



Assessment of Potato (*Solanum tuberosum* L.) Performance for Yield and Quality Production under Natural Farming System in Gird Region of Madhya Pradesh, India

Aman Pratap Singh Chauhan ^a, Dheerendra Singh ^{a*},
Avinash Sharma ^a, Shubham Chouhan ^a, Nishita Kushwah ^a,
Nisha Singh ^b and Janmejaya Sharma ^c

^a Rajmata Vijayaraje Scindia Krishi Vishwa Vidyalaya, Gwalior - 474002, Madhya Pradesh, India.

^b Regional Station, ICAR- Central Potato Research Institute, Gwalior – 474020, Madhya Pradesh, India.

^c Department of agronomy, RVSKVV, Gwalior, India.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/JEAI/2023/v45i122261

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: <https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/110540>

Original Research Article

Received: 07/10/2023

Accepted: 11/12/2023

Published: 14/12/2023

ABSTRACT

Objective of the Study: To assess the potato (*Solanum tuberosum* L.) performance for yield and quality production under natural farming system in gird region of Madhya Pradesh.

Study Design: Randomized complete block design.

Place and Duration of Study: ICAR-Central Potato Research Institute- RS, research farm Gwalior during winter (*Rabi*) season of 2022-2023.

*Corresponding author: E-mail: dheerendra912@gmail.com;

Methodology: Selected 5 plants from each treatment and replicated 3 times. Then follows methods as per given in materials and methods section of paper. Treatments T₁: Control, T₂: Inorganic practices (standard technology), T₃: NADEP compost @ 25 t/ha + *Azotobacter* @ 1L/ha + PSB (Phosphorus solubilizing bacteria) @ 1L/ha, T₄: T₃+ FYM @ 25 t/ha, T₅: T₃ + Vermicompost @ 7.5 t/ha, T₆: T₃+ neem cake @ 5 t/ha + foliar spray of copper oxychloride @ 3 g/L, T₇: Integrated practice [90% RDF through inorganic sources {urea, SSP, MOP}, 10% RDF through organic sources i.e., FYM @ 25 t/ha.

Results: Results revealed that maximum number of tubers (135.03, 144.598 and 245.344) for grade 25-50 g, 50-75 g and >75 g respectively, highest number of tubers per ha (591286 at harvest), maximum yield of tubers (6.75, 10.844 and 18.40 t/ha) for grade 25-50 g, 50-75 g and >75 g respectively, highest yield of tubers (37.665 t/ha), highest true density of tubers (1.123 g/cc), highest tuber dry matter (17.173%) and highest starch content (14.313%) were recorded in treatment T₇. While, the maximum number of tubers (129.08) for grade (0-25 g) and, maximum yield of tubers (3.2098 t/ha) for grade (0-25 g) was found in control plot.

Conclusion: Among 7 treatments, T₇- Integrated practice (90% inorganic practices, 10% organic) found better for number of tubers in different grades, yield of tubers in different grades, total tuber yield (t/ha) and for quality parameters *e.i.*, True density (g/cc), Tuber dry matter (%) and Tuber starch content (%).

Keywords: Potato; quality; starch; tuber; yield.

1. INTRODUCTION

In recent years, the global agricultural landscape has witnessed a paradigm shift towards sustainable and eco-friendly farming practices, with a growing emphasis on natural farming systems [1]. As the demand for food continues to rise in tandem with population growth, there is an increasing need to explore alternative agricultural approaches that prioritize environmental stewardship and minimize the ecological footprint of food production. In this context, the cultivation of staple crops, such as potatoes (*Solanum tuberosum* L.), under natural farming systems has emerged as a subject of considerable interest and significance.

Potatoes are a vital component of the world's food supply, providing essential nutrients and calories to millions of people [2]. However, traditional farming methods often involve the use of synthetic fertilizers, pesticides, and other agrochemicals, which can have adverse effects on soil health, biodiversity, and overall ecosystem resilience. Recognizing these challenges, natural farming systems, characterized by a holistic and sustainable approach, offer a promising alternative for potato cultivation.

