

Asian Journal of Research in Crop Science

Volume 9, Issue 1, Page 1-9, 2024; Article no.AJRCS.111099 ISSN: 2581-7167

# Exploring the Role of Phenotypic Plasticity in Plant Adaptation to Changing Climate: A Review

Zubaira Bibi <sup>a</sup>, Muhammad Junaid Maqsood <sup>a\*</sup>, Ayesha Idrees <sup>a</sup>, Hafisa Rafique <sup>a</sup>, Aliza Amjad Butt <sup>a</sup>, Rameesha Ali <sup>a</sup>, Zunaira Arif <sup>a</sup> and Mutie Un Nabi <sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Botany, University of Agriculture Faisalabad, Pakistan.

# Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

## Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/AJRCS/2024/v9i1241

#### **Open Peer Review History:**

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/111099

> Received: 27/10/2023 Accepted: 30/12/2023 Published: 02/01/2024

**Review Article** 

# ABSTRACT

Global ecosystems are threatened by climate change, thus understanding plant response is vital. Phenotypic plasticity allows genotypes to produce different phenotypes in response to different environmental conditions, helping plants adapt to changing climates. The reviewsynthesizes molecular, physiological, and morphological data on plant phenotypic plasticity as a dynamic and responsive survival strategy in unpredictable environments. Review analyses how phenotypic plasticity influences plant resilience and persistence under climate change using empirical data from diverse plant species and settings. The study also analyses how phenotypic plasticity influences plant community dynamics, biodiversity, and ecosystem functioning. Phenotypic plasticity's potential to attenuate climate change and facilitate range alterations is also explored, showing its importance in plant ranges. Study reviewsgenetic, genomic, ecological, and climatological research on plant phenotypic plasticity in climate adaptation. Findings stressplant species' resilience in reducing climate change's impact on global ecosystems and influencing conservation and management.

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author: E-mail: mjunaidmaqsood10@gmail.com;

Keywords: Climate change; genotype; synthesis; plasticity; adaptation; conservation.

# **1. INTRODUCTION**

The resources that are readily available and the circumstances are particularly important to the performance of plants are both being altered as a result of climate change. Phenotypic plasticity, which refers to shifts in phenotype that can be brought about by the environment, is one of the methods by which plants will respond to these variations. In order to accurately forecast and effectively manage the consequences of climate change on native species as well as agricultural plants, it is essential to have a solid understanding of plastic reactions. In this article, a toolkit that includes definitions of important theoretical aspects as well as a summary of the current knowledge of the molecular and genetic processes that underlie plasticity that is relevant to climate change has been submitted.

## 2. CLIMATE CHANGE AND PLANT ADAPTION

The settings in which all species evolve are being drastically altered as a result of climate change. There are three ways in which plant species might adjust to these new circumstances: via phenotypic plasticity, through natural selection, or by migration to follow conditions to which they are suited. These three choices are not mutually exclusive. In order to determine how a particular plant species or population reacts to changes in its environment, it is necessary to have a knowledge of the environmental factors that cause variations in the phenotypic of individual plants. Phenotypic plasticity was often thought of as little more than noise: nevertheless, a changing climate (This complex understanding will be gained as a result of mounting evidence from molecular and developmental biology.

According to the International Panel on Climate Change [1] in 2007 and Jump, A.S. and Penuelas, J. in 2005, there are writers who have claimed that plastic responses to fast climate change are less essential than adaptation or adjustments in the geographic range of distribution. It is argued in these studies that the failure to expand beyond current limits is evidence that a species' versatile interest has been mainly worn out. The other school of thought contends that plasticity will not be a significant issue in the future since the signals that signaled the plastic responses in the first place may no longer be 'reliable' in climates. that have changed [2].

Wide ranges of genetic variety within naturally occurring populations are generally accepted to increase the capacity to resist and adapt to new biotic and abiotic environmental changes, including the tolerance of climate change. This is a widespread consensus among scientists [3].

