



Efficacy of the Plant Extracts as Grain Protectants against Rice Weevil *Sitophilus oryzae* on Stored Wheat Grains

**Matam Reddi Sunil Kumar ^a^o, Anoorag R. Tayde ^a[#]
and Anand N. Warghat ^a[†]**

^a *Department of Entomology, SHUATS, Prayagraj, India.*

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/IJPSS/2022/v34i2231450

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: <https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/91096>

Original Research Article

Received 09 July 2022
Accepted 18 August 2022
Published 22 August 2022

ABSTRACT

The present investigation were conducted at department of Entomology SHUATS, Prayagraj during 2021-2022 using completely randomized design with three replications and treatments neem leaf powder, turmeric rhizome powder, clove powder, pepper powder, mustard oil, soybean oil and groundnut oil. Among the selected oils and botanicals treated to wheat grains, mustard oil and soybean oil were found to be highly effective in increasing adult mortality (100 %) and reducing the per cent weight loss (0.00%) in stored wheat grains. Turmeric rhizome powder was found to be least effective among all the treatments. The maximum germination percentage, vigour index 1 and vigour index 2 was observed in grains treated with mustard oil (90%, 2250.00, 123.00) respectively on wheat grains. Turmeric rhizome powder was found to be least effective among all the treatments.

Keywords: Clove powder; pepper powder; mustard oil; soyabean oil; groundnut oil.

^o M.Sc. Scholar;

[#] Assistant Professor;

[†] PhD Scholar;

*Corresponding author: E-mail: anurag.tayde@shiats.edu.in;

1. INTRODUCTION

Wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.), an individual from the Graminae family, is the world's most significant oat crop, positioning second in worldwide grain creation behind maize and third behind rice. With 95.91 million tons of wheat delivered, India is the world's second biggest wheat maker [1]. Wheat is wealthy in sugars (55%) and it meets 20% of worldwide calorie necessity [2]. Aside from carbs, wheat grains have a protein content of 10-18%, which is far higher as contrasted and different oats. Interest for wheat and its items is likewise ascending with populace and change in food propensities [3].

Wheat is a rabi season crop with a worldwide creation of 694 million metric tons [4]. Wheat stands firm on a critical foothold in India's economy [5]. It was the second most delivered oat in India with an expected creation of 98.6 million MT during 2017-18. The extension of food creation has brought about various post-gather issues, the most serious of which being irritation pervasion during capacity [6].

Appropriate biological knowledge is required for the development of an effective management strategy. Keeping in view the importance of the crop and huge losses caused by stored insect *Sitophilus oryzae* to wheat, the present study was carried for study the biology of *Sitophilus oryzae* and its incidence in different varieties of stored wheat with the following objectives.: 1. To study the effect of plant extracts on the mortality and percent weight loss of treated wheat grains. 2. To evaluate percent germination and seed vigour of stored wheat grains.

Rice weevil, (*Sitophilus oryzae* L) [Coleoptera: Curculionidae] is one of the serious pest of wheat and also feeds on rice, corn, oat, grain, sorghum, buck wheat ear and their items[7]. It presently has a cosmopolitan circulation. The life stages comprises of four phases: egg, hatching, pupa and adult [8]. The rice weevil is small, 1/10 inch (2 to 3 mm) and heavy for all intents and purposes. It is basically the same in appearance to the storehouse weevil. Notwithstanding, the rice weevil is rosy brown to dark in variety with four light yellow or ruddy spots on the sides of the elytra (the hard defensive forewings). The snout is long (1 mm), right around 1/3 of the complete length. The head along with snout is as long as the prothorax or the elytra. The prothorax (the body district behind the head) is unequivocally hollowed and the elytra have columns of pits inside longitudinal scores. The

hatchling is legless and stays inside the emptied grain bit. It is fat with a cream hued body and dim head container (Koehler, 2008).

Stored grain resistance may be employed alone or in combination with other protective techniques in areas where storage facilities are insufficient [9]. In terms of storage insect resistance, there has been a lot of diversity documented in grains [10]. Resistance breeding, on the other hand, has a lot of potential because it is both sustainable and environmentally benign, as well as a cost-effective way to reduce post-harvest losses caused by storage pests (Mwololo et al. 2013). Appropriate biological knowledge is required for the development of an effective management strategy.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

The laboratory experiments on the biology and management of Rice weevil (*Sitophilus oryzae*) in stored wheat was conducted during 2021-22 in the Dept. of Entomology, SHUATS, Prayagraj, UP involving Completely Randomized plan with eight treatments in Wheat assortment WH-542. The Culture of Rice weevil (*Sitophilus oryzae*) was started by gathering the grown-up weevils from the plagued wheat and maize grains from the nearby market. The way of life was additionally kept up with in glass container of 2 kg limit containing the wheat grains. Mouth of the holder was closed with muslin cloth and tied with rubber band. New grains were presented occasionally for appropriate advancement of weevils with the consequent generation was utilized for the experiment purpose.