This study was contribute to the existing body of knowledge by shedding light on the practical implications of transitioning from conventional to natural farming systems in the context of potato production. As global agriculture faces the dual

challenges of ensuring food security and mitigating environmental degradation, understanding the nuances of sustainable farming practices becomes paramount [3]. The findings of this research can inform policymakers, farmers, and researchers alike, guiding the development of strategies that promote a resilient and sustainable future for potato agriculture.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

The experiment was carried in the ICAR-Central Potato Research Institute-RS, research farm in Gwalior which is located at 26°13' North latitude, 78°14' East longitude and 206 meters above mean sea level in the North tract of M.P. Gwalior's climate is subtropical, with summer temperatures reaching up to 48°C and minimum temperature as low as 4.0°C during the winter season. The annual rainfall ranges between 750 and 800 mm, with the majority falling between the end of June and end of September, with only a few showers in the winter months. Mean monthly meteorological data (maximum and minimum temperature, relative humidity, evaporation and precipitation) were collected at the Meteorological Observatory-College of Agriculture, Gwalior during the crop growth season. According to the data the total rainfall received during the crop growth period was 17.4 mm during the crop growing period the average maximum and lowest temperature were 28°C and 10°C, respectively. The relative humidity ranged from 37.2% to 73.4%.

2.1 Treatment Details

T₁: Control, T₂: Inorganic practices (standard technology), T₃: NADEP compost @ 25 t/ha + *Azotobacter* @ 1L/ha + PSB @ 1L/ha, T₄: T₃+ FYM @ 25 t/ha, T₅: T₃+Vermicompost @ 7.5 t/ha, T₆: T₃+ neem cake @ 5 t/ha + foliar spray of copper oxychloride @ 3 g/L (for management of foliar diseases), T₇: Integrated practice [90% RDF through inorganic sources {urea, SSP, MOP}, 10% RDF through organic sources i.e., FYM @ 25 t/ha.

2.2 Data Collected

1. Yield of tubers (t/ha) in each grade (<25g, 25-50g, 50-75g and >75): The yield of tubers per plot was determine using an electronic weighing scale for each grade (25g, 25-50g, 50-75g, >75, and total potato).

2. Number of tubers (per plot and per ha) in each grade (<25g, 25-50g, 50-75g and >75): The number of tubers per plot was counted manually for each grade (<25g, 25-50g, 50-75g, >75,) and converted in per ha area.

3. Total tuber yield (t/ha): Total fresh tuber yield (kg/plot) was taken at harvest in individual (net plot) plots and was converted to t/ha.

4. True density of potato: The toluene displacement technique was used to measure the volume and actual density of fresh potato tubers. Toluene was chosen since it is less absorbed by the sample. The real density was determined using the volume of toluene displaced and the mass of the sample, as stated by Mohsenin [4].

$$\text{True density (g/cm}^3\text{)} = \frac{\text{Weight of tuber in air}}{\text{Weight of tuber in air} - \text{weight of tuber in water}}$$

5. Tuber dry matter content (%): 100g of sample was obtained and pre-dried at 60°C for 15 hours before being dried for 3 hours at 105°C in a drying oven [5]. It was estimated as follows:

$$\text{Tuber dry matter content (\%)} = \frac{\text{Dry weight}}{\text{Fresh weight}} \times 100$$

6. Starch content in tuber (%): A dry sample weighing 0.1 to 0.5 g was homogenized in hot 80% ethanol before centrifugation at 10,000 rpm for 20 minutes to assess the starch content. The

residue was then treated with 5 ml of water and 6.5 ml of perchloric acid and chilled at 0 C for 20 minutes. The residue was centrifuged again, and the supernatant was kept for further investigation. The final volume was increased to 100 ml and diluted in a 1:5 ratio with distilled water. Each test tube received 4 cc of enthrone reagent and was cooked in a boiling water bath for roughly 8 minutes. The contents were quickly cooled, and the intensity of green to dark green was measured using a UV visible spectrophotometer.