When it comes to the capacity of plants to detect changes in their surroundings and create a plastic reaction, a fraction of this genetic variety is responsible for what happens. An example of this would be the possibility that genetic diversity in genes that encode sensors for temperature and transcriptional variables that regulate vernalization might assist plant populations in adapting to variations in temperature over time. According to Chevin, L-M. et al. [4], plasticity has the potential to not only act as a buffer against fast climatic changes but also to enhance the process of quick adaptation.

# 3. BOX 1 QUESTIONS THAT STILL TO BE ANSWERED

As a result of the availability of contemporary methods and the possibility of using methodologies that span several disciplines, we are now in a position to successfully answer the problems that are listed below.

#### • THE MOLECULAR FOUNDATION OF PLASTICITY IS AS FOLLOWS

- 1. What exactly is the genetic regulatory mechanism that governs plasticity, and how does it relate to epigenetics?
- 2. Should we be able to find 'plasticity genes'?
- 3. Do we have a better capacity to forecast the longer-term reactions of characteristics and species to climate change if we are able to discover genes that exhibit plasticity?

#### • THE CONCEPT OF ADAPTIVE PLASTICITY

- 1. Which characteristics are most likely to exhibit adaptive plasticity?
- 2. Does the presence of adaptive plasticity in distinct features occur in species that have diverse ecologies, which means that they have different functional types?
- 3. For example, would the frequency of adaptive plasticity differ depending on the

kind of characteristic (for example, those linked to anatomy, those related to allocation, and those connected to physiology)?

#### • THE THIRD QUESTION CONCERNS FUNCTIONAL QUALITIES

- i. Are the characteristics that are most often characterized as functional traits in plants also those that exhibit adaptive plasticity?
- ii. Does the degree of plasticity in functional features have a significant role in deciding how organisms will react to climatic change in the future, independent of the adaptive value that they now possess?
- iii. To what extent has flexibility played a role in the diversification of biological lineages?
- iv. It's possible that by a comparison of the distribution of adaptive plasticity, it is feasible to detect indications of this impact or important plasticity genes with population or species phylogenies?

#### • THIS IS THE FOURTH QUESTION IN THE SERIES ON EVOLUTION AND PLASTICITY

- i. What role will plasticity play in the fast evolution that will occur in response to these changes in climate?
- ii. What is the extent of the variability in plasticity, and how does it react to the process of selection?
- iii. Has breeding led to losses in adaptive plasticity in present crop varieties in comparison to earlier ones or wild ancestors?

#### • THIS QUESTION PERTAINS TO THE PLASTICITY OF CROP SPECIES

Is it possible to increase production stability in agricultural systems by breeding for flexibility in key traits?

This would be beneficial in light of the changing climate.

# 4. ADAPTABILITY OF ESSENTIAL PLANT FUNCTIONING CHARACTERISTICS IN RESPONSE TO CHANGES IN THE CLIMATE

Rather than being a property of an organism as a whole, plasticity is a characteristic of a particular attribute that occurs in response to a specific

environmental stimulus inside the organism. In a similar vein, some reactions are instances of adaptive plasticity, which provides a fitness advantage, while other responses are unavoidable responses to physical processes or resource restrictions [5,6] (Fig. 1). In the framework of plant adaptations to changes in the climate, both adapted and inflexible plasticity will play a part in the process. It is essential that we differentiate between the two in order to have a complete comprehension of the present value as well as the development of plasticity (Box 1, Question 2). The literature on theory has reached an agreement that adaptive phenotype change should occur in diverse settings when indications of outside circumstances are trustworthy [6,7]. This is the consensus that has been reached within the theoretical research. Even though there are a lot of hypotheses in the literature regarding what kinds of species will be the most flexible [8], our capacity to predict patterns of plasticity in key features as a result of climate change is still rather limited.