[11]The plant extracts i.e., neem leaf powder (*Azardiracta indica* L.)[12][13], turmeric rhizome powder (*Curcuma longa*), pepper powder (*Piper nigrum*), clove powder (*Syzygium aromaticum*), mustard oil, soyabean oil, groundnut oil[14] were brought from nearby business sectors [15]. To prepare the required quantity @ 5% concentration of the treatments, 5 gm of each powder and 5 ml of mustard, soyabean, Groundnut oils were added separately to 1 kg of wheat seeds. Hundred grams of solid seeds of wheat assortment (WH 542) were entirely blended in various oils and botanicals acquired from nearby market and set in isolated plastic boxes treatment wise. The holders were covered with muslin material and secured with an elastic band. Untreated seed act as control. Ten sets of newly arisen adults were transferred in each replication. Grown-up mortality, percent weight

reduction, germination rate, power list 1 and energy record 2 were noted.

Mortality was recorded as when the scarab didn't answer delicate tension utilizing a fingertip. The quantity of dead bugs were recorded following 3, 7 and 10 days of treatment individually. The perception on percent weight reduction was recorded by counting the quantities of uninfested seeds and swarmed seeds.

The seed germination tests are completed utilizing moved paper towel test as per International Rules of Seed Testing. Germination trial of the treated seeds was completed independently at 30, 60, 90 days-time frame treatment from plagued and uninvaded treated wheat. Germination trial of the treated seeds is completed by taking 15 wheat seeds in three-fold.

For assurance of seedling power 1, 10 ordinary seedlings were chosen arbitrarily from each replication and treatment toward the finish of germination test. The root and shoot length of each one of the seedlings were estimated in cm and normal seedling length was estimated. The seedling power record was determined by duplicating germination rate with seedling length (cm)

Normal dry load of 10 ordinary seedlings is determined and communicated as seedling dry load in grams. The seedling force list 2 was determined by duplicating germination (%) with seedling dry weight (gm).

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Different treatments with plant extracts were better than untreated control as they showed more percent mortality. The vast majority of the treatment uncovered fundamentally higher mortality at 10-day of openness when contrasted with the control. Greatest mortality brought about by 5% mustard oil treatment followed by soybean oil. As a general rule, death rate was expanded with expanding the centralization of plant concentrates and openness time. Among various plant removes, the grains treated with 5gm clove powder separate created low mortality followed by turmeric rhizome powder. Besides, both mustard oil and soybean oil (5%) caused high mortality of 100 percent and 100 percent individually contrasted with other plant extricates following 10 days. Least mortality (18.33 percent) was observed in grains treated with 5% clove

powder followed by turmeric powder (10%) following 3 days.

After 3 Days after Treatment the botanicals T6 soybean oil and T7 Groundnut oil were non-important to one another and critical over control. Similarly, After 10 DAT T7 Groundnut oil, T6 Soyabean oil and T5 Mustard oil were non-important to one another and huge over control.

Each one of the treatments were better than control in percent weight reduction. Results on the impact of various plant extricates on the percent weight reduction of wheat seeds are recorded following 30,60,90 days after the treatment. Different endlessly plant part separates meaningfully affected percent weight reduction of wheat seeds. Among the concentrates, the most noteworthy percent weight reduction (35.33%) was found in untreated wheat seeds followed by turmeric rhizome powder(21.33%) following 90 days of treatment and least was seen in mustard oil(00%) and soyabean oil(00%) following 30 days of treatment. No weight reduction was kept in Mustard oil and Soyabean oil treated grains following 30,60,90 days of treatment percent weight reduction of wheat seeds expanded continuously with expansion in no. of long periods of treatment.

Factual examination showed that following 30,60,90 days of treatment weight reduction of case differed altogether which shows the adequacy of admixtures against bug attack. Botanicals T6 Soyabean oil and T5 Mustard oil were non-significant to one another and better over the control.

