height in forest litter maybe attributed to the fact that flavonoids which are known to play a role in attracting beneficial microbes such as rhizobia may remain in plant tissue after senescence and

affect plant growth by scavenging free radicals and improving stress tolerance [24].

3.5 Number of Shoots at 3, 6 and 8 Months after Transplanting

Cultivar C₁ recorded the maximum number of shoots (1.13, 1.41 and 1.93) and treatment T₅ (Garden soil+sand+cocopeat) revealed the maximum number of shoots (1.26, 1.71 and 2.54) while the minimum number of shoots (0.73, 1.06 and 1.31) was observed in T₃ (Garden soil+sand +used tea leaves). The maximum number of shoots was observed in soil+cocopeat medium [25,26] and Lad *et al.*, 2020 also observed the same in media consisting of cocopeat as a growing media. More production of shoots in cocopeat media might be due to an increase in soil porosity, water retention and increase in ion exchange capacity [27].

3.6 Rachis Girth at 3, 6 and 8 Months after Transplanting

The maximum rachis girth (0.17 cm, 0.29 cm and 0.36 cm) was observed in C₁ and T₅ (Garden

soil+sand +cocopeat) recording a maximum value of (0.22 cm, 0.29 cm and 0.35 cm) on all days of observation. The minimum rachis girth (0.13 cm,0.22 cm and 0.28 cm) was noted in plants grown in T₃ (Garden soil+sand +used tea leaves). The high porosity feature of cocopeat aided to maintain a balanced water retention and aeration for enhanced intake of nutrients in the growth medium confined in a limited and enclosed space [28] which might have contributed to the good growth of the plant.

3.7 Growth Rate at 3, 6 and 8 Months after Transplanting

Cultivar Super Nova recorded the maximum growth rate (15.97%, 30.31% and 36.86%) amongst both the cultivars. Treatment wise, T₅ (Garden soil+sand +cocopeat),recorded the maximum growth rate (16.52%, 34.47% and 40.72%) followed by T₆ (Garden soil+sand +perlite) which recorded growth rates of 15.10%,31.44% and 37.36% while the minimum growth rate (11.00%, 21.37% and 29.83%) was noted in T₃ (Garden soil+sand +used tea leaves) at 3, 6 and 8 months after transplanting.

Table 2. Effect of potting mixture on rhizome size at transplanting and 8 months after transplanting, days to shoot emergence and days to unfurling of leaves

Cultivars (C)	Rhizome size (cm)		Days to shoot emergence	Days to unfurling of leaves
	At transplanting	8 months after transplanting		
C ₁	2.84	3.97	67.97	75.54
C ₂	1.95	2.63	82.84	87.98
SEm (±)	0.14	0.10	3.71	3.27
CD at 5%	0.40	0.30	10.74	9.47
Organic substrates (T)				
T ₁	2.27	3.29	72.56	79.33
T ₂	2.29	3.22	83.50	83.50
T ₃	1.78	2.84	88.61	95.00
T ₄	2.38	3.29	79.67	87.22
T ₅	2.83	3.65	62.89	71.67
T ₆	2.74	3.48	64.78	73.00
T ₇	2.50	3.35	75.83	82.61
SEm (±)	0.23	0.20	6.93	6.12
CD at 5%	0.67	0.57	20.09	17.72
Interaction (C X T)				
SEm (±)	0.37	0.28	9.81	8.65
CD at 5%	NS	NS	NS	NS

*SEm: Standard error of mean

*CD: Critical difference

*NS: Non significant

Table 3. B:C ratio of cultivars Super Nova and Black Raven

Organic substrate	B:C ratio	
	Super Nova	Black Raven
T ₁	1.85	1.82
T ₂	1.79	1.77
T ₃	1.68	1.74
T ₄	1.86	1.84
T ₅	1.94	1.89
T ₆	1.89	1.85
T ₇	1.76	1.76

Table 4. Effect of potting mixture on plant height, number of shoots, rachis girth and growth rate at 3, 6 and 8 months after transplanting