Fig. 1 In general, factorial designs are used in plasticity research in order to evaluate the impact of genotype (or, alternatively, population or line) and environmental factors, as well as the interactions between these factors (G x E). In order to assess whether or not different genotypes vary in their capacity to change their phenotype in response to environmental cues (their reaction norms), the term for interaction is used. A reaction norm plot that illustrates the response of three 'lines' (1-3) to two settings (A and B) is shown in the first example. There are a number of possible forms of lines, including separate clonal genotypes, recombinant inbred lines, varieties, and even populations and species. For phenotypic plasticity, line 1 is the most pronounced, whereas line 3 is the least pronounced. The following is an example that demonstrates how an observable plastic response may be the consequence of both active and passive reactions happening at the same time. As an

example, the passive reaction may be a reflection of resource scarcity, but the active response may bring about a shift in allocation in order to compensate for a decline in fitness in environment B [9]. In general, but not always, adaptive plastic reactions are those which are functional and that call for a particular signal perception-transduction system that enables plants to adjust as they grow [10]. Additionally, adaptive plastic responses may not always be



Fig. 1. Graphical presentations of physical processes

active. Tests of adaptive plasticity are shown in (c) and (id), and selection-gradient methods are often used in order to interpret such data [8]. As stated in (c). When the phenotypic characteristic is at its highest value in environment A, efficiency is optimum. Conversely, when the trait is at its lowest value in environment B, fitness is maximized. This means that the capacity of the genotype to change its phenotype based on the environment will be adaptive in and of itself. (d) This article presents an alternative method for evaluating adaptive plasticity. In this method, a measure of plasticity (either absolute or an index) plotted against average fitness. is The connection between the two variables may be adaptive, neutral, or even maladaptive (after) [6].

## 5. UNDER THE INFLUENCE OF ECOLOGICAL PLASTICITY AND ALTERATIONS IN THE DISTRIBUTION OF SPECIES AND KINDS OF VEGETATION

It is possible that upcoming climate change may lead to the elimination of species, the relocation of their ranges, the modification of main kinds of vegetation, and the modification of feedbacks between vegetation and the atmosphere. Indeed, the distribution of a great number of plant species has already been changed as a result of climate change; some species have shown a migration of up to six kilometers toward the poles on an annual basis during the course of the previous sixteen to one hundred thirty-two years [11]. There has been a significant amount of progress made in the field of species distribution and vegetation models in recent years: nevertheless, the majority of these models do not take into account the phenotypic plasticity of current genotypes, nor do they take into account the evolution of either characteristics or plasticity itself [4]. Box 2 lists plant functional features in which plasticity is expected to be essential to species responses to climate change. As a result, we advise that these traits should be given priority for research into plasticity and the processes that underlie it. In this section, we will discuss how a greater knowledge of the flexibility of these qualities can enhance our ability to forecast changes in the allocation of species as well as variations in the kinds of vegetation, as well as how this understanding might influence the way we approach crop breeding.

Bibi et al.; Asian J. Res. Crop Sci., vol. 9, no. 1, pp. 1-9, 2024; Article no.AJRCS.111099

Trait	Significance in Biological Terms	References
The bulk of the leaf in	A correlation that can be readily assessed between	[12,13,14]
relation to its area	relative growth rate, photosynthesis ability, leaf longevity,	
	and the amount of nitrogen as well as leaf	
	N concentration.	
Stomatal size, density	The loss of water and the intake of carbon dioxide are	[15,16]
	both controlled by stomata.	
Height at maturity	A sign of the competition in a stand; this is important for	[17]
	herbaceous and woody species, but it is more difficult to	
	quantify for species that live much longer.	
Flowering time, size at	The capacity of many species to adapt to a changing	[18]
reproduction,	environment will be determined by the degree of plasticity	
phenology	in these individual characteristics.	
Seed size, number	Measures of health; they may also be flexible elements in	[19]
	their individual right.	
Water use efficiency	The amount of carbon gained as a result of water's loss. It	[20]
	is possible to measure it as an integrated measure by	
	making use of isotopes; nevertheless, immediate	
	measurements are equally noteworthy.	
Leaf size, shape,	Leaf shape is essential to development and maintaining a	[19]
thickness	healthy equilibrium of carbon since it is the location of	
	photosynthesis.	
Root-to-shoot ratio	The proportion of the total plant mass that is distributed	[19]
	between the roots and the shoots (e.g., the leaves and the	
	stem).	
Specific root length	This is a belowground counterpart of SLA or LMA, which	[21]
	stands for root length per unit mass. It is of interest from	
	the point of view of worldwide change, particularly in light	
	of the shifting trends in rainfall.	10.01
Leaf pigmentation	Pigmentation modifications, such as anthocyanin, are	[22]
	linked to the capacity to shield the photosynthetic	
	machinery from excessive light. These changes may also	
	nave a role in the lifetime of leaves throughout the aging	
	process, as well as in their capacity to tolerate	
	temperatures, drought, and osmotic stress.	