Results on the impact of various plant extracts on the percent germination of wheat seeds was recorded on 30, 60 and, 90 days after the treatment [16]. Among the concentrates, the most noteworthy germination (93%) was found in wheat seeds treated with mustard oil followed by soya bean oil (91.66%) following 30 days of treatment and least was seen in turmeric rhizome powder (79%) following 90 days of treatment. The outcomes on germination uncovered no tremendous contrasts among treatments as long as two months after treatment burden. Germination of wheat seeds diminished slowly with expansion in no. of long periods of treatment. Each one of the tried plants showed no unfavorable impact on germination of seeds as long as 90 days of treatments.

Table 1. Effect of plant Extracts on the percent mortality, percent weight loss, Germination% of stored wheat grains

Sr. No.	Treatments	Percent Mortality			Percent Weight Loss			Germination %		
		3DAT	7DAT	10DAT	30DAT	60DAT	90DAT	30DAT	60DAT	90DAT
T ₁	Neem leaf powder	41.66	68.33	83.33	4.33	9.33	12.33	89.33	86.33	84.33
T ₂	Turmeric rhizome powder	10	20	21.66	12.66	18.33	21.33	86.66	82.33	79.00
T ₃	Pepper powder	31.66	58.33	81.66	7	10.66	15	88.33	84.00	82.33
T ₄	Clove powder	18.33	26.66	41.66	10.66	15.66	19	88.00	83.33	80.66
T ₅	Mustard oil	90	100	100	0.00	0.00	0.00	93.00	91.33	90.00
T ₆	Soyabean oil	60	80	100	0.00	0.00	0.00	91.66	89.00	88.00
T ₇	Groundnut oil	50	78.33	90	2.33	6.33	9.66	90.00	88.00	85.33
T ₈	Untreated control	0.00	0.00	0.00	19	29	35.33	84.00	80.00	75.00
	SEm±	1.021	1.179	1.179	0.236	0.264	0.312	0.236	0.236	0.312
	CD (P=5%)	3.086	3.564	3.564	0.713	0.797	0.943	0.713	0.333	0.943
	CV (%)	4.688	3.783	3.150	5.832	4.086	3.835	0.459	0.478	0.650

Table 2. Effect of the plant Extracts on vigour index-1&2 of stored wheat grains

S.no	Treatment	Vigor index 1			Vigor index 2		
		30DAT	60DAT	90DAT	30DAT	60DAT	90DAT
1	Neem leaf powder (T1)	2024.66	1754.33	1687.00	111.00	105.60	93.86
2	rhizome powder(T2)	1589.00	1427.00	1369.33	86.66	82.33	76.36
3	Pepper powder (T3)	1943.33	1764.00	1509.33	108.93	98.00	87.80
4	Clove powder (T4)	1672.00	1472.00	1479.00	96.80	91.66	80.66
5	Mustard oil (T5)	2573.00	2405.00	2250.00	136.40	133.93	123.00
6	Soyabean oil (T6)	2261.00	2017.33	1906.66	122.23	115.70	105.60
7	Groundnut oil (T7)	2070.00	1848.00	1753.33	113.13	105.26	95.56
8	Untreated control (T8)	1428.00	1253.33	1050.00	81.20	74.66	70.00
	SEm±	20.368	21.758	30.616	3.124	2.014	2.110
	CD (P=5%)	61.589	65.793	92.577	9.446	6.091	6.380
	CV (%)	1.814	2.163	3.267	5.054	3.458	3.989

Each one of the treatments are better over the control and the treatments T5 Mustard oil and T6 Soyabean oil were found than be genuinely at standard with one another.

The vast majority of the treatments uncovered most elevated energy record 1 at 30-day of openness when contrasted with the control. Highest force file 1 caused by 5% mustard oil treatment followed by soyabean oil .Among various plant removes, the grains treated with 5gm clove powder(1479.00) extricate delivered Lowest power file 1 followed by turmeric rhizome powder(1369.33) following 90 days of treatment. Moreover, both mustard oil and soyabean oil separates (5%) caused most elevated energy list 1 of (2753.00) and (2261.00) individually contrasted with other plant removes following 30 days of treatment.

The statistical information showed that each one of the treatments are better over the control and the botanicals T1 neem leaf powder and T7 Ground nut oil and T4 clove powder and T2 Turmeric powder are found to be statistically at par with one another.

A large portion of the treatments uncovered most elevated life file 2 at 30-day of openness when contrasted with the control. Highest force record 2 brought about by 5% mustard oil treatment followed by soyabean oil .Among various plant removes, the grains treated with 5gm clove powder (80.66) extricate created Lowest energy list 2 followed by turmeric rhizome powder (76.36) following 90 days of treatment. Moreover, both mustard oil and soyabean oil extricates (5%) caused most noteworthy force list 2 of (136.40) and (122.23) separately contrasted with other plant removes following 30 days of treatment. The statistical information showed that each one of the treatments are better over the control and the botanicals T1 neem leaf powder and T7 Ground nut oil are found than be statistically at standard with each

The statistical information showed that each one of the treatments are better over the control and the botanicals T1 neem leaf powder and T7 Ground nut oil are found than be statistically at par with one another.