Cultivars	Plant height (cm)			Number of shoots			Rachis girth (cm)			Growth rate (%)		
	3 months	6 months	8 months	3 months	6 months	8 months	3 months	6 months	8 months	3 months	6 months	8 months
C1	11.87	14.28	16.60	1.13	1.41	1.93	0.17	0.29	0.36	15.97	30.31	36.86
C2	6.02	7.34	8.20	0.77	1.17	1.73	0.16	0.23	0.27	11.11	25.01	32.97
SEm (\pm)	0.46	1.00	0.54	0.10	0.13	0.16	0.02	0.01	0.01	0.77	1.62	0.94
CD at 5 %	1.32	1.54	1.56	0.28	NS	NS	0.05	0.02	0.02	2.24	4.69	2.71
Organic substrates												
T1	9.36	10.41	12.00	0.88	1.11	1.70	0.15	0.24	0.29	12.39	26.69	34.48
T2	9.75	10.96	12.55	0.82	1.22	1.66	0.17	0.27	0.32	13.40	24.40	23.23
T3	8.23	8.69	10.28	0.73	1.06	1.31	0.13	0.22	0.28	11.00	21.37	29.83
T4	10.06	13.30	14.89	0.96	1.33	1.77	0.15	0.26	0.31	12.35	25.26	34.47
T5	8.55	10.17	11.76	1.26	1.71	2.54	0.22	0.29	0.35	16.52	34.47	40.72
T6	9.65	10.83	12.42	1.07	1.39	2.17	0.18	0.28	0.34	15.10	31.44	37.36
T7	9.99	11.28	12.87	0.93	1.22	1.64	0.16	0.26	0.32	14.04	29.97	35.33
SEm (\pm)	0.85	1.00	1.01	0.18	0.24	0.30	0.03	0.01	0.01	1.45	3.03	1.75
CD at 5 %	2.47	2.89	2.92	NS	NS	0.42	NS	0.03	0.03	4.19	8.78	5.08
Interaction (C X T)												
SEm (\pm)	1.21	1.41	1.43	0.25	0.34	0.42	0.05	0.02	0.01	2.05	4.29	2.48
CD at 5 %	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS

* SEm: Standard error of mean

* CD: Critical difference

* NS: Non significant

3.8 Benefit Cost Ratio

The highest benefit cost ratio (1.94) was recorded in T₅ (Garden soil+sand+cocopeat) with net returns 9700/100. The least benefit cost ratio and the least net returns was recorded in T₃ (Garden soil+sand+ Used tea leaves). The highest net return for T₅ can be attributed to the fact that although the plant height was recorded highest in T₄ however the other attributes like number of shoots, rachis girth and growth rate was significantly higher in T₄ which may have contributed to the plant's aesthetic value thereby increasing its sale.

4. CONCLUSION

It is concluded that the growth of Super Nova is faster and superior than Black Raven irrespective of the growing medium. The growth of Super Nova and Black Raven was found to do well in potting mixture consisting of Garden soil+Sand+Cocopeat in terms of rhizome size, shoot emergence, unfurling of leaves, number of shoots, rachis girth and growth rate. The same treatment gave the highest B:C ratio. However for the plant height, the treatment of Garden soil+Sand+Forest litter was found better for both the cultivars. There is no literature available on improved culture media for rapid propagation of ZZ [8]. Therefore, use of garden soil + sand+ cocopeat for growing ZZ plants is recommended.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors are indebted to the Department of Horticulture, SAS, for providing research material and guidance for this research work.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

1. Bentham G, Hooker JD. Genera plantarum. L.Reeve and Co., London. 1883;3
2. Engler A. Prantl K. Araceae. *Dienaturlichen Pflanzenfamilien*. 1889;2: 102-159.
3. Chen J, Henny RJ, McConell DB. Development of New Foliage Plant Cultivars, *Trend in New Crops and New*