$$\text{Starch (\%)} = \text{Glucose content} \times 0.95$$

2.3 Data Analysis

The recorded data were analyzed using OP STAT statistical software was used for one-way ANOVA at $p < 0.05$ (student t-test). Origin 2017 software was used for charting.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

1. Number of tubers ('000/ha) in different grades: In grade (0-25 g), the maximum number of tubers (129.08) was found in control plot. The greater number of decreased size tubers could be due to insufficient availability of proper nutrients. In grade (25-50 g), the maximum number of tubers (135.03) was found in T₇. This could due to proper supply of nutrients both by inorganic (at early stage) and by organic (later stage). In grade (50-75 g), the maximum number of tubers (144.598) was found in T₇. This is due to proper supply of nutrients both by inorganic (at early stage) and by organic (later stage). In grade (>75 g), the maximum number of tubers (245.344) was found in T₇. This is due to proper supply of nutrients both by inorganic (at early stage) and by organic (later stage). Total number of tubers per ha have been presented in the Table1. Highest number of tubers per ha (591286 at harvest) were recorded in treatment T₇. This might be due to use of organic sources along with inorganic fertilizers because organic sources of nutrients improved the soil aeration, root development and increased microbial and biological activities in the rhizosphere. Therefore, a greater number of tubers. Findings are supported by Sayed et al [6] and Yadav et al [7]. Barman et al. [8] reported that significant increased towards number and weight of B grade tubers (50-75 g) was recorded under treatment T₅ (Vermicompost @ 2.5 t/ha + half NPK through inorganic fertilizer). Singh et al. [9] found that highest number of tubers is recorded with the

Table 1. Effect of treatments on number of tubers in different grades

Treatments	Number of tubers per plot				Number of tubers per ha (0000 ⁻¹)			
	0-25gm	25-50gm	50-75gm	>75gm	0-25gm	25-50gm	50-75gm	>75gm
T ₁ - Control	167.30	104.40	111.76	191.83	129.08	80.55	86.23	148.0195
T ₂ - RDF- Inorganic	127.80	161.54	157.30	317.46	98.611	124.64	121.37	244.9588
T ₃ - NADEP+PSB+Azotobacter	160.90	148.45	147.16	209.26	124.1512	114.544	113.55	161.4712
T ₄ - T ₃ + FYM @ 25 t/ha	160.30	137.00	157.16	218.33	123.688	105.7099	121.27	168.4671
T ₅ - T ₃ + vermi-compost @ 7.5 t/ha	166.40	154.75	114.30	237.50	128.39	119.40	88.19	183.2562
T ₆ - T ₃ + neem cake @ 5 t/ha + foliar spray of copper oxychloride @ 3 gm/l (for management of foliar diseases)	162.06	168.14	134.66	250.66	125.0463	129.73	103.900	193.4156
T ₇ - Integrated practice (90% inorganic practices, 10% organic)	85.68	175.00	187.40	317.96	66.311	135.03	144.598	245.3447
S.Em.	14.68	11.81	6.53	9.93	11.32	9.11	5.04	7.667
CD at 5%	43.95	35.38	19.56	29.75	33.80	27.21	7.12	22.88