4. CONCLUSION

On the basis of present investigation response of *Sitophilus oryzae* towards various botanicals were carried out with eight treatments including

control. Performance of the botanicals was assessed based on the percent of mortality, and weight loss, percent of germination, vigor index 1 and vigor index 2. The greater significance of pest control was shown in mustard oil (5ml) and soyabean oil (5ml) followed by groundnut oil (5ml). However, all the botanicals controlled the weevil infestation effectively compared to control. Moreover, farmers should be advocated to go for seed treatment with botanicals which are eco-friendly, cost effective and free from the health hazards to protect the wheat and maize grains against *Sitophilus oryzae* it is believed that the present findings will provide some vital recommendations on the management of Rice weevil to reduce the loss of grain damage at a reasonably low cost.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

1. FAO. FAO Statistical information; 2014. <https://faostat.fao.org>.
2. Breiman A, Graur D. Wheat Evaluation. Israel Journal Plant Science. 1995;43:58-95.
3. World Bank. Kenya Agricultural Growth and Strategy Options. Unpublished Sector Report, Nairobi, Kenya. The World Bank; 1989.
4. Ahmad I, Ali N, Ahmad H. Affiliation Mapping of Root Traits for Drought Tolerance in Bread Wheat. In Wheat Improvement, Management and Utilization. In Tech; 2017.
5. Chowdhry MA, Maqbool A, Mahmood N, Khaliq I. Execution of unadulterated and blended represents biomass and grain yield in Hexaploid wheat. Pakistan Journal of Biological Sciences. 1988;1:145-147.
6. Khalequzzaman M, Khanom M. Impact of cypermethrin alone and in mix with leaf and seed concentrate of neem against grown-up *Tribolium castaneum* (Herbest). Diary of Zoology Rajshahi University. 2006;25:45-49.
7. De Lima CP. The evaluation of misfortunes because of bug and rodents in wheat put away for resource in Kenya. Tropical Products Information. 1979;38:21-26.
8. Yevoor. Science and the executives of rice weevil, *Sitophilus oryzae* (Linn.) in maize grains. M. Sc. (Agri.) Thesis, University of

- Agricultural Sciences, Dharwad; 2003.
9. Sivashrinivasu CH. Assessment of native items and boric corrosive against put away grain bugs. M. Sc. (Agri.) Thesis, Univ. Agric. Sci., Dharwad, Karnataka (India); 2001.
 10. Singh PK. A decentralized and comprehensive methodology for grain the executives in India. Current sciences. 2010;99(9):1179-1180.
 11. Biswas NP. furthermore, Biswas AK. Assessment of some leaf tidies as grain protectant against rice weevil, *Sitophilus oryzae* (Linn.). Climate and Ecology. 2005;23(3):485-488 (Fide: RAE 2006;94(1):426.
 12. Amin MR, Shahjahan M, El-taj HF, Iqbal TMT. furthermore, Hussain, M. A. (2000). Utilization of akenda, biskatli and neem leaves as herbal insect spray against lesser grain drill. Bangladesh Journal of Entomology, 10(1-2): 1-13.
 13. Egwurube, E., Magaji, B. T., and Lawal, Z. (2010). Research center assessment of neem (*Azadirachta indica*) seed and leaf powders for the control of khapra bug, *Trogoderma granarium* (Coleoptera: Dermestidae) pervading groundnut. Int. J. Agric. Biol. 12(4): 638-640
 14. Ranpal RS, Verma. furthermore, Singh SY. Vegetable oils as grain protectant against *Sitophilus oryzae* (L.) Farm Science Journal. 1988;3(1): 14-20.
 15. Tripathy, M. K., Das, B. C., and Mohanty, S. (2004). Viability of few botanicals against seed creepy crawly *Caryedon serratus* (Bruchidae: Coleoptera) swarming put away groundnut under Bhubaneswar condition. Indian J. Agric. Res. 38(1): 15-21.
 16. Ranaware, S. S., Kapadia, M. N., Dalave, S. K., and Chatar, V. P. (2011). Adequacy of botanicals as seed protectant against *Caryedon serratus* (Oliver) in put away groundnut and impact on germination. Worldwide Journal of Plant Protection. 4(2): 276-278.

© 2022 Kumar et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:

The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:
<https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/91096>