Table 1. List of Biological Terms against trait

#### 6. SPECIES DISTRIBUTION MODELS

The simplest versions of niche-based models include taking the climatic circumstances of a species' existing distribution and using predicted future climatic scenarios to forecast future distributions [23]. Niche-based models are used to project future distributions. In general, they make the assumption that distributions indicate the ecological potential of the existing gene pool and that the niche does not change over the course of time [24]. Due to factors such as dispersion restriction, the impact of interactions between species, and the chance that sections of the theoretical niche still remain hidden because they aren't applicable to anv current environment, the environmental conditions that a species is currently occupying may not reveal the full extent of its potential range (fundamental niche). This is possible for a number of reasons [24]. The influence of phenotypic plasticity will be significant in the process especially of anticipating dynamics near population borders. At the trailing edge, plasticity has the ability to act as a buffer against population decreases and to alter the capacity of the species to adapt to new situations [25]. On the cutting edge, the interactions between different species might potentially result in plastic reactions that were not expected. Recently, mechanistic models that include physiological information about variation within a species in response to environment have presented an alternative to solely correlative models [26,27]. These models have been able to provide an alternative to the traditional correlative models. For instance, a mechanistic model that takes into account plasticity in phenology was used in order to investigate population decreases on the trailing edge of the range of sixteen different tree species [28]. According to Morin, X. et al. [29], declines were mainly attributed to a loss in fruit maturation success that was caused by maladaptive plastic responses to temperature variations. These responses resulted to delay in early-season sterility break.

# 7. PLASTICITY AND THE ABILITY TO ANTICIPATE CHANGES IN THE SORTS OF PLANTS

It is also anticipated that climate change would have an effect on the worldwide distribution patterns of different species of vegetation, as well as their feedback on the levels of CO2 and temperatures in the atmosphere. The prediction of which plant functional categories would predominate in specific regions is accomplished via the use of dynamic global vegetation models (DGVMs), which are integrated with circulatory models in general [29]. Feedbacks from the climate-induced change of plant types, such as transformation of Amazonian tropical the rainforests into savannas and grasslands, are essential to these projections of rising CO2 concentrations and temperatures [30]. Nevertheless, the degree to which the current vegetation is able to adapt to changes in the environment will determine whether or not sudden shifts in the kinds of flora that are present will really take place. It is typical to detect plastic changes in leaf chemistry, biomass allocation, and rate of metabolism in response to different environmental conditions, such as temperature or drought. According to Atkin, O.K. et al. [31] the incorporation of actual values for the adaptation of respiration in response to growth temperature into DGVMs has the potential to reduce the modeled rates of respiration and perhaps raise the rates of net primary output by as much as twenty percent in tropical regions. Alterations of this size in plastic are anticipated to have a significant impact on the projected rates of ecosystem net carbon exchange, which will have significant but mostly unknown repercussions for the future concentrations of carbon dioxide in the atmosphere and the temperatures of the whole planet. It's shown that there are already certain tools available for DGVMs that may be used to add phenotypic plasticity [31,32].