- Uses, ASHS Press, Alexandria. 2002; 446-452.
4. Chen J, Henny RJ. ZZ. A Unique Tropical Ornamental Foliage Plant, *HortTechnology*. 2003;13(3):458-462.
5. Bisht D. 17 stunning ZZ plant varieties. *Zamioculcas zamiifolia*. An overview; 2023.
6. Wyman E. Varieties of ZZ plant-Beautiful plant for your home; 2022. Available:<https://homegardennice.com/varieties-of-zz-plant/>
7. Seneviratne KACN, Kuruppu Arachchi KAJM, Seneviratne G, Premarathna M. *Zamioculcas zamiifolia* novel plants with dwarf features and variegated leaves induced by colchicine. *Ceylon Journal of Science*. 2020;49(2):203-207.
8. Seneviratne KACN, Daundasekera WAM, Kulasooriya SA, Wijesundara DSA. Development of rapid propagation methods and miniature plant for export-oriented foliage, *Zamioculcas zamiifolia*. *Ceylon Journal of Science (Bio Sci.)*. 2013;42(1):55-62.
9. Sayadi Nejad M, Sadeghi SM. Optimization of callus production and regeneration of (*Zamioculcas zamiifolia*). *Journal of Horticultural Science*. 2019;33 (3):405-415.
10. Gruda N, Prasad M, Maher MJ. Soilless culture. In: R.Lal (ed) *Encyclopedia of soil sciences*. Taylor & Francis. Boca Raton, FL, USA; 2006.
11. Argo WR. Root medium chemical properties. *Hort.Technol*. 1998;8:846-894.
12. Abad M, Noguera P, Puchades R, Maqueira A, Noguera V. Physico-chemical and chemical properties of some coconut dusts for use as a peat substitute for containerized ornamental plants. *Bioresource Technology*. 2002;82 (3):241-245.
13. Gomez KA, Gomez AA. *Statistical Procedures for Agricultural Research* (2nd edition). John Willey and Sons, New York. 1983;20-29.
14. Kumar S, Tirkey T, Singh R, Basant DK, Markam IR. Performance of different gladiolus (*Gladiolus grandiflora* L.) cultivars under Chattisgarh plains agroclimatic zone conditions. *The Pharma Innovation Journal*. 2020;9(10): 291-293.
15. Gohil P, Gohil M, Rajatiya J, Halepotara F, Solanki M, Malam VR, Barad R. Role of growing media for ornamental of plants. *International Journal of Pure and Applied Bioscience*. 2018;6(1):1219-1224.

16. Thakur N, Nair SA, Kumar R, Bharathi TU, Dhananjaya MV, Venugopalan R. Evaluation of chrysanthemum (*Dendranthema grandiflora* Tzevelev) for desirable horticultural traits. *Int. J. Curr. Microbiol. App. Sci.* 2018;7(8):565-574.
17. Lohani S, Adhikari S, Aryal LN, Bhusal Y, Kadariya M, Aryal S. Evaluation of different growing media for tomato and sweet pepper seedling raising in pokhara, Nepal. *The Journal of Agriculture and Environment.* 2023;24:109-118.
18. Ghosh M, Talukdar MC. Standardization of growing media for ornamental plant species grown in a vertical garden system in Assam. *International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences.* 2021;10(10):363-368.
19. Arora JS, Khanna K. Evaluation of gladiolus cultivars. *Journal of Research of Punjab Agricultural University.* 1985;22(4): 655-662.
20. Rani RK, Prasad KK, Ranjan R. Study on varietal performance in gladiolus. *The Orissa Journal of Horticulture.* 2007;35(2): 35-38.
21. Swetha S, Padmalatha T, Dhanumjaya K, Shankar AS. Effect of potting media on growth and quality in *Aglaonema*. *The Journal of Horticultural Sciences.* 2014;9(1):90-93.
22. Prasad M. Physical, chemical and biological properties of coir dust. *Acta Horticulturae.* 1997;450:21-29.
23. Kumari K, Kumar S. Evaluation performance of gladiolus varieties for vegetative, floral and corm and cormel characters under Tarai conditions. *International Journal of Tropical Agriculture.* 2015;33(2):1617-1620.
24. Barazani O, Friedman J. Allelopathic bacteria and their impact on higher plants. *Crit.rev.Microbiol.* 2001;27:41-55.
25. Rawat R, Vaisth A, Kumar V. Effect of growing media on growth parameters of two important aromatic crops of Grahwal Himalaya. *Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry.* 2020;9(2):417-421.
26. Singh D, Kaur A. Response of rooting media on sprouting propagation through cuttings in peach (*Prunus persica*) cv. Shan-i-Punjab. *Research on Crops.* 2021;22(1):68-73.
27. Devidas KB. Effect of IBA and rooting media on growth of pomegranate cuttings (*Punica granatum L*). Msc Thesis submitted to Vasanttrao Naik Marathwada KrishiVidyapeeth, Prabhani, Maharashtra, India; 2012.
28. Singh S, Dubey RK, Kukal SS. Performance of cocopeat amended media mixtures on growth and flowering of chrysanthemum. *Journal of Applied Horticulture.* 2015;17(3):230-235.

© Copyright (2024): Author(s). The licensee is the journal publisher. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:

The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:

<https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/117046>