Table 2. Effect of treatments on yield of tubers in different grades

Treatments	Yield of tubers per plot (kg)				Yield of tubers per ha (t)			
	0-25gm	25-50gm	50-75gm	>75gm	0-25gm	25-50gm	50-75gm	>75gm
T ₁ - Control	4.18	5.22	8.38	14.33	3.22	4.02	6.46	11.10
T ₂ - RDF- Inorganic	3.19	8.07	11.79	23.81	2.46	6.23	9.10	18.37
T ₃ - NADEP+PSB+Azotobacter	4.02	7.42	11.03	15.69	3.10	5.72	8.51	12.11
T ₄ - T ₃ + FYM @ 25 t/ha	4.00	6.85	11.78	16.37	3.09	5.28	9.09	12.63
T ₅ - T ₃ + vermi-compost @ 7.5 t/ha	4.16	7.73	8.57	17.81	3.20	5.97	6.61	13.74
T ₆ - T ₃ + neem cake @ 5 t/ha + foliar spray of copper oxychloride @ 3 gm/l (for management of foliar diseases)	4.05	8.40	10.10	18.80	3.12	6.48	7.79	14.50
T ₇ - Integrated practice (90% inorganic practices, 10% organic)	2.14	8.75	14.05	23.84	1.56	6.75	10.844	18.40
S.Em.	0.36	0.59	0.49	0.74	0.28	0.45	0.37	0.57
CD at 5%	1.009	1.76	1.46	2.23	0.84	1.36	1.12	1.71

application of 75% RDF + 2 tonnes ha⁻¹ FYM + 20 kg ha⁻¹ sulphur + 20 kg ha⁻¹ zinc sulphate + 1 tonnes ha⁻¹ vermicompost + Azotobacter (seed treatment).

2. Grade wise yield (t/ha) of tubers: In grade (0-25 g), the maximum yield of tubers (3.2098 t/ha) was found in control plot. The greater number of decreased size tubers is due to insufficient availability of proper nutrients. In grade (25-50 g), the maximum yield of tubers (6.75 t/ha) was found in T₇. This is due to proper supply of nutrients both by inorganic (at early stage) and by organic (later stage). In grade (50-75 g), the maximum yield of tubers (10.844 t/ha) was found in T₇. This is due to proper supply of nutrients both by inorganic (at early stage) and by organic (later stage). In grade (>75 g), the maximum yield of tubers (18.40 t/ha) was found in T₇. This might be due to combined use of organic and inorganic fertilizers, which provide balance nutrition to plant. Hensh et al. [10] found that higher yield of larger size tubers i.e. grade A (>100 g) and B (50-99 g) tubers was recorded with treatment T₁₀- 80% RDN through chemical fertilizer + 20% through vermicompost + biofertilizer, while yield of grade C (<50 g) found higher with treatment T₂- 80% RDN through chemical fertilizer + 20% through Farm Yard Manure. Dev et al. [11] reported maximum tuber yield grade wise with treatment T₅ (RDF 75% + 25% FYM-N + ZnSO₄@ 40 kg ha⁻¹). Islam [12] revealed that maximum no. of tubers found in treatment T₇ (Poultry Manure @ 3 t ha⁻¹ + rest nutrients from RDF). Narayan [13] found that 'A', 'B' and 'C' grade tubers were significantly higher with application of 75% recommended dose of fertilizer with 8 tons ha⁻¹ vermicompost + Azotobacter and PSB (N₆) treatment.

3. Total tuber yield (t/ha): Total yield of tubers per ha have been presented in the Table 2. Highest yield of tubers per ha (37.665 t/ha at harvest) was recorded in treatment T₇. This might be due to application of fertilizers in combination with organic manure which increased the nutrient-use efficiency through modification of soil physical condition, and resulted in higher total uptake of nutrients because of better root penetration leading to better absorption of nutrients and moisture. Findings are supported by Mohammed et al [14]. Hensh et al. [10] found that Maximum total tuber yield (31.39, 32.70 and 32.05 t ha⁻¹ , in both the years and in pooled data respectively) of potato was also recorded with treatment T₁₀-80% RDN through chemical fertilizer + 20% through