# 8. PHENOTYPIC EVOLUTION, BREEDING, AND PLASTICITY IN RESPONSE TO RAPID CHANGES

The plastic responses of current genotypes will be of particular relevance in the near term when it comes to deciding the survival of plants in the face of climate change. On the other hand, these plastic reactions might potentially have significant repercussions for evolutionary processes that stretch out over a longer period of time [33]. Adaptive plasticity is expected to enhance persistence and, as a result, lower the odds of extinction in a new habitat [33]. This, in turn, sets the ground for further adaptive evolution via natural selection. However, even plasticity that is not now adaptive may give sources of new phenotypes that are significant in the evolution of phenotypes [34,4]. Studies of evolution that has been produced by climate change under both simulated and natural climatic settings have, up to this point, only seldom merged plastic and genetic evolutionary responses [35]. On the other hand, plant populations will be subject to selection regardless of whether the climatic changes are sudden or gradual. It is anticipated that progressive climatic changes would impose soft selection, which will be mediated by intraspecific interactions, but abrupt climate changes will result in fast hard selection for genotypes that are more stress-tolerant [35]. According to Finnegan and Finnegan [36], there is also the potential that environmental factors might be the cause of genome-wide alterations, such as the random development of Epialleles. This genomic plasticity is not the same as phenotypic plasticity; nonetheless, it may offer a mechanism that creates responses that are phenotypically changeable [37]. Given that epigenetic shifts can occur much more quickly than changes based on DNA sequences [37,38] and that they have been demonstrated to respond to stressful circumstances [39], it is possible that the epigenetic process changes could be of utmost significance in the event of a sudden shift in circumstances. Experimental studies that make use of classic plasticity designs and epigenetic markers or epi-RILs will be essential tools that will enable us to establish a connection between genomic processes and the evolution of plastic responses [39,40,41,42].

## 9. CROP BREEDING AND PLASTICITY IMPORTANT IN A VARIABLE CLIMATE

In conclusion, in light of the rising concerns over the possibility of food shortages, especially eager to encourage research that spans several disciplines and integrates ecological and evolutionary theory with practical research findings in agricultural systems. Historically, crop scientists have concentrated their efforts on either breeding for homeostasis in a variety of situations or on directed selection of plant features in order to get greater yields in specific locations [43]. The concept of selection for higher phenotypic flexibility in and of itself has not been well investigated. We believe that it is presently unclear whether domestication and breeding have led to enhanced or reduced flexibility in qualities that are indirectly connected with yield. This is due to the fact that selection is often undertaken on trait values under a single productive state. Due to the fact that relatively big morphological and physiological changes may be the basis for yield stability, genetic lines that have been chosen for relative vield stability may exhibit a high degree of phenotypic plasticity [43]. Breeding for phenotypic plasticity in attributes other than yield has the potential to provide resilience in an environment that is becoming more unpredictable [44]. In the case of water consumption characteristics, for instance, breeding for adaptability might result in improved survival rates and increased average yields [45]. Similarly, emerging ways to uncover critical ecological sensing alleles in crop and system models might lead to possibilities to breed for phenotypic change, which can help create resilience in an environment that is becoming more changeable [46-51].

# **10. CONCLUSION**

Increasing data suggests that flexibility in plants is becoming more important in relation to the changing climate, and this is something that applies to both natural and agricultural management systems. In a way that is not only comprehensible but also relevant to ecologists, physiologists, and molecular biologists, our purpose has been to provide a description of the different roles that plasticity may play in determining how plants respond to and are influenced by climate change. When it comes to particular this sector, we believe that development is highly reliant on the use of interdisciplinary methods and the implementation of innovative methodologies. In order to outline potential avenues for further investigation, we have compiled a list of remaining questions in the subject (Box 1). Many of these are expansions of concerns that have been around for a long time, such as how prevalent and significant is adaptive

plasticity, what is the molecular genetic foundation of flexibility, and what is the importance of plasticity when it comes to the distribution of species and the processes that occur in vegetation? As a result of the exciting new technological advancements and the opportunity for integrated interdisciplinary methods, the answers to these enticing issues are now relevant in an applied setting and are nearer to our reach than they were before.

