# Some Sufficient Conditions for Analytic Functions 

Hong Liu ${ }^{\text {* }}$<br>${ }^{1}$ Department of Foundation, Harbin Finance University, Harbin 150010, China.

Author's contribution
This whole work was carried out by the author HL.

## Original Research Article

Received $20^{\text {th }}$ February 2014
Accepted $14^{\text {th }}$ April 2014
Published $7^{\text {th }}$ May 2014

## ABSTRACT

In this paper introduced some new subclasses of analytic functions in the unit disc. We obtain the sufficient conditions for starlike ness.
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## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let $H$ denote the class of analytic functions in $U=\{z \in C:|z|<1\}$ and $A$ denote the subclass of $H$, which consist as functions of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=z+a_{2} z^{2}+a_{3} z^{3}+\cdots, z \in U . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

A function $f(z) \in A$ is consist as starlike of order $\alpha(0 \leq \alpha<p)$ in $U$ (see [1]), that is, $f(z) \in S^{*}(\alpha)$, if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}\right)>\alpha,(0 \leq \alpha<1), z \in U \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^0]with $S^{*}(0):=S^{*}$.
Similarly, a function $f(z) \in A$ is consist as convex of order $\alpha(0 \leq \alpha<1)$ in $U$ (see [1]), that is, $f(z) \in K(\alpha)$, if and only if
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\left(1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right)>\alpha,(0 \leq \alpha<1), z \in U \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

with $K(0)=K$.

According to the definitions for the classes $S^{*}(\alpha)$ and $K(\alpha)$, we know that $f(z) \in K(\alpha)$ if and only if $z f^{\prime}(z) \in S^{*}(\alpha)$. Marx [2] and Strohhäcker [3] showed that $f(z) \in K(0)$ implies $f(z) \in S^{*}(1 / 2)$.

Ozaki [4] and Kaplan [5] investigated the following functions: If $f(z) \in A$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{f^{\prime}(z)}{g^{\prime}(z)}\right)>0, z \in U \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some convex function $g(z)$, then $f(z)$ is univalent function in $U$. In the view of Kaplan (see [5]), we say that $f(z)$ satisfying the above inequality is close-to-convex in $U$, that is, $f(z) \in C(0):=C$.

It is well known that the above definition concerning close-to-convex functions, is equivalent to the following condition:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{g(z)}\right)>0, z \in U \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some starlike function $g(z) \in A$.
A function $f(z) \in A$ is consist as close-to-convex of order $\alpha(0 \leq \alpha<p)$ in $U$ with respect to $g(z)$, that is, $f(z) \in C(\alpha)$, if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{g(z)}\right)>\alpha, z \in U \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some real $\alpha(0 \leq \alpha<1)$ and for some starlike function $g(z) \in A$.
In this article, using the conditions and lemmas which were different from the reference [6], the author introduced the subclasses of close-to-convex functions and obtained some
sufficient conditions and extended some earlier works.

## 2. MAIN RESULTS

To prove our results, we will need the following lemmas:
Lemma 2.1. (see [7])Let $p(z)=1+c_{1} z+c_{2} z^{2}+\cdots$ be analytic in the unit disc $U$ and $\alpha(0<\alpha \leq 1 / 2)$ be a positive real number. Then suppose that there exists a point $z_{0} \in U$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\{p(z)\}>\alpha \text { for }|z|<\left|z_{0}\right| \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\left\{p\left(z_{0}\right)\right\}=p\left(z_{0}\right)=\alpha \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{z_{0} p^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)}{p\left(z_{0}\right)} \leq-k(1-\alpha) \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $k \geq 1$ is a real number.
Lemma 2.2. Let $p(z)=1+c_{1} z+c_{2} z^{2}+\cdots$ be analytic in the unit disc $U$ and $\alpha(0<\alpha \leq 1 / 2)$ be a positive real number. Suppose also that for arbitrary $r(0<r<1)$, fulfills this condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\min _{|k| \leq r} \operatorname{Re}\{p(z)\}=\min _{k \mid k \leq r}|p(z)| \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{z p^{\prime}(z)}{p(z)}\right)>\alpha-1, z \in U . \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\{p(z)\}>\alpha, z \in U \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Suppose that there exists a point $z_{0} \in U$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\{p(z)\}>\alpha \text { for }|z|<\left|z_{0}\right| \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\left\{p\left(z_{0}\right)\right\}=\alpha, 0<\alpha \leq \frac{1}{2} . \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

From the hypothesis of Lemma 2.2, then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\left\{p\left(z_{0}\right)\right\}=p\left(z_{0}\right)=\alpha, 0<\alpha \leq \frac{1}{2} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

From Lemma 2.1, then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{z_{0} p^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)}{p\left(z_{0}\right)}\right) \leq \alpha-1,0<\alpha \leq \frac{1}{2} . \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

This contradicts the hypothesis (11) of Lemma 2.2 and it completes the proof of Lemma 2.2. By using Lemma 2.2, we first prove the following Theorem.