vermicompost + biofertilizer) which was statistically at par with treatments T₁₁ (80% RDN through chemical fertilizer + 20% through mustard oil cake + biofertilizer), T₉ (80% RDN through chemical fertilizer + 20% through FYM + biofertilizer) and T₃ (80% RDN through chemical fertilizer + 20% through vermicompost). Dev et al. [11] reported maximum total tuber yield found with treatment T₅ (RDF 75% + 25% FYM-N + ZnSO₄@ 40 kg ha⁻¹). Singh et al. (2018) revealed that the highest tuber yield was recorded with the application 75% RDF + 2 tonnes ha⁻¹ FYM + 20 kg ha⁻¹ sulphur + 20 kg ha⁻¹ zinc sulphate + 1 tonnes ha⁻¹ vermicompost + Azotobacter (seed treatment). Islam [12] revealed that maximum yield tubers found in treatment T₇ (Poultry Manure @ 3 t ha⁻¹ + rest nutrients from RDF).

4. True density (g/cc): True density has been presented in the Table 3. Highest true density of tubers (1.123 g/cc) is recorded in treatment T₇. This is due to more and continuous availability of nutrients. Therefore, greater photosynthates developed in tubers hence grater the density. Findings are supported by Ram et al. [15].

5. Tuber dry matter (%): Tuber dry matter has been presented in the Table 3. Highest tuber dry matter (17.173%) is recorded in treatment T₇. This is due to more and continuous availability of nutrients. Therefore, greater photosynthates developed in tubers hence grater the density. Findings are supported by Ram et al. [15]. Chaudhary and Rawat [16] revealed that maximum tuber dry matter found in treatment T₄- 75% RDF of NPK + FYM @ 50 t/ha + PSB @ 10 kg/ha. Mohammed et al. [17] found that the maximum tuber dry matter in treatment - 10 t FYM ha⁻¹ + 111 kg N ha⁻¹ + 92 kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹. Islam [12] revealed that maximum no. of tubers found in treatment T₇ (Poultry Manure @ 3 t ha⁻¹ + rest nutrients from RDF).

6. Starch content (%): Tuber starch has been presented in the Table 3. Highest starch content (14.313%) is recorded in treatment T₇. This is due to more and continuous availability of nutrients. Therefore, greater photosynthates developed in tubers hence grater the density. Findings are supported by Ram et al. [15]. Chaudhary and Rawat [16] revealed that maximum starch content found in treatment T₄- 75% RDF of NPK + FYM @ 50 t/ha + PSB @ 10 kg/ha.

Table 3. Effect of treatments on total tuber yield (t/ha), True density (g/cc), Tuber dry matter (%) and Tuber starch content (%)

Treatments	Total tuber yield (t/ha)	True density (g/cc)	Tuber dry matter (%)	Tuber starch content (%)
T ₁	24.80	1.030	14.085	13.068
T ₂	36.17	1.113	16.263	13.545
T ₃	29.45	1.070	14.383	13.485
T ₄	30.10	1.033	14.568	13.310
T ₅	29.55	1.063	14.120	14.150
T ₆	31.91	1.073	15.335	13.695
T ₇	37.65	1.123	17.173	14.313
S.Em.	0.83	0.015	0.236	0.155
CD at 5%	2.49	0.045	0.707	0.464

4. CONCLUSION

The research title “Assessment of potato (*Solanum tuberosum* L.) for yield and quality production under natural farming system in gird region of Madhya Pradesh” conclude that among 7 treatments, T₇- Integrated practice (90% inorganic practices, 10% organic) found better for number of tubers in different grades, yield of tubers in different grades, total tuber yield (t/ha) and for quality parameters *e.i.*, True density (g/cc), Tuber dry matter (%) and Tuber starch content (%) and T₁- control found lowest number of tubers as well as yield per ha and also content lowest quality tubers.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