## **COMPETING INTERESTS**

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

#### REFERENCES

 IPCC Climate change: Synthesis Report; 2007. Available:http://www.ipcc.chlpublications\_a nd\_datal

publications\_and\_dataJeports.htm#l

- Visser ME. Keeping up with a warming world; assessing the rate of adaptation to climate change. proc. R. Soc. Lond. B. Biol. Sci. 2008;275:649-659.
- 3. Jump AS. et al. Environmental change and the option value of genetic diversity. Trends Plant Sci. 2009;14:51-58.
- 4. Chevin LM. et al. Adaptation, plasticity, and extinction in a changing environment: towards a predictive theory. PLoS Biol. 2010;8:e1000357.
- 5. Weiner J. Allocation, plasticity and allometry in plants. Pers. Plant Ecol. Evol. and Syst. 2004;6:207-215.
- Van Kleunen M, Fischer M. Constraints on the evolution of adaptive phenotypic plasticity in plants. New Phytologist. 2005;166:49.
- Schmitt J. et al. The adaptive evolution of plasticity: phytochrome-mediated shade avoidance responses. Integr. Comp. Biol. 2003;43:459-469.
- 8. Funk JL. Differences in plasticity between invasive and native plants from a low resource environment. J. Ecol. 2008;96: 1162-1173.
- Callahan H8, Pigliucc M. Shade-induced plasticity and its ecological significance in wild populations of arabidopsis thaliana. Ecology. 2002;83:1965-1980.
- 10. Stinchcombe JR. et at. Flowering time plasticity in Arabidopsis thaliana: a reanalysis of wester man & lawrence J. Evot. Bioi. 2004;17:197-207.

- 11. Parmesan C, Yohe G. A globally coherent fingerprint of climate change impacts across natural systems. Nature. 2003;421: 37-42.
- Muth NZ, Pigliucci M. Implementation of a novel framework for assessing species plasticity in biological invasions: responses of centaurea and crepis to phosphorus and water availability. J. Ecol. 2007;95:1001-1013.
- 13. Wright 1J. et al. The worldwide leaf economics spectrum. Nature. 2004;428: 821-827.
- 14. Poorter H. et al. Causes and consequences of variation in leaf mass per area (LMA): a meta-analysis. New Phytologist. 2009;182:565-588.
- 15. Hetherington AM, Woodward F1. The role of stomata in sensing and driving environmental change. Nature. 2003;424: 901-908.
- 16. Sack L. et at. How strong is intracanopy leaf plasticity in temperate deciduous trees? Am. J. Bot. 2006;93:829-839.
- 17. Westoby M. A leaf-height-seed (LHS) plant ecology strategy scheme. Plant and Soil. 1998;199:213-227.
- Metcalf JC. et at. Evolutionary demography of monocarpic perennials. Trends Ecol. Evol. 2003;18:471-480.
- 19. Cornelissen JHC. et al. A Handbook of protocols for standardized and easy measurement of plant functional traits worldwide. Aust. J. Bot. 2003;51:335-380.
- 20. Seibt U. et al. Carbon isotopes and water use efficiency: sense and sensitivity. Oecologia. 2008;155:441-454.
- 21. Hodge A. The plastic plant: root responses to heterogeneous supplies of nutrients. New Phytologist. 2004;162:9-24.
- 22. Steyn WJ. et al. Anthocyanins in vegetative tissues: A proposed unified function in photoprotection. New Phytologist. 2002;155:349-361.
- 23. Thuiller W. et al. Climate change threats to plant diversity in europe. proc. natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 2005;102:8245-8250.
- 24. Colwell RK, Range TF. Hutchinson's duality: the once and future niche. proc. natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 2009;106:19651-19658.
- 25. Thuiller W. et al. Predicting global change impacts on plant species distributions: future challenges. Perspect. Plant Ecol. Evol. Syst. 2008;9:137-152.
- 26. Kearney M, Porter W. Mechanistic niche modelling: combining physiological and

spatial data to predict species ranges. Ecol. LeU. 2009;12:334-350.