Theorem 2.1. Let $f(z) \in A$, and $\alpha(0<\alpha \leq 1 / 2)$ be a positive real number. Suppose that there exists a starlike function $g(z)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\min _{|z| \leq r} \operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{g(z)}\right)=\min _{|z| \leq r}\left|\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{g(z)}\right| \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

for arbitrary $r(0<r<1)$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
1+\operatorname{Re} \frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}>\operatorname{Re} \frac{z g^{\prime}(z)}{g(z)}+\alpha-1,0<\alpha \leq \frac{1}{2}, z \in U . \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then we have $f(z) \in C(\alpha)$.
Proof. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
p(z)=\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{g(z)}, \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $p(z)$ is analytic in $U$ and $p(0)=1$. Now using (19), it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}-\frac{z g^{\prime}(z)}{g(z)}=\frac{z p^{\prime}(z)}{p(z)} \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Lemma 2.2 and the hypothesis (17), (18) in Theorem 2.1, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{g(z)}\right)>\alpha, 0<\alpha \leq \frac{1}{2}, z \in U . \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore proof of the Theorem 2.1 is completed.

Lemma 2.3. (see [7])Let $p(z)=1+c_{1} z+c_{2} z^{2}+\cdots$ be analytic in the unit disc $U$ and $\alpha(1 / 2<\alpha<1)$ be a positive real number. Then suppose that there exists a point $z_{0} \in U$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\{p(z)\}>\alpha \text { for }|z|<\left|z_{0}\right| \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\left\{p\left(z_{0}\right)\right\}=p\left(z_{0}\right)=\alpha \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{z_{0} p^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)}{p\left(z_{0}\right)} \leq-\frac{k}{2}(2-\alpha) \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $k \geq 1$ is a real number.
Lemma 2.4. Let $p(z)=1+c_{1} z+c_{2} z^{2}+\cdots$ be analytic in the unit disc $U$ and $\alpha(1 / 2<\alpha<1)$ be a positive real number. Suppose also that for arbitrary $r(0<r<1)$, fulfills this condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\min _{|z| \leq r} \operatorname{Re} p(z)=\min _{|z| \leq r}|p(z)| \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{z p^{\prime}(z)}{p(z)}\right)>\frac{\alpha}{2}-1, z \in U . \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\{p(z)\}>\alpha, z \in U \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Suppose that there exists a point $z_{0} \in U$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\{p(z)\}>\alpha \text { for }|z|<\left|z_{0}\right| \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\left\{p\left(z_{0}\right)\right\}=\alpha, \frac{1}{2}<\alpha<1 . \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

By the hypothesis of Lemma 2.4, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\left\{p\left(z_{0}\right)\right\}=p\left(z_{0}\right)=\alpha, \frac{1}{2}<\alpha<1 . \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

Making use of Lemma 2.3, then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{z_{0} p^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)}{p\left(z_{0}\right)}\right) \leq \frac{\alpha}{2}-1, \frac{1}{2}<\alpha<1 . \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

This contradicts the hypothesis (26) of Lemma 2.4 and it completes the proof of Lemma 2.4. Making use of Lemma 2.4, we can prove the following Theorem.

Theorem 2.2. Let $f(z) \in A$, and $\alpha(1 / 2<\alpha<1)$ be a positive real number. Suppose that there exists a starlike function $g(z)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\min _{|z| \leq r} \operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{g(z)}\right)=\min _{|z| \leq r}\left|\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{g(z)}\right| \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

for arbitrary $r(0<r<1)$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
1+\operatorname{Re} \frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}>\operatorname{Re} \frac{z g^{\prime}(z)}{g(z)}+\frac{\alpha}{2}-1, z \in U . \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then we have $f(z) \in C(\alpha)$.
Proof. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
p(z)=\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{g(z)}, \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $p(z)$ is analytic in $U$ and $p(0)=1$. Now using (34), it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}-\frac{z g^{\prime}(z)}{g(z)}=\frac{z p^{\prime}(z)}{p(z)} . \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Lemma 2.4 and the hypothesis (32), (33) in Theorem 2.2, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{g(z)}\right)>\alpha, \frac{1}{2}<\alpha<1, z \in U \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore proof of the Theorem 2.2 is completed.

## 3. CONCLUSION

In this work studied some sufficient conditions for starlike ness of the new subclasses of analytic functions.
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