- Muhie SH. Novel approaches and practices to sustainable agriculture. J Agric Food Res. 2022;10. DOI: 10.1016/j.jafr.2022.100446.
- Beals KA. Potatoes, nutrition and health. Am J Potato Res. 2019;96(2):102-10. DOI: 10.1007/s12230-018-09705-4.
- Ahmed Ul, Ying L, Bashir MK, Abid M, Zulfiqar F. Status and determinants of small farming households' food security and role of market access in enhancing food security in rural Pakistan. PLOS ONE. 2017;12(10):e0185466. DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0185466
- Mohsenin NN. Physical properties of plant and animal materials. Structure, physical characteristics and mechanical properties. New York: Gordon & Breach Science Publishers; 1986.
- Houghland GVC. New conversion table for specific gravity, dry matter, and starch in potatoes. Am Potato J. 1966;43(4):138. DOI: 10.1007/BF02862626.
- Sayed FES, Hassan AH, Mohamed MEO. Impact of bio and organic fertilizers on potato yield, quality and tuber weight loss after harvest. Potato Res. 2015;58(1):67-81.
- Yadav SK, Srivastava AK, Bag TK. Effect of integrated nutrient management on production of seed tubers from true potato (*Solanum tuberosum*) seed. Indian J Agron. 2014;59(4):646-50.
- Barman KS, Kumar A, Kaseera S, Ram B. Integrated nutrient management in potato (*Solanum tuberosum*) cv. Kufri Ashoka. J Pharmacogn Phytochem. 2018;SP1: 1936-8.
- Singh G, Kumar A, Singh G, Kaur M, Jatana MS, Rani S. Effect of integrated nutrient management on growth and yield attributes of potato (*Solanum tuberosum* L.). Int J Curr Microbiol Appl Sci. 2018;7(6):2051-6. DOI: 10.20546/ijcmas.2018.706.242.
- Hensh S, Malik GC, Banerjee M, Shankar T. Studies on integrated nutrient management in productivity and economics of potato (*Solanum tuberosum* L.) under red and lateritic belt of West Bengal. The Pharm Innov J. 2020;9(12):15-8.
- Kumar A, Kumar S, Kumar D, Patel VK, Kumar A, Sahu RK et al. The effect of integrated nutrient management (INM) and Zn fertilization on yield of potato. Int J Curr Microbiol Appl Sci. 2020;9(4):1518-26. DOI: 10.20546/ijcmas.2020.904.179.
- Islam M, Sajeda A, Nik M, Majid J, Ferdous M, Shamshul A. Integrated

- nutrient management for potato (*Solanum tuberosum*) in grey terrace soil (Aric Albaquipt) Australin J. Crop Sci. 2013; 7(9):12351241.
13. Narayan S, Kanth RH, Narayan R, Khan AR, Singh P, Rehman US. Effect of integrated nutrient management practices on yield of potato. Potato J. 2013;40(1):84-6.
14. Mohammed A, Mohammed M, Dechasa N, Abduselam F. Effects of integrated nutrient management on potato (*Solanum tuberosum* L.) growth, yield and yield components at Haramaya watershed, Eastern Ethiopia. Open Access Libr J. 2018;5:1-20. DOI: 10.4236/oalib.1103974.
15. Ram B, Singh BN, Kumar H. Impact of various organic treatments on growth, yield and quality parameters of potato. Int J Pure App Biosci. 2017; 5(3):643-7. DOI: 10.18782/2320-7051.5016.
16. Chaudhary A, Rawat M. Response of Potato (*Solanum tuberosum* L.) to Integrated Nutrient Management in Sandy Loam Soils of Punjab. Biological Forum – An International Journal. 2022; 14(1):1235-40.
17. Mohammed A, Yusuf MM, Dechasa N, Abduselam F. Effects of integrated nutrient management on potato (*Solanum tuberosum* L.) growth, yield and yield components at Haramaya watershed, Eastern Ethiopia. Open Access Libr J, 5. 2018; 3974:(e3974). DOI: 10.4236/oalib.1103974.

© 2023 Chauhan et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:

The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:

<https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/110540>