- 27. Wiens JA. et al. Niches, models, and climate change: assessing the assumptions and uncertainties. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 2009;106:19729-19736.
- 28. Chuine, Beaubien EG. Phenology is a major determinant of tree species range. Ecol. Lelt. 2001;4:500-510.
- 29. Morin X. et al. Tree species range shifts at a continental scale: new predictive insights from a process-based model. J. Ecol. 2008;96:784-794.
- 30. Cox P. Description of the "TRIFFID" dynamic global vegetation model In met office hadley centre technical note. 2001;1-16.
- 31. Atkin OK et al. Using temperaturedependent changes in leaf scaling relationships to quantitatively account for thermal acclimation of respiration in a coupled global climate-vegetation model. Global Change Bioi. 2008;14:2709-2726.
- 32. Kattge J, Knorr W. Temperature acclimation in a biochemical model of photosynthesis: a reanalysis of data from 36 species. Plant Cell Environ. 2007;30: 1176-1190.
- Ghalambor CK et al. Adaptive versus nonadaptive phenotypic plasticity and the potential for contemporary adaptation in new environments. Fund. Ecol. 2007;21: 394-407.
- Lande R. Adaptation to an extraordinary environment by evolution of phenotypic plasticity and genetic assimilation. J. Evol. Biol. 2009;22:1435-1446.
- 35. Reusch TBH, Wood TE. Molecular ecology of global change. Mol. Ecol. 2007;16:3973-3992.
- Finnegan EJ. Epialleles a source of random variation in times of stress. Curr. Opin. Plant Bioi. 2002;5:101-106.
- 37. Richards CL. et al. What role does heritable epigenetic variation play in phenotypic evolution? Bioscience. 2010; 60:232-237.
- 38. Bossdorf O. et al. Epigenetics for ecologists. Ecol. LeU. 2008;11:106-115.
- Verhoeven A. et al. Seasonal changes in abundance and phosphorylation status of photosynthetic proteins in eastern white pine and balsam fir. Tree Physiol. 2009;29: 361-374.
- 40. Jablonka E, Raz G. Transgenerational epigenetic inheritance: prevalence, mechanisms, and implications for the study

of heredity and evolution. Q. Rev. Bioi. 2009;84:131-176.

- 41. Reinders J. et al. Compromised stability of DNA methylation and transposon immobilization in mosaic arabidopsis epigenomes. Genes Dev. 2009;23:939-950.
- 42. Johannes F. et al. Assessing the impact of transgenerational epigenetic variation on complex traits. PLoS Genet. 2009;5: e1000530.
  DOI:1000510.10013711journal.pgen.1000 530
- 43. Sadras VO. et al. phenotypic plasticity of yield and phenology in wheat, sunflower and grapevine. Field Crops Res. 2009; 110:242-250.
- 44. Sambatti JBM, Caylor KK. When is breeding for drought tolerance optimal if drought is random? New Phytologist. 2007;175:70-80.
- 45. Nicotra AB, Davidson A. Adaptive plasticity in water use traits. funct. Plant Bioi. 2010;37:117-127.

- 46. Nuhse TS. et al. Quantitative phosphoproteomic analysis of plasma membrane proteins reveals regulatory mechanisms of plant innate immune responses. Plant J. 2007;51:931-940.
- 47. Bradshaw AD. Unraveling phenotypic plasticity why should we bother? New Phytologist. 2006;170:644-648.
- 48. Burns JH. Relatedness and environment affect traits associated with invasive and non-invasive introduced commelinaceae. Ecol. Appl. 16. 2006;1367-1376.
- 49. Jump AS, Penuelas J. Running to stand still: adaptation and the response of plants to rapid climate change. Ecol. Lett. 2005; 8:1010-1020.
- 50. Van Kleunen M, Fischer M. Adaptive evolution of plastic foraging responses in a clonal plant. Ecology. 2001;82:3309-3319.
- 51. Van Kleunen M. et al. Selection on phenotypic plasticity of morphological traits in response to flooding and competition in the clonal shore plant ranunculus reptans. J. Evol. Biol. 2007;20:2126-2137.

© 2024 Bibi et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history: The